Holography and Cosmology	Higher spin dS <sub>4</sub> /CFT <sub>3</sub>	dS <sub>3</sub> /CFT <sub>2</sub>	Outlook
00000000	0000000000	000000	0

# Recent advances in dS/CFT

#### Edgar Shaghoulian

Stanford Institute for Theoretical Physics

#### Kavli Institute for the Physics and Mathematics of the Universe April 22, 2014

#### Dionysios Anninos and ES hep-th:1405.xxxx

#### Dionysios Anninos, Raghu Mahajan, Djordje Radicevic and ES hep-th:1405.xxxx

Dionysios Anninos, Frederik Denef, George Konstantinidis and ES hep-th:1305.6321

Recent advances in dS/CFT

	Holography and Cosmology 000000000	Higher spin $dS_4/CFT_3$ 00000000000	dS <sub>3</sub> /CFT <sub>2</sub> 000000	Outlook O
--	---------------------------------------	--------------------------------------	---	--------------

## Contents

- ▶ Holography and cosmology (20 minute portion)
- ▶ Higher spin dS<sub>4</sub>/CFT<sub>3</sub>
  - Wavefunctional calculations
  - Extension of duality to parity-violating phases
- ▶ Entropy of the cosmological horizon via dS<sub>3</sub>/CFT<sub>2</sub>

Holography and Cosmology	Higher spin $dS_4/CFT_3$ 00000000000	dS <sub>3</sub> /CFT <sub>2</sub>	Outlook
•00000000		000000	O

#### Accelerating universe

Accelerated expansion applies both to

- ▶ the inflationary era (B-modes!!!!!!!!!!!)
- ▶ our current/late-time universe ("dark energy" domination)



Holography and Cosmology	Higher spin $dS_4/CFT_3$ 00000000000	dS <sub>3</sub> /CFT <sub>2</sub>	Outlook
00000000		000000	O

#### de Sitter spacetime

Both eras are well-approximated by the de Sitter geometry:

$$ds^2 = -dt^2 + e^{2Ht} dx_i^2 \,.$$

This represents an *exponentially* expanding spacetime.



Observer cosmological horizons obey thermodynamical laws, with S = A/4.

Recent advances in dS/CFT

Holography and Cosmology 00000000	Higher spin $dS_4/CFT_3$ 00000000000	$dS_3/CFT_2$ 000000	Outlook O

# Holography

Historical intuition: black hole entropy S = A/4 scales like the area of the region as opposed to volume; same scaling as QFT in one lower dimension.

Proposal: perhaps quantum gravity can be reformulated as a QFT in one lower dimension ['t Hooft, Susskind].

Entropy bounds [Bousso] often lead to QFT "living" on an appropriate (conformal) boundary of the spacetime.

In most examples of holography renormalization group flow of QFT reconstructs emergent dimension; time is emergent in case of de Sitter.

Renormalization group flows are often between two fixed points; maybe in our universe these fixed points are the inflationary era and dark-energy domination! [Strominger]

Holography and Cosmology	Higher spin $dS_4/CFT_3$ 00000000000	dS <sub>3</sub> /CFT <sub>2</sub>	Outlook
000000000		000000	O

# Holography: AdS/CFT

AdS/CFT proposal [Maldacena; Gubser, Klebanov, Polyakov; Witten]:

$$Z_{bulk} = Z_{CFT}$$

Z defines a given theory by encoding all of its correlation functions.

Concrete proposal: Bulk theory is string theory in  $AdS_5 \times S^5$ , boundary theory is four-dimensional  $\mathcal{N} = 4$  super-Yang Mills.

Allows strongly coupled quantum gravity calculations through weakly coupled CFT calculations.

Duality often provides "microscopic" count of black hole entropy through a  ${\rm CFT}_2$  calculation.

But AdS is not our universe!

