

# K-SEMISTABLE FANO MANIFOLDS WITH THE SMALLEST ALPHA INVARIANT

CHEN JIANG

ABSTRACT. In this short note, we show that K-semistable Fano manifolds with the smallest alpha invariant are projective spaces. Singular cases are also investigated.

## 1. INTRODUCTION

Throughout the article, we work over the complex number field  $\mathbb{C}$ . A  $\mathbb{Q}$ -Fano variety is a normal projective variety  $X$  with log terminal singularities such that the anti-canonical divisor  $-K_X$  is an ample  $\mathbb{Q}$ -Cartier divisor. It has been known that a Fano manifold  $X$  (i.e., a smooth  $\mathbb{Q}$ -Fano variety) admits Kähler–Einstein metrics if and only if  $X$  is *K-polystable* by the works [DT92, Tia97, Don02, Don05, CT08, Sto09, Mab08, Mab09, Ber16] and [CDS15a, CDS15b, CDS15c, Tia15].

On the other hand, the existence of Kähler–Einstein metrics and K-stability are related to the *alpha invariants*  $\alpha(X)$  of  $X$  defined by Tian [Tia87] (see also [TY87, Zel98, Lu00, Dem08]). Tian [Tia87] proved that for a Fano manifold  $X$ , if  $\alpha(X) > \dim X/(\dim X + 1)$ , then  $X$  admits Kähler–Einstein metrics. Odaka and Sano [OS12, Theorem 1.4] (see also its generalizations [Der16, BHJ15, FO16, Fuj16c]) proved a variant of Tian’s theorem: if a  $\mathbb{Q}$ -Fano variety  $X$  satisfies that  $\alpha(X) > \dim X/(\dim X + 1)$  (resp.  $\geq \dim X/(\dim X + 1)$ ), then  $X$  is K-stable (resp. K-semistable). We are interested in the relation of alpha invariants and K-semistability.

Recall that Fujita and Odaka proved that there exists a lower bound of alpha invariants for K-semistable  $\mathbb{Q}$ -Fano varieties.

**Theorem 1.1** ([FO16, Theorem 3.5]). *Let  $X$  be a K-semistable  $\mathbb{Q}$ -Fano variety of dimension  $n$ .*

$$\text{Then } \alpha(X) \geq \frac{1}{n+1}.$$

It is natural and interesting to ask when the equality holds. For example, it is well-known that  $\mathbb{P}^n$  is K-semistable with  $\alpha(\mathbb{P}^n) = \frac{1}{n+1}$ . The main theorem of this paper is the following.

**Theorem 1.2.** *Let  $X$  be a K-semistable Fano manifold of dimension  $n$ .*

$$\text{Then } \alpha(X) = \frac{1}{n+1} \text{ if and only if } X \cong \mathbb{P}^n.$$

This is an application of Birkar’s answer to Tian’s question [Bir16, Theorem 1.5], and Fujita–Li’s criterion for K-semistability [Li15, Fuj16b].

---

*Date:* January 31, 2017.

The author was supported by JSPS KAKENHI Grant Number JP16K17558 and World Premier International Research Center Initiative (WPI), MEXT, Japan.

It is natural to ask whether the same statement holds true for  $K$ -semistable  $\mathbb{Q}$ -Fano varieties instead of manifolds. However, this is no longer true even in dimension 2. We are grateful to Kento Fujita for kindly providing the following example:

**Example 1.3.** Consider the cubic surface  $X = (x_0^3 = x_1x_2x_3) \subset \mathbb{P}^3$ , which is a toric *log del Pezzo surface* (i.e, a  $\mathbb{Q}$ -Fano variety of dimension 2) with 3 du Val singularities of type  $A_2$ . On one hand, it is well-known that  $X$  admits a Kähler–Einstein metric (cf. [DT92]), hence is  $K$ -semistable. On the other hand,  $\alpha(X) = \frac{1}{3}$  (cf. [PW10]).

In fact, by the classification of possible du Val singularities of  $K$ -semistable log del Pezzo surfaces (cf. [Liu16, Corollary 6]) and explicit computation of alpha invariants (cf. [Par03, PW10, CK14]), we have the following theorem.

