Holography of 3d-3d correspondence at Large N

Dongmin Gang KIAS -> Kavli-IPMU

Kavli-IPMU

Based on arXiv :1401.3595, 1409.6206 With Nakwoo Kim (Kyunghee U) and Sangmin Lee (SNU)

Introduction

- 6d (2,0) theory
 - M-theory : M2, M5 branes
 - Low-energy world-volume theory of



- Low-energy world-volume theory of



- Holographically dual to M-theory on $AdS_7 \times S^4$
- degree of freedom ~ N^3

multiple M2 branes

- ABJM theory [Aharony,Bergman, Jafferis,Maldacena: `09]
- 3*d* Chern-Simons matter with $G = U(N) \times U(N)$

multiple M5 branes

- 6d $A_{N-1}(2,0)$ theory
- Non-abelian tensor theory (non-Lagrangian)

• 6*d* (2,0) superconformal symmetry $(\supset SO(5)_R)$

- S^1 reduction to $5d \mathcal{N} = 2 SYM (M5 \text{ to } D4)$
- Lower-dimensional (d(6) theory $T_{N}[M_{6-d}]$

 $-6d A_{N-1}(2,0)$ theory on $M_{6-d} \xrightarrow{} d$ - dimensional SCFT $T_N[M_{6-d}]$

e.g) 4d theories of class S , $T_N[M_2 = \sum_{g,h}]$ [Gaiotto-Moore-Neizke: `09] 3d theories of class R , $T_N[M_3]$ [Terashima-Yamazaki: 11] [Dimoft-Gaiotto-Gukov: 11]

Introduction

- 6d (2,0) theory
 - Low-energy world-volume theory of multiple M5 branes



6d $A_{N-1}(2,0)$ theory

• Non-abelian tensor theory (non-Lagrangian)

• 6*d* (2,0) superconformal symmetry $(\supset SO(5)_R)$ • Holographically dual to M-theory on $AdS_4 \times S^7$

- degree of freedom $\sim N^3$ • S^1 – reduction to $5d \mathcal{N} = 2 SYM (M5 \text{ to } D4)$
- Lower-dimensional $(d\langle 6)$ theory $T_N[M_{6-d}]$
 - $-6d A_{N-1}(2,0)$ theory on $M_{6-d} \xrightarrow{} d$ dimensional SCFT $T_N[M_{6-d}]$ e.g) 4d theories of class S , $T_N[M_2 = \sum_{g,h}]$ [Gaiotto-Moore-Neizke: `09] 3d theories of class R , $T_N[M_3]$ [Terashima-Yamazaki: 11] [Dimoft-Gaiotto-Gukov: 11]
- Studying $T_{N}[M]$ is interesting because
 - Dualities between d-dimensional SCFTs ["N=2 dualities", Gaiotto: `09]
 - AGT-like correspondence: $Z(T_N[M]) = Z(\text{non-SUSY theory on } M)$ [Alday-Gaiotto-Tachikawa: `09]
 - New examples of AdS/CFT [Gaiotto-Maldadena:`09]
 - Window to 6d (2,0) theory : (Superconformal index, N^3) from 5d $\mathcal{N} = 2$ SYM [S.Kim, H.Kim;'12][S.Kim, H.Kim, J.Kim;`12] [S.Kim, H.Kim, S.Kim, K.Lee:`13]

Outline of talk



• By imposing equality between two calculations

$$\lim_{N \to \infty} \frac{1}{N^3} \operatorname{Im}[S_0] = -\frac{1}{6} \operatorname{vol}(M), \lim_{N \to \infty} \frac{1}{N^3} \operatorname{Re}[S_1] = -\frac{1}{6\pi} \operatorname{vol}(M), \lim_{N \to \infty} \frac{1}{N^3} \operatorname{Im}[S_2] = \frac{1}{24\pi^2} \operatorname{vol}(M)$$
$$\lim_{N \to \infty} \frac{1}{N^3} \operatorname{Re}[S_{2j-1}] = \lim_{N \to \infty} \frac{1}{N^3} \operatorname{Im}[S_{2j}] = 0 \ (j \ge 2),$$

• Analytic/Numerical evidences for the conjecture

$3d T_N[M]$ theory

- Compactification of 6d A_{N-1} (2,0) theory on M_3
 - topological twisting along M : $A_{SO(3)_R} = \omega_M$ (spin-connection)

$$1/4 \text{ SUSY} : \mathbf{2}_{SO(3)_R} \otimes \mathbf{2}_{SO(3)_M} = (\mathbf{1} \oplus \mathbf{3})_{SO(3)_{\text{diag}}} \implies 3 \text{ d } \mathcal{N} = 2 SCFTs$$

1 1d :
$$\mathbb{R}^{1,2} \times T^* M \times \mathbb{R}^2$$
 ($T^* M$: Cotangent bundle of M)
 \bigcup
 $N M 5s: \mathbb{R}^{1,2} \times M$ \longrightarrow $3d \mathcal{N} = 2 \operatorname{SCFT} T_N[M] \operatorname{on} \mathbb{R}^{1,2}$