Holography and Cosmology	Higher spin $dS_4/CFT_3$	dS <sub>3</sub> /CFT <sub>2</sub>	Outlook
000000000	00000000000	000000	O

# Holography: dS/CFT

Adapt success of AdS/CFT to dS. Hopeful due to analytic continuation  $z \rightarrow i\eta$ ,  $t \rightarrow iy$ ,  $\ell_{AdS} \rightarrow i\ell_{dS}$  which connects AdS and dS:

$$ds^{2} = \ell_{AdS}^{2} \frac{-dt^{2} + dz^{2} + dx_{i}^{2}}{z^{2}} \longrightarrow \ell_{dS}^{2} \frac{-d\eta^{2} + dy^{2} + dx_{i}^{2}}{\eta^{2}}$$

dS/CFT proposal [Maldacena; Strominger; Witten]:

$$\Psi_{HH} = Z_{CFT}$$

Weak form:

$$\log \Psi_{HH}[\phi(\vec{x}),\eta_c] = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n!} \left( \int d^3 x_1 \cdots \int d^3 x_n \phi(\vec{x}_1) \cdots \phi(\vec{x}_n) \langle \mathcal{O}(\vec{x}_1) \cdots \mathcal{O}(\vec{x}_n) \rangle_{CFT} \right)$$

Strong form:  $Z_{CFT}$  non-perturbatively defines  $\Psi_{HH}$  for finite sources encoding geometry, topology, etc.

Holography and Cosmology	Higher spin $dS_4/CFT_3$ 00000000000	dS <sub>3</sub> /CFT <sub>2</sub>	Outlook
000000000		000000	O

# Holography: $dS_4/CFT_3$

Concrete conjectures for AdS/CFT (i.e. a specific bulk gravity theory and a boundary CFT which are equivalent) have existed for almost twenty years.

A concrete conjecture for dS/CFT was only made a few years ago [Anninos, Hartman, Strominger], relating a four-dimensional higher-spin theory to a three-dimensional CFT of N symplectic scalars:

$$S = \frac{1}{2} \int d^3x \ \Omega_{ab} \partial_i \chi^a \partial_i \chi^b, \qquad \Omega_{ab} = \left( \begin{array}{cc} 0 & 1_{N/2 \times N/2} \\ -1_{N/2 \times N/2} & 0 \end{array} \right).$$

- Construct wavefunctionals in this theory by calculation of  $Z_{CFT}$ .
- Generalize this example (and others) by adding Chern-Simons sector; connect to AdS cousins.

Holography and Cosmology	Higher spin $dS_4/CFT_3$	dS <sub>3</sub> /CFT <sub>2</sub>	Outlook
000000000	000000000	000000	0

# Holography: $dS_3/CFT_2$

There does not exist well-understood proposal for  $dS_3/CFT_2$ , but we may be able to make progress by symmetry principles and the constraints of two-dimensional CFTs.

I will argue that the observer-dependent horizon entropy can be accounted for by a count of local operators in the putative dual CFT, although this does not seem to carry over to four dimensions!

Holography and Cosmology	Higher spin dS <sub>4</sub> /CFT <sub>3</sub>	dS <sub>3</sub> /CFT <sub>2</sub>	Outlook
000000000	000000000	000000	0

# Open mathematical problems of relevance to quantum de Sitter

▶ Do there exist modular-invariant functions (or even modular forms) of the form

$$Z(\tau,\bar{\tau}) = \sum_{i} \rho(\Delta_i,\bar{\Delta}_i) q^{\Delta_i} q^{\bar{\Delta}_i}$$

with  $\rho(\Delta_i, \bar{\Delta}_i) \in \mathbb{Z}^+$  with  $\Delta_i$  and  $\bar{\Delta}_i$  complex?

• Equations like O(-N) = Sp(N) are understood mathematically and physically; what about analytic continuations to imaginary N, i.e. O(iN)? [Deligne]

<b>0000000</b> 00000000000000000000000000000	Holography and Cosmology	Higher spin $dS_4/CFT_3$	$dS_3/CFT_2$	Outlook
	0000000	0000000000	000000	0

# **Caffeine Time**



Recent advances in dS/CFT

Holography and Cosmology	Higher spin $dS_4/CFT_3$	dS <sub>3</sub> /CFT <sub>2</sub>	Outlook
000000000	0000000000	000000	O

Sp(N) theory

Minimal parity-invariant Type-A Vasiliev theory with Neumann boundary conditions in bulk dual to free Sp(N) theory of *anticommuting* scalars

[Anninos, Hartman, Strominger]:

$$S = \frac{1}{2} \int d^3x \sqrt{g} \,\Omega_{AB} \left( \partial_i \chi^A \partial_j \chi^B g^{ij} + \frac{R[g]}{8} \chi^A \chi^B + m(x^i) \chi^A \chi^B \right).$$

$$\chi \cdot \chi \longleftrightarrow \phi$$
 with  $m^2 l_{dS}^2 = +2$ ,  $T_{ij} \longleftrightarrow g_{\mu\nu}$ .