**Theorem 1.4.** *Let  $X$  be a  $K$ -semistable log del Pezzo surface with at worst du Val singularities. Then  $\alpha(X) = \frac{1}{3}$  if and only if  $X \cong \mathbb{P}^2$  or  $X \subset \mathbb{P}^3$  is a cubic surface with at least 2 singularities of type  $A_2$ .*

Moreover, by classification of  $\mathbb{Q}$ -Fano 3-fold with  $\mathbb{Q}$ -factorial terminal singularities and  $\rho(X) = 1$  with large Fano index due to Prokhorov [Pro10, Pro13], we prove the following:

**Theorem 1.5.** *Let  $X$  be a  $K$ -semistable  $\mathbb{Q}$ -Fano 3-fold with  $\mathbb{Q}$ -factorial terminal singularities and  $\rho(X) = 1$ . Assume that  $h^0(-K_X) \geq 22$ . Then  $\alpha(X) = \frac{1}{4}$  if and only if  $X \cong \mathbb{P}^3$ .*

Finally, we propose the following much stronger conjecture. For some evidence in dimension 3, we refer to [CS08] and [Fuj16a].

**Conjecture 1.6.** *Let  $X$  be a  $K$ -semistable Fano manifold.*

*Then  $\alpha(X) < \frac{1}{n}$  if and only if  $X \cong \mathbb{P}^n$ .*

*Acknowledgments.* The author would like to thank Professors Kento Fujita and Yoshinori Gongyo for effective discussions. The main part of this paper was written during the author enjoyed the workshop “Higher Dimensional Algebraic Geometry, Holomorphic Dynamics and Their Interactions” at Institute for Mathematical Sciences, National University of Singapore. The author is grateful for the hospitality and support of IMS.

## 2. PRELIMINARIES

We adopt the standard notation and definitions in [KM98] and will freely use them.

**Definition 2.1.** Let  $X$  be a  $\mathbb{Q}$ -Fano variety. The *alpha invariant*  $\alpha(X)$  of  $X$  is defined by the supremum of positive rational numbers  $\alpha$  such that the pair  $(X, \alpha D)$  is log canonical for any effective  $\mathbb{Q}$ -divisor  $D$  with  $D \sim_{\mathbb{Q}} -K_X$ . In other words,

$$\alpha(X) := \inf \{ \text{lt}(X; D) \mid 0 \leq D \sim_{\mathbb{Q}} -K_X \}.$$

Tian [Tia90] asked whether whether the infimum is a minimum, which is answered by Birkar affirmatively.

**Theorem 2.2** ([Bir16, Theorem 1.5]). *Let  $X$  be a  $\mathbb{Q}$ -Fano variety. Assume that  $\alpha(X) \leq 1$ . Then there exists an effective  $\mathbb{Q}$ -divisor  $D$  such that  $D \sim_{\mathbb{Q}} -K_X$  and  $\text{lct}(X; D) = \alpha(X)$ .*

**Definition 2.3** ([Fuj16b]). Let  $X$  be a  $\mathbb{Q}$ -Fano variety of dimension  $n$ . Take any projective birational morphism  $\sigma : Y \rightarrow X$  with  $Y$  normal and any prime divisor  $F$  on  $Y$ , that is,  $F$  is a prime divisor over  $X$ .

- (1) Define the *log discrepancy* of  $F$  as  $A(F) := \text{mult}_F(K_Y - \sigma^*K_X) + 1$ ;
- (2) Define  $\text{vol}_X(-K_X - xF) := \text{vol}_Y(-\sigma^*K_X - xF)$ ;
- (3) Define

$$\beta(F) := A(F) \cdot (-K_X)^n - \int_0^\infty \text{vol}_X(-K_X - xF) dx.$$

Note that the definitions do not depend on the choice of birational model  $Y$ .

Instead of recalling the original definition, we use the following criterion to define K-semistability.

**Definition-Proposition 2.4** ([Fuj16b, Corollary 1.5], [Li15, Theorem 3.7]). Let  $X$  be a  $\mathbb{Q}$ -Fano variety.  $X$  is *K-semistable* if  $\beta(F) \geq 0$  for any divisor  $F$  over  $X$ .

Note that K-semistability is known to be equivalent to *Ding-semistability* by [BBJ15].

### 3. PROOF OF MAIN THEOREM

**Proposition 3.1.** *Let  $X$  be a K-semistable  $\mathbb{Q}$ -Fano variety of dimension  $n$ . Assume that  $\alpha(X) = \frac{1}{n+1}$ , then there exists a prime divisor  $E$  on  $X$  such that  $-K_X \sim_{\mathbb{Q}} (n+1)E$  and  $(X, E)$  is plt.*

*Proof.* Let  $X$  be a K-semistable  $\mathbb{Q}$ -Fano variety of dimension  $n$  with  $\alpha(X) = \frac{1}{n+1}$ . By Theorem 2.2, there is a divisor  $D \sim_{\mathbb{Q}} -K_X$  such that  $\text{lct}(X; D) = \frac{1}{n+1}$ . Take  $F$  to be a non-klt place of  $(X, \frac{1}{n+1}D)$ , then there is a resolution  $\sigma : Y \rightarrow X$  such that  $F$  is a divisor on  $Y$ .

Denote  $\mu$  to be the multiplicity of  $F$  in  $\sigma^*D$ . Note that  $\mu > 0$  since  $X$  is klt. By assumption,

$$\text{mult}_F \left( K_Y - \sigma^* \left( K_X + \frac{1}{n+1}D \right) \right) = -1,$$

which means that

$$A(F) = \frac{\mu}{n+1}.$$

By Definition-Proposition 2.4,  $\beta(F) \geq 0$ , which means that

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{n+1}(-K_X)^n &= \frac{A(F)}{\mu}(-K_X)^n \\ &\geq \frac{1}{\mu} \int_0^\infty \text{vol}_X(-K_X - xF) dx \\ &= \int_0^\infty \text{vol}_X(-K_X - x\mu F) dx \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&\geq \int_0^\infty \text{vol}_X(-K_X - xD) \, dx \\
&= \int_0^1 (1-x)^n (-K_X)^n \, dx \\
&= \frac{1}{n+1} (-K_X)^n.
\end{aligned}$$

The second equality holds since  $\sigma^*D \geq \mu F$ . Hence all inequalities become equalities. In particular,

$$\text{vol}_X(-K_X - x\mu F) = \text{vol}_X(-K_X - xD) = (1-x)^n (-K_X)^n$$

for almost all  $x$ . By differentiability of volume functions ([BFJ09, Corollary C]),

$$\begin{aligned}
&\mu \cdot \text{vol}_{Y|F}(-\sigma^*K_X) \\
&= - \frac{1}{n} \frac{d}{dx} \Big|_{x=0} \text{vol}_Y(-\sigma^*K_X - x\mu F) \\
&= - \frac{1}{n} \frac{d}{dx} \Big|_{x=0} (1-x)^n (-K_X)^n \\
&= (-K_X)^n.
\end{aligned}$$

Here  $\text{vol}_{Y|F}$  is the *restricted volume*, we refer to [ELMNP09] for definition and properties. Since  $\text{vol}_{Y|F}(-\sigma^*K_X) > 0$ ,  $F \not\subseteq \mathbf{B}_+(-\sigma^*K_X)$  by [ELMNP09, Theorem C]. Hence by [ELMNP09, Corollary 2.17],

$$\text{vol}_{Y|F}(-\sigma^*K_X) = (-\sigma^*K_X)^{n-1} \cdot F = (-K_X)^{n-1} \cdot \sigma_*F.$$

In other words, we have

$$(-K_X)^{n-1}(D - \mu\sigma_*F) = (-K_X)^n - \mu \cdot \text{vol}_{Y|F}(-\sigma^*K_X) = 0.$$