Need to restrict the theory to singlet sector, i.e. only Sp(N) invariant operators in spectrum.

Conserved currents of the form  $J_{i_1\cdots i_s}^{(s)} = \Omega_{ab}\chi^a \partial_{(i_1}\cdots \partial_{i_s)}\chi^b + \cdots$  with dimension  $\Delta = s + 1$  dual to bulk spin-s fields.

|--|

#### Dunne-Kirsten method

What to do with this theory? Begin by computing wavefunctionals!

Turn off sources for operators  $J_{i_1\cdots i_s}^{(s)} = \Omega_{ab}\chi^a\partial_{(i_1}\cdots\partial_{i_s)}\chi^b + \cdots$  with dimension  $\Delta = s + 1$  dual to higher spin fields.

Preserve SO(3) symmetry: on  $S^3$  consider mass profile  $m(\theta)$  and metric deformation  $ds^2 = d\theta^2 + f(\theta)^2 \sin^2 \theta \ d\Omega_2^2$ .

Gaussian theory: zeta-regularized partition function computed with Dunne-Kirsten formula on  $\mathbb{R}^3$ :

$$\log\left(\frac{\det\left[-\nabla^2+\mu^2+\hat{m}(r)\right]}{\det\left[-\nabla^2+\mu^2\right]}\right) = \sum_{l=0}^{\infty} (2l+1) \left(\underbrace{\log T^{(l)}(\infty)}_{\text{Gelfand-Yaglom}} - \underbrace{\frac{\int_0^\infty dr \ r \ \hat{m}(r)}{2l+1}}_{\text{regularizer}}\right)$$

Recent advances in dS/CFT

Holography and Cosmology	Higher spin $dS_4/CFT_3$	dS <sub>3</sub> /CFT <sub>2</sub>	Outlook
000000000	0000000000	000000	O

#### Constant mass on peanuts: divergence!

Anninos, Denef, and Harlow computed  $Z_{CFT}$  for  $m(x^i) = m_0$  on  $S^3$ . Consider peanut deformation of geometry:



Figure : Left:  $|\Psi_{HH}(\zeta, m)|^2$  for for  $N = (\ell_{dS}/\ell_P)^2 = 2$  as a function of  $m_0$  for peanut geometries ( $l_{max} = 45$ ). Right: Zoomed in to de Sitter minimum.

$$\phi = \eta \,\nu(x^i) + \eta^2 \mu(x^i)$$

Holography and Cosmology	Higher spin $dS_4/CFT_3$	$dS_3/CFT_2$	Outlook
00000000	000000000	000000	0

#### Spherical harmonics: killing the divergence



Figure : Left: Plot of  $|\Psi_{HH}(A)|^2$  for the first harmonic mapped to  $\mathbb{R}^3$ . Right: Plot of  $\log |\Psi_{HH}(A)|^2$ .



Figure : Plot of log  $|\Psi_{HH}(A)|$  for the first eight spherical harmonics mapped to  $\mathbb{R}^3$ .

Holography and Cosmology	Higher spin $dS_4/CFT_3$	dS <sub>3</sub> /CFT <sub>2</sub>	Outlook
000000000	00000000000	000000	O

#### More evidence and a conjecture



Figure :  $|\Psi_{HH}(A)|^2$  (left) and  $\log|\Psi_{HH}(A)|$  (right) as a function of A, the overall size of a Gaussian deformation  $m(r) = A(e^{-r^2} - m_0(r))$  constructed to be orthogonal to the zero mode of the three-sphere.

Conjecture: The partition function of any SO(3)-symmetric deformation for which the three-sphere zero mode harmonic is fixed is bounded.