This implies that  $D = \mu\sigma_*F$  since  $D \geq \mu\sigma_*F$  and  $-K_X$  is ample. In particular,  $F$  is not  $\sigma$ -exceptional and  $\sigma_*F$  is a prime divisor on  $X$ . Denote  $E := \sigma_*F$ . Moreover, since  $F$  is a non-klt place of  $(X, \frac{1}{n+1}D)$ ,  $\text{mult}_E \frac{1}{n+1}D = 1$ , that is,  $\mu = n+1$ . In particular,  $-K_X \sim_{\mathbb{Q}} D = (n+1)E$ . Finally, this argument shows that  $F$  is the only non-klt place of  $(X, E)$ , which means that  $(X, E)$  is plt.  $\square$

**Corollary 3.2.** *Let  $(X, E)$  as in Proposition 3.1. Then  $X \simeq \mathbb{P}^n$  if one of the following condition holds:*

- (1)  $X$  is factorial;
- (2)  $(E)^n \geq 1$ ;
- (3)  $E$  is Cartier in codimension two and  $E \simeq \mathbb{P}^{n-1}$ .

*Proof.* (1) If  $X$  is factorial, then  $E$  is a Cartier divisor. In particular,  $(E)^n \geq 1$ . Hence this is a special case of (2).

(2) If  $(E)^n \geq 1$ , then

$$(-K_X)^n = (n+1)^n (E)^n \geq (n+1)^n.$$

By [Liu16, Theorem 1.1] or [LZ16, Theorem 9],  $X \simeq \mathbb{P}^n$ .

(3) If  $E$  is Cartier in codimension two and  $E \simeq \mathbb{P}^{n-1}$ , then by adjunction,  $(K_X + E)|_E = K_E$ , and

$$(-K_X)^n = \frac{(n+1)^n}{n^{n-1}}(-(K_X + E))^{n-1} \cdot E = \frac{(n+1)^n}{n^{n-1}}(-K_E)^{n-1} = (n+1)^n.$$

Again by [Liu16, Theorem 1.1] or [LZ16, Theorem 9],  $X \simeq \mathbb{P}^n$ .  $\square$

*Proof of Theorem 1.2.* It follows directly from Proposition 3.1 and Corollary 3.2(1) (or [KO73]).  $\square$

#### 4. SINGULAR SURFACES

Recall the following theorem on classification of possible du Val singularities of a K-semistable log del Pezzo surface.

**Theorem 4.1** ([Liu16, Theorem 23, Proof of Corollary 6]). *Let  $X$  be a K-semistable log del Pezzo surface with at worst du Val singularities.*

- (1) *If  $(-K_X)^2 = 1$ , then  $X$  has at worst singularities of type  $A_1, A_2, A_3, A_4, A_5, A_6, A_7, A_8$ , or  $D_4$ ;*
- (2) *If  $(-K_X)^2 = 2$ , then  $X$  has at worst singularities of type  $A_1, A_2$ , or  $A_3$ ;*
- (3) *If  $(-K_X)^2 = 3$ , then  $X$  has at worst singularities of type  $A_1$  or  $A_2$ ;*
- (4) *If  $(-K_X)^2 = 4$ , then  $X$  has at worst singularities of type  $A_1$ ;*
- (5) *If  $(-K_X)^2 \geq 5$ , then  $X$  is smooth.*

We remark that in [Liu16, Corollary 6], log del Pezzo surfaces are assumed to be admitting Kähler–Einstein metrics, but the proof works well for K-semistable log del Pezzo surfaces. The only part that the existence of Kähler–Einstein metrics is needed is to exclude the case that  $(-K_X)^2 = 1$  and  $X$  has singularities of type  $A_8$ .

Recall the following theorem on explicit computation of alpha invariants.

**Theorem 4.2** ([Par03], [PW10, Theorems 1.4, 1.5, and 1.6], [CK14, Theorem 1.26, Example 1.27]). *Let  $X$  be a log del Pezzo surface with at worst du Val singularities. Assume that  $X$  is singular, then  $\alpha(X) = \frac{1}{3}$  if and only if one of the following holds:*

- (1)  $(-K_X)^2 = 6$  and  $\text{Sing}(X) = \{A_1\}$ ;
- (2)  $(-K_X)^2 = 5$  and  $\text{Sing}(X) = \{A_2\}$  or  $\{2A_1\}$ ;
- (3)  $(-K_X)^2 = 4$  and  $\text{Sing}(X) = \{A_3\}$  or  $\text{Sing}(X) \supseteq \{A_1 + A_2\}$ ;
- (4)  $(-K_X)^2 = 3$  and  $\text{Sing}(X) \supseteq \{A_4\}$ ,  $\{2A_2\}$ , or  $\text{Sing}(X) = \{D_4\}$ ;
- (5)  $(-K_X)^2 = 2$  and  $\text{Sing}(X) \supseteq \{D_5\}$ ,  $\{(A_5)'\}$ , or  $\{A_7\}$ ;
- (6)  $(-K_X)^2 = 1$  and  $\text{Sing}(X) \supseteq \{D_8\}$  or  $\{E_6\}$ .

*Proof of Theorem 1.4.* Let  $X$  be a K-semistable log del Pezzo surface with at worst du Val singularities and  $\alpha(X) = \frac{1}{3}$ . If  $X$  is smooth, then  $X \simeq \mathbb{P}^2$  by Theorem 1.2. If  $X$  is singular, then  $(-K_X)^2 = 3$  and  $\text{Sing}(X) \supseteq \{2A_2\}$  by Theorems 4.1 and 4.2. To see the “if” part, one just notice that any cubic surface with at worst singularities of type  $A_1$  or  $A_2$  is K-semistable (cf. [OSS16, Theorem 4.3]).  $\square$

## 5. SINGULAR THREEFOLDS

In this section, we prove Theorem 1.5. Recall the following theorem on the upper bound of volumes.

**Theorem 5.1** (cf. [Liu16, Theorem 25]). *Let  $X$  be a  $K$ -semistable  $\mathbb{Q}$ -Fano 3-fold with at worst terminal singularities. Let  $p \in X$  be an isolated singularity with local index  $r$ . Then*

$$(-K_X)^3 \leq \frac{(r+2)(4+4r)^3}{(3r)^3}.$$

*Proof.* Denote by  $\mathfrak{m}_p$  the maximal ideal at  $p$ . We may take a log resolution of  $(X, \mathfrak{m}_p)$ , namely  $\pi : Y \rightarrow X$  such that  $\pi$  is an isomorphism away from  $p$  and  $\pi^{-1}\mathfrak{m}_p \cdot \mathcal{O}_Y$  is an invertible ideal sheaf on  $Y$ . Let  $E_i$  be exceptional divisors of  $\pi$ . We define the numbers  $a_i$  and  $b_i$  by

$$K_Y = \pi^* K_X + \sum_i a_i E_i$$

and

$$\pi^{-1}\mathfrak{m}_p \cdot \mathcal{O}_Y = \mathcal{O}_Y(-\sum_i b_i E_i).$$

It is clear that  $\text{lct}(X; \mathfrak{m}_p) = \min_i \frac{1+a_i}{b_i}$ . Since  $\pi$  is an isomorphism away from  $p$ , we have  $b_i \geq 1$  for any  $i$ . Since  $X$  is terminal at  $p$ , by [Kaw93], there exists an index  $i_0$  such that  $a_{i_0} = \frac{1}{r}$ . Hence

$$\text{lct}(X; \mathfrak{m}_p) \leq \frac{1+a_{i_0}}{b_{i_0}} \leq 1 + \frac{1}{r}.$$

On the other hand, by [Kak00] (see also [TW04, Proposition 3.10]),  $\text{mult}_p X \leq r+2$ . Hence by [Liu16, Theorem 16],

$$(-K_X)^3 \leq \left(1 + \frac{1}{3}\right)^3 \text{lct}(X; \mathfrak{m}_p)^3 \text{mult}_p X \leq \frac{(r+2)(4+4r)^3}{(3r)^3}.$$

□

Now let  $X$  be a  $K$ -semistable  $\mathbb{Q}$ -Fano 3-fold with  $\mathbb{Q}$ -factorial terminal singularities and  $\rho(X) = 1$  with  $\alpha(X) = \frac{1}{4}$ . By Proposition 3.1, there exists a prime divisor  $E$  on  $X$  such that  $-K_X \sim_{\mathbb{Q}} 4E$ .