Evidence extends beyond conformal class of sphere: fixing zero mode on squashed sphere leads to normalizable wavefunction in squashing direction.

(미) 《라) 《문) 《문) 문] = 카이이 Stanford Institute for Theoretical Physics

Holography and Cosmology	Higher spin $dS_4/CFT_3$	dS <sub>3</sub> /CFT <sub>2</sub>	Outlook
000000000	0000000000	000000	O

## Extensions of higher-spin $dS_4/CFT_3$

Analytic continuation  $z \to i\eta$ ,  $t \to iy$ ,  $\ell_{AdS} \to i\ell_{dS}$  connects AdS and dS:

$$ds^{2} = \ell_{AdS}^{2} \frac{-dt^{2} + dz^{2} + dx_{i}^{2}}{z^{2}} \longrightarrow \ell_{dS}^{2} \frac{-d\eta^{2} + dy^{2} + dx_{i}^{2}}{\eta^{2}}$$

Sp(N) theory discovered by taking  $(\ell/\ell_P)^2 = N \rightarrow -N$  of AdS higher-spin duality with O(N) theory. O(-N) = Sp(N).

Zoo of duals to higher-spin gravities in the bulk:

- ▶ Sp(N) Chern-Simons-ghost-boson  $\leftrightarrow O(N)$  Chern-Simons-boson
- ▶ Sp(N) Chern-Simons-ghost-fermion  $\leftrightarrow O(N)$  Chern-Simons-fermion
- ▶ U(N) Chern-Simons-ghost-boson  $\leftrightarrow U(N)$  Chern-Simons-boson
- ▶ U(N) Chern-Simons-ghost-fermion  $\leftrightarrow U(N)$  Chern-Simons-fermion

On AdS side Chern-Simons-matter proposed to be dual to parity-violating bulk Vasiliev; do the dS "wrong-statistics" theories mirror this? Bulk maintains a simple map [Chang, Pathak, Strominger].

Holography and Cosmology 000000000	Higher spin $dS_4/CFT_3$ 00000000000	dS <sub>3</sub> /CFT <sub>2</sub> 000000	Outlook O

# Evidence for extensions on $\mathbb{R}^3$

Consider the Sp(N) Chern-Simons-matter bosonic theory deformed by marginal triple-trace interaction:

$$S_{CS} = -\frac{ik}{8\pi} \int d^3x \,\epsilon^{\mu\nu\rho} \left( A^a_\mu \partial_\nu A^a_\rho + \frac{1}{3} f^{abc} A^a_\mu A^b_\nu A^c_\rho \right),$$

$$S_B = \int d^3x \left( \Omega_{ij} (D_\mu \chi)_i (D^\mu \chi)_j + N \frac{\lambda_6^b}{3!} \left( \frac{\Omega_{ij} \chi_i \chi_j}{N} \right)^3 \right), \quad D_\mu \equiv \partial_\mu + A_\mu.$$

We can calculate the beta functions of this theory as a function of  $\lambda = N/k$ ,  $\lambda_6 = g_6 N^2$ . CS level k quantized and does not run.

<ロト < 部ト < 書ト < 書ト 三国 の Q () Stanford Institute for Theoretical Physics

Holography and Cosmology	Higher spin $dS_4/CFT_3$	dS <sub>3</sub> /CFT <sub>2</sub>	Outlook
000000000	00000000000	000000	O

## Evidence for extensions on $\mathbb{R}^3$



 $\beta_{\lambda_6} = \frac{1}{16\pi^2 N^2} \left( 12\lambda^4 (\pm N - 1) - 20\lambda^2 \lambda_6 (\pm N - 1) + \lambda_6^2 (\pm 3N + 22) \right)$ 

< □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ Stanford Institute for Theoretical Physics

Recent advances in dS/CFT

|--|

#### Path-integral argument

In Euclidean light-cone gauge  $A_{-} = (A_1 + iA_2)/\sqrt{2} = 0$ , action is quadratic in  $A_3^a$  and linear in  $A_+^a$ .  $A_+^a$  thus serves as a constraint for  $A_3^a$ , and we can rewrite partition function solely in terms of the matter fields.

Schematically, we have

$$Z_B \sim \int [d\chi] \exp\left\{-\bar{\chi}_i(-\partial^2)\chi_i \mp N^{-1}\lambda(\bar{\chi}_i\chi_i)^2 - N^{-2}(\lambda^2 + \lambda_6)(\bar{\chi}_i\chi_i)^3\right\}.$$

Perform a Hubbard-Stratonovich transformation:

$$1 = \int [d\gamma \, d\mu] \exp\left\{-i\mu \left(\gamma - \bar{\chi}_i \chi_i\right)\right\}.$$

Integrate out the  $\chi$  fields to get

$$Z_B \sim \int [d\gamma \, d\mu] \exp\left\{\pm N \log \det(-\partial^2 - i\mu) \mp N^{-1} \lambda \gamma^2 - N^{-2} (\lambda^2 + \lambda_6) \gamma^3 - i\mu\gamma\right\}.$$

Holography and Cosmology 000000000	Higher spin $dS_4/CFT_3$ 00000000000	dS <sub>3</sub> /CFT <sub>2</sub> 000000	Outlook O
Results on $\mathbb{R}^3$			
$\mathbb{R}^3$	Type A Neuma	AdS non - minimal Vasiliev	Dirichlet
Regular commuting boson $\longleftrightarrow$ Critical co U(N) Chern – Sim Critical anticommuting fermion $\longleftrightarrow$	mmuting boson ons Regular antikommuting fermion	$z \leftrightarrow i\eta \\ \ell \leftrightarrow i\ell$	



An analogous set of dualities exists for the minimal Vasiliev theories.

Holography and Cosmology 000000000	Higher spin $dS_4/CFT_3$ 0000000000	dS <sub>3</sub> /CFT <sub>2</sub> 000000	Outlook O

# Summary for $dS_4/CFT_3$

- ▶ Perturbative calculations in Landau gauge for bosonic theories and path-integral calculations in Euclidean light-cone gauge for *all* theories (bosonic/fermionic, minimal/non-minimal) indicate  $N \rightarrow -N$  with  $\lambda$  and  $\lambda_6$  fixed, consistent with the bulk [Chang, Pathak, Strominger]. This allows evidence for higher-spin AdS/CFT dualities to be extended to evidence for higher-spin dS/CFT dualities.
- $N \to -N$  and bosonization maps break on  $S^1 \times S^2$ .
  - Issues on nontrivial topology need to be understood! [Banerjee, Hellerman, Maltz, Shenker; Banerjee, Belin, Hellerman, Lepage-Jutier, Maloney, Radicevic, Shenker].
- Though higher harmonics for mass-deformation on sphere are normalizable, zero-mode divergence needs to be explained: maybe turning on a finite λ helps.
- Need Einstein-gravity version!

Holography and Cosmology	Higher spin $dS_4/CFT_3$	$dS_3/CFT_2$	Outlook
00000000	0000000000	•00000	0

# $dS_3/CFT_2$ duality?

There is no well-understood proposal for a  $dS_3/CFT_2$  duality (but see [Ouyang]).

Forget higher spins.

Proceed by symmetries and general principles: can this account for de Sitter entropy?

Assumptions: modular-invariant CFT dual to Einstein gravity bulk,  $|c| = 3\ell/2G$ , spectrum given by conical defects with  $(\Delta, \bar{\Delta}) = (iM + J, iM - J)$ . Light fields can also be included:  $\Delta = \bar{\Delta} = 1 \pm \sqrt{1 - m^2 \ell^2}$ . CFT is non-unitary!

Holography and Cosmology	Higher spin dS <sub>4</sub> /CFT <sub>3</sub>	dS <sub>3</sub> /CFT <sub>2</sub>	Outlook
00000000	000000000	00000	0

#### de Sitter static patch

Consider static patch of de Sitter

$$-(1-r^2/\ell^2)dt^2 + \frac{dr^2}{1-r^2/\ell^2} + r^2 d\phi^2, \qquad \phi \sim \phi + 2\pi d\phi^2,$$

Horizon at  $r = \ell$  obeys thermodynamic properties; is there statistical count of thermodynamic entropy?