Recall that we may define ([Pro10])

$$\begin{aligned} \text{qW}(X) &:= \max\{q \mid -K_X \sim qA, A \text{ is a Weil divisor}\}, \\ \text{qQ}(X) &:= \max\{q \mid -K_X \sim_{\mathbb{Q}} qA, A \text{ is a Weil divisor}\}. \end{aligned}$$

It is known by [Suz04, Pro10] that

$$\text{qW}(X), \text{qQ}(X) \in \{1, \dots, 11, 13, 17, 19\}.$$

Moreover, by [Pro10, Lemma 3.2], in our case,  $4 \mid \text{qQ}(X)$ . Hence there are 2 cases: (i)  $\text{qQ}(X) = 8$ ; (ii)  $\text{qQ}(X) = 4$ .

Now assume that  $h^0(-K_X) \geq 22$ . Define the genus  $g(X) := h^0(-K_X) - 2 \geq 20$ .

If  $\text{qQ}(X) = 8$ , since  $g(X) > 10$ , then by [Pro13, Theorem 1.2(ii)], either  $X \simeq X_6 \subset \mathbb{P}(1, 2, 3, 3, 5)$  or  $X \simeq X_{10} \subset \mathbb{P}(1, 2, 3, 5, 7)$ . But in either case,

$-K_X \sim 8A$  where  $A$  is an effective divisor, which implies that  $\alpha(X) \leq \frac{1}{8}$  since  $(X, A)$  is not klt, a contradiction.

Now assume that  $\mathrm{q}\mathbb{Q}(X) = 4$ , by [Pro13, Lemma 8.3],  $\mathrm{Cl}(X)$  is torsion-free and  $\mathrm{q}W(X) = \mathrm{q}\mathbb{Q}(X)$ , hence there is a Weil divisor  $A$  such that  $-K_X \sim 4A$ . If  $g(X) \geq 22$ , then by [Pro13, Theorem 1.2(vi)],  $X \simeq \mathbb{P}^3$  or  $X_4 \subset \mathbb{P}(1, 1, 1, 2, 3)$ . The latter is absurd, since it has a singularity of index 3, and  $(-K_{X_4})^3 = 128/3$ , which contradicts to Theorem 5.1. If  $20 \leq g(X) \leq 21$ , then we have the following possibilities due to computer computation (see [GRD], or [BS07, Pro10, Pro13]):

$g(X)$	$\mathbf{B}$	$A^3$
21	$\{3\}$	$2/3$
20	$\{5, 7\}$	$22/35$

Here  $\mathbf{B}$  is the set local indices of singular points. It is easy to see that both cases contradict to Theorem 5.1.

In summary, Theorem 1.5 is proved.