Holography and Cosmology	Higher spin $dS_4/CFT_3$ 00000000000	dS <sub>3</sub> /CFT <sub>2</sub>	Outlook
000000000		000000	O

#### Black hole entropy via Cardy formula

"Microscopic" derivations of black hole entropy often performed by application of Cardy formula:

$$S = \frac{\pi^2}{3}(c_L T_L + c_R T_R) = 2\pi \left(\sqrt{\frac{c_L \Delta}{6}} + \sqrt{\frac{c_R \bar{\Delta}}{6}}\right)$$

where

$$\begin{pmatrix} \frac{\partial S_{CFT}}{\partial \Delta} \end{pmatrix} = \frac{1}{T_L}, \qquad \begin{pmatrix} \frac{\partial S_{CFT}}{\partial \bar{\Delta}} \end{pmatrix} = \frac{1}{T_R} \\ \Delta = M - J, \qquad \bar{\Delta} = M + J$$

In any unitary, modular-invariant 2D CFT, Cardy formula applies asymptotically in  $\Delta$  or T.

Technique: find locally AdS<sub>3</sub> factor, compute  $T_L$  and  $T_R$ , use  $c_L = c_R = 3\ell/2G$  (Einstein gravity), and plug in. Try BTZ black hole!

Holography and Cosmology	Higher spin $dS_4/CFT_3$ 00000000000	$dS_3/CFT_2$	Outlook
000000000		000000	O

## de Sitter entropy via Cardy formula?

Dual to 3D de Sitter generically non-unitary, as shown by complex weights of massive fields and conical defects.

Metric for conical defects (the analog of BTZ black holes):

$$-(M^2 - r^2/\ell^2)dt^2 + \frac{dr^2}{M^2 - r^2/\ell^2} + r^2 d\phi^2, \qquad \phi \sim \phi + 2\pi.$$

Naïve application of Cardy formula works [Bousso, Maloney, Strominger]:

$$S_{con} = \frac{2\pi^2 \ell}{3} |c| T_{con} = \frac{A}{4} ,$$

where the matching extends to conical defects with angular momentum.

Holography and Cosmology	Higher spin $dS_4/CFT_3$	dS <sub>3</sub> /CFT <sub>2</sub>	Outlook
000000000	0000000000	000000	O

#### Why should it work?

What is even being counted in the Euclidean, non-unitary theory?

Proposal: Modular invariant partition function dual to three-dimensional de Sitter has the spectral decomposition

$$Z(\tau,\bar{\tau}) = \sum_{i} \rho(\Delta_i,\bar{\Delta}_i) q^{\Delta_i} q^{\bar{\Delta}_i}$$

with  $\rho(\Delta, \bar{\Delta})$  a positive integer while  $\Delta$ ,  $\bar{\Delta}$  generically complex.  $S = \log \rho(\Delta, \bar{\Delta})$  interpreted as the degeneracy of *local operators*.

Check on proposal: Cardy formula can be proven for non-unitary theory with complex weights for conical defects  $(\Delta, \overline{\Delta}) = (iM + J, iM - J)$ , as long as  $c = -3i\ell/2G$ .

Holography and Cosmology	Higher spin $dS_4/CFT_3$ 00000000000	dS <sub>3</sub> /CFT <sub>2</sub>	Outlook
000000000		00000●	O

# Outlook

- ▶ Due to tight constraints on modular-invariant 2D CFTs, one can possibly "bootstrap" the problem: Do there exist modular-invariant functions of the proposed form? Do they lead to a unitary bulk theory?
- ► Cardy formula uses asymptotia of  $Z(\tau) = Z(-1/\tau)$ ; one can also use the fixed point of the map  $\tau = i$  to constrain the theory [Hellerman].

Holography and Cosmology High	her spin $dS_4/CFT_3$ (	$4S_3/CFT_2$	Outlook
000000000 0000	00000000	000000	•

- $\blacktriangleright$  Holographic cosmology is entering a concrete, calculational phase with many dS<sub>4</sub>/CFT<sub>3</sub> dualities to play with.
  - ▶ All dualities are for higher-spin bulk theories.
  - ▶ Not understood on higher genus surfaces.
- $\blacktriangleright$  Wavefunctionals at finite N can be computed via the concrete dualities.
  - ▶ Though an infinite class of wavefunctionals are well-behaved, the divergence of the zero mode needs to be understood.
- General principles seem to suggest the  $dS_3$  entropy can be explained as a count of local operators in the dual CFT.
  - Interpretation of local operator count not obviously extendible to four dimensions.