## REFERENCES

- [Ale94] V. Alexeev, *General elephants of  $\mathbb{Q}$ -Fano 3-folds*, *Compositio Math.* **91** (1994), no. 1, 91–116.
- [Ber16] R. Berman, *K-polystability of  $\mathbb{Q}$ -Fano varieties admitting Kähler–Einstein metrics*, *Invent. Math.* **203** (2016), no. 3, 973–1025.
- [BBJ15] R. Berman, S. Boucksom, M. Jonsson, *A variational approach to the Yau–Tian–Donaldson conjecture*, arXiv:1509.04561.
- [Bir16] Birkar, *Singularities of linear systems and boundedness of Fano varieties*, arXiv:1609.05543.
- [BFJ09] S. Boucksom, C. Favre, M. Jonsson, *Differentiability of volumes of divisors and a problem of Teissier*, *J. Algebraic Geom.* **18** (2009), no. 2, 279–308.
- [BHJ15] S. Boucksom, T. Hisamoto, M. Jonsson, *Uniform K-stability, Duistermaat–Heckman measures and singularities of pairs*, arXiv:1504.06568.
- [GRD] G. Brown, A. Kasprzyk, *Graded ring database*, <http://www.grdb.co.uk/Index>.
- [BS07] G. Brown, K. Suzuki, *Computing certain Fano 3-folds*, *Japan J. Indust. Appl. Math.* **24** (2007), no. 3, 241–250.
- [CK14] I. Cheltsov, D. Kosta, *Computing  $\alpha$ -invariants of singular del Pezzo surfaces*, *J. Geom. Anal.* **24** (2014), no. 2, 798–842.
- [CS08] I. Cheltsov, C. Shramov, *Log canonical thresholds of smooth Fano threefolds*, *Russian Math. Surveys* **63** (2008), no. 5, 859–958.
- [CDS15a] X. Chen, S. Donaldson, S. Sun, *Kähler–Einstein metrics on Fano manifolds, I: approximation of metrics with cone singularities*, *J. Amer. Math. Soc.* **28** (2015), no. 1, 183–197.
- [CDS15b] X. Chen, S. Donaldson, S. Sun, *Kähler–Einstein metrics on Fano manifolds, II: limits with cone angle less than  $2\pi$* , *J. Amer. Math. Soc.* **28** (2015), no. 1, 199–234.
- [CDS15c] X. Chen, S. Donaldson, S. Sun, *Kähler–Einstein metrics on Fano manifolds, III: limits as cone angle approaches  $2\pi$  and completion of the main proof*, *J. Amer. Math. Soc.* **28** (2015), no. 1, 235–278.
- [CT08] X. Chen, G. Tian, *Geometry of Kähler metrics and foliations by holomorphic discs*, *Publ. Math. Inst. Hautes Études Sci.* **107** (2008), 1–107.
- [Dem08] J.-P. Demailly, Appendix to [CS08]: *On Tian’s invariant and log canonical thresholds*, *Russian Math. Surveys* **63** (2008), no. 5, 945–950.
- [Der16] R. Dervan, *Uniform stability of twisted constant scalar curvature Kähler metrics*, *Int. Math. Res. Not. IMRN* 2016, no. 15, 4728–4783.