Recent advances in dS/CFT

Holography and Cosmology High	her spin $dS_4/CFT_3$ (	$4S_3/CFT_2$	Outlook
000000000 0000	00000000	000000	•

- $\blacktriangleright$  Holographic cosmology is entering a concrete, calculational phase with many dS<sub>4</sub>/CFT<sub>3</sub> dualities to play with.
  - ▶ All dualities are for higher-spin bulk theories.
  - ▶ Not understood on higher genus surfaces.
- $\blacktriangleright$  Wavefunctionals at finite N can be computed via the concrete dualities.
  - ▶ Though an infinite class of wavefunctionals are well-behaved, the divergence of the zero mode needs to be understood.
- General principles seem to suggest the  $dS_3$  entropy can be explained as a count of local operators in the dual CFT.
  - Interpretation of local operator count not obviously extendible to four dimensions.



Recent advances in dS/CFT

Holography and Cosmology High	her spin $dS_4/CFT_3$ (	$4S_3/CFT_2$	Outlook
000000000 0000	00000000	000000	•

- $\blacktriangleright$  Holographic cosmology is entering a concrete, calculational phase with many dS<sub>4</sub>/CFT<sub>3</sub> dualities to play with.
  - ▶ All dualities are for higher-spin bulk theories.
  - Not understood on higher genus surfaces.
- $\blacktriangleright$  Wavefunctionals at finite N can be computed via the concrete dualities.
  - ▶ Though an infinite class of wavefunctionals are well-behaved, the divergence of the zero mode needs to be understood.
- General principles seem to suggest the  $dS_3$  entropy can be explained as a count of local operators in the dual CFT.
  - Interpretation of local operator count not obviously extendible to four dimensions.



Recent advances in dS/CFT

Holography and Cosmology High	her spin $dS_4/CFT_3$ (	$4S_3/CFT_2$	Outlook
000000000 0000	00000000	000000	•

- $\blacktriangleright$  Holographic cosmology is entering a concrete, calculational phase with many dS<sub>4</sub>/CFT<sub>3</sub> dualities to play with.
  - ▶ All dualities are for higher-spin bulk theories.
  - Not understood on higher genus surfaces.
- $\blacktriangleright$  Wavefunctionals at finite N can be computed via the concrete dualities.
  - ▶ Though an infinite class of wavefunctionals are well-behaved, the divergence of the zero mode needs to be understood.
- General principles seem to suggest the  $dS_3$  entropy can be explained as a count of local operators in the dual CFT.
  - Interpretation of local operator count not obviously extendible to four dimensions.



Recent advances in dS/CFT

Holography and Cosmology High	her spin $dS_4/CFT_3$ (	$4S_3/CFT_2$	Outlook
000000000 0000	00000000	000000	•

- $\blacktriangleright$  Holographic cosmology is entering a concrete, calculational phase with many dS<sub>4</sub>/CFT<sub>3</sub> dualities to play with.
  - ▶ All dualities are for higher-spin bulk theories.
  - Not understood on higher genus surfaces.
- $\blacktriangleright$  Wavefunctionals at finite N can be computed via the concrete dualities.
  - ▶ Though an infinite class of wavefunctionals are well-behaved, the divergence of the zero mode needs to be understood.
- General principles seem to suggest the  $dS_3$  entropy can be explained as a count of local operators in the dual CFT.
  - Interpretation of local operator count not obviously extendible to four dimensions.



Recent advances in dS/CFT

#### Cardy failure in 4D

$$S_{dS} = \log |\Psi_{HH}[S^3]|^2 = \log |Z_{CFT}[S^3]|^2$$

but  $Z_{CFT}[S^3]$  is not counting local operators; indeed, even for purely topological theories void of local operators altogether (like pure Chern-Simons theories),  $Z_{CFT}[S^3]$  is nonzero.

Recent advances in dS/CFT