- [Don02] S. Donaldson, *Scalar curvature and stability of toric varieties*, J. Differential Geom. **62** (2002), no. 2, 289–349.
- [Don05] S. Donaldson, *Lower bounds on the Calabi functional*, J. Differential Geom. **70** (2005), no. 3, 453–472.
- [DT92] W. Ding, G. Tian, *Kähler–Einstein metrics and the generalized Futaki invariant*, Invent. Math. **110** (1992), no. 2, 315–335.
- [Fuj16a] K. Fujita, *On  $K$ -stability and the volume functions of  $\mathbb{Q}$ -Fano varieties*, Proc. Lond. Math. Soc. (3) **113** (2016), no. 5, 541–582.
- [Fuj16b] K. Fujita, *A valuative criterion for uniform  $K$ -stability of  $\mathbb{Q}$ -Fano varieties*, J. Reine Angew. Math., DOI: 10.1515/crelle-2016-0055.
- [Fuj16c] K. Fujita,  *$K$ -stability of Fano manifolds with not small alpha invariants*, arXiv:1606.08261.
- [FO16] K. Fujita, Y. Odaka, *On the  $K$ -stability of Fano varieties and anticanonical divisors*, arXiv:1602.01305, to appear in Tohoku Math. J..
- [ELMNP09] L. Ein, R. Lazarsfeld, M. Mustață, M. Nakamaye, M. Popa, *Restricted volumes and base loci of linear series*, Amer. J. Math. **131** (2009), no. 3, 607–651.
- [Kak00] N. Kakimi, *On the multiplicity of terminal singularities on threefolds*, arXiv:math/0004105.
- [Kaw93] Y. Kawamata, *The minimal discrepancy of a 3-fold terminal singularity*, appendix to “3-folds log flips” by V.V. Shokurov, Russian Acad. Sci. Izv. Math. **40** (1993), 201–203.
- [KO73] S. Kobayashi, T. Ochiai, *Characterizations of complex projective spaces and hyperquadrics*, J. Math. Kyoto Univ. **13** (1973), 31–47.
- [KM98] J. Kollár, S. Mori, *Birational geometry of algebraic varieties*, Cambridge tracts in mathematics, 134, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1998.
- [Li15] C. Li,  *$K$ -semistability is equivariant volume minimization*, arXiv:1512.07205.
- [Liu16] Y. Liu, *The volume of singular Kähler–Einstein Fano varieties*, arXiv:1605.01034.
- [LZ16] Y. Liu, Z. Zhuang, *Characterization of projective spaces by Seshadri constants*, arXiv:1607.05743.
- [Lu00] Z. Lu, *On the lower order terms of the asymptotic expansion of Tian–Yau–Zelditch*, Amer. J. Math. **122** (2000), no. 2, 235–273.
- [Mab08] T. Mabuchi,  *$K$ -stability of constant scalar curvature*, arXiv:0812.4903.
- [Mab09] T. Mabuchi, *A stronger concept of  $K$ -stability*, arXiv:0910.4617.
- [OS12] Y. Odaka, Y. Sano, *Alpha invariants and  $K$ -stability of  $\mathbb{Q}$ -Fano varieties*, Adv. Math. **229** (2012), no. 5, 2818–2834.
- [OSS16] Y. Odaka, C. Spotti, S. Sun, *Compact moduli spaces of Del Pezzo surfaces and Kähler–Einstein metrics*, J. Differential Geom. **102** (2016), no. 1, 127–172.
- [Par03] J. Park, *A note on del Pezzo fibrations of degree 1*, Comm. Algebra **31** (2003), no. 12, 5755–5768.
- [PW10] J. Park, J. Won, *Log-canonical thresholds on del Pezzo surfaces of degrees  $\geq 2$* , Nagoya Math. J. **200** (2010), 1–26.
- [Pro10] Y. Prokhorov,  *$\mathbb{Q}$ -Fano threefolds of large Fano index, I*, Doc. Math. **15** (2010), 843–872.
- [Pro13] Y. Prokhorov, *Fano threefolds of large Fano index and large degree*, Sb. Math. **204** (2013), no. 3-4, 347–382.
- [Sto09] J. Stoppa,  *$K$ -stability of constant scalar curvature Kähler manifolds*, Adv. Math. **221** (2009), no. 4, 1397–1408.
- [Suz04] K. Suzuki, *On Fano indices of  $\mathbb{Q}$ -Fano 3-folds*, Manuscripta Math. **114** (2004), no. 2, 229–246.
- [TW04] S. Takagi, K-i, Watanabe, *On  $F$ -pure thresholds*, J. Algebra **282** (2004), no.1, 278–297.
- [Tia87] G. Tian, *On Kähler–Einstein metrics on certain Kähler manifolds with  $C_1(M) > 0$* , Invent. Math. **89** (1987), no. 2, 225–246.
- [Tia90] G. Tian, *On a set of polarized Kähler metrics on algebraic manifolds*, J. Differential Geom. **32** (1990), 99–130.



- [Tia97] G. Tian, *Kähler–Einstein metrics with positive scalar curvature*, Invent. Math. **130** (1997), no. 1, 1–37.
- [Tia15] G. Tian, *K-stability and Kähler–Einstein metrics*, Comm. Pure Appl. Math. **68** (2015), no. 7, 1085–1156.
- [TY87] G. Tian, S.T. Yau, *Kähler–Einstein metrics on complex surfaces with  $C_1 > 0$* , Comm. Math. Phys. **12** (1987), no. 1, 175–203.
- [Zel98] S. Zelditch, *Szegő kernels and a theorem of Tian*, Internat. Math. Res. Notices (1998), no. 6, 317–331.

KAVLI IPMU (WPI), UTIAS, THE UNIVERSITY OF TOKYO, KASHIWA, CHIBA 277-8583, JAPAN.

*E-mail address:* chen.jiang@ipmu.jp