- The 3d theories enjoy
 - 3d-3d correspondence [Terashima, Yamazaki : 11] [Dimofte, Gukov, Gaiotto : 11] 3d $T_N[M]$ theory on $B \iff SL(N,\mathbb{C}) CS$ theory on M with level (k,σ) $(B = S^2 \times_q S^1, S_b^3 / \mathbb{Z}_k)$ $(\mathcal{L}_{cs} = \frac{1}{2\hbar} \underline{CS[A]} + \frac{1}{2\hbar} CS[\overline{A}], \frac{4\pi}{\hbar} = k + \sigma, \frac{4\pi}{\hbar} = k - \sigma \text{ with } k \in \mathbb{Z}, \sigma \in \mathbb{R} \text{ or } i\mathbb{R})$ - AdS4/CFT3 [Pernici,Sezgin : 85] [Gauntlett, Kim, Waydram : 00] $\frac{4\pi}{3}A^3$. 3d $T_N[M]$ theory on B = M - theory on (Pernici-Sezgin AdS₄ solution) $ds_{11}^2 = \frac{(1 + \sin^2 \theta)^{1/3}}{g^2} \left[ds^2(AdS_4) + ds^2(M) + \frac{1}{2}(d\theta^2 + \frac{\sin^2 \theta}{1 + \sin^2 \theta} d\phi^2) + \frac{\cos^2 \theta}{1 + \sin^2 \theta} d\tilde{\Omega}^2 \right]$

 $g^3 \sim 1/N$, $\partial(AdS_4) = B$

3d-3d correspondence

 $3d T_{N}[M] \text{ theory on } B \iff SL(N,\mathbb{C}) CS \text{ theory on } M \text{ with level}(k,\sigma)$ $(B = S^{2} \times_{q} S^{1}, S_{b}^{3} / \mathbb{Z}_{k}) \qquad (\mathcal{L}_{CS} = \frac{1}{2\hbar} CS[\mathcal{A}] + \frac{1}{2\tilde{h}} CS[\overline{\mathcal{A}}], \quad \frac{4\pi}{\hbar} = k + \sigma, \quad \frac{4\pi}{\tilde{h}} = k - \sigma$ $S_{b}^{3} : \{b^{2} | z|^{2} + b^{-2} | w|^{2} = 1\} \subset \mathbb{C}^{2} \qquad \text{with } k \in \mathbb{Z}, \sigma \in \mathbb{R} \text{ or } i\mathbb{R})$

- 3d-3d version of AGT relation $(Z(T_N[M_2] \text{ on } S^4) = Z(\text{Liouville}/\text{Toda on } M_2))$ $Z[T_N[M] \text{ on } B] = Z[SL(N) CS \text{ on } M]$
- Derivation from (2,0) theory



 $\left\{ \begin{array}{l} 1. \text{ The ptn does not depends on relative size betwee} \\ 2. \text{ The 6d theory become SL(N) CS theory after co} \right. \end{cases}$

 $B = S^{2} \times_{q} S^{1} \iff k = 0, 4\pi / \sigma = i \log q$ $B = S_{b}^{3} / \mathbb{Z}_{k} \iff k = k, \sigma = k \frac{1 - b^{2}}{1 + b^{2}} \text{ (when } b \leq 1\text{)}$ When k = 1, $\hbar = 2\pi i (1 + b^{2})$ and $\tilde{h} = 2\pi i (1 + b^{-2})$ But according to [Terashima, Yamazaki : 11] k = 1, $\hbar = 2\pi i b^{2}$ and $\tilde{h} = 2\pi i b^{-2}$ This puzzle is solved by T.dimofte by showing

 $q \coloneqq e^{\hbar}$, $\tilde{q} \coloneqq e^{\tilde{\hbar}}$ are more relevant parameters in *quantization* of the *CS* theory

Holography of TN[M]



• Holography: $3dT_N[M]$ theory = M – theory on Pernici-Sezgin AdS_4 solution Only for closed (no boundary) hyperbolic 3–manifold

3-sphere ptn of T_N[M]

- Squashed 3-sphere partition function $Z_{S_b^3}[T_N[M]] = Z[T_N[M] \text{ on } B = S_b^3] \quad (S_b^3 : \{b^2 | z |^2 + b^{-2} | w |^2 = 1\} \subset \mathbb{C}^2)$ - Round sphere free-energy $\mathcal{F}_{b=1}[CFT] \coloneqq -\log \left| Z_{S_{b=1}^3}[CFT] \right|$
 - = finte part of entanglement entropy of *CFT*
 - = degree of freedom of *CFT*

– We will see $\mathcal{F}_{b=1}[T_N[M]] \sim N^3$ for hyperbolic M

• Two ways of the calculation



3-sphere ptn of TN[M]

• Two ways of the calculation



1) Holography: $3dT_N[M]$ theory = M – theory on Pernici-Sezgin AdS_4 solution

 $-\mathcal{F}_{b=1}[T_N[M]] = \mathcal{F}^{\text{gravity}}(\text{Pernici-Sezgin } AdS_4), \quad \partial(AdS_4) = S^3$

 $\xrightarrow{\text{Large }N} (\text{Regularized on-shell SUGRA action}) = N^3 / (3\pi) \operatorname{vol}(M) + \text{subleading in } 1 / N$

- Squashing parameter can be restored using [Martelli, Passias, Sparks:`II]

 $\mathcal{F}_{b} = (b + b^{-1})^{2} / 4 \mathcal{F}_{b=1} + (\text{subleading in } 1 / N)$

 $\Rightarrow \mathcal{F}_{b}[T_{N}[M]] = N^{3} / (12\pi)(b + b^{-1})^{2} \operatorname{vol}(M) + (\text{subleading in } 1/N)$

3-sphere ptn of TN[M]

• Two ways of the calculation



- 1) Holography: $3d T_N[M]$ theory = M theory on Pernici-Sezgin AdS_4 solution $\Rightarrow \mathcal{F}_b[T_N[M]] = N^3 / (12\pi)(b + b^{-1})^2 \operatorname{vol}(M) + (\operatorname{subleading in } 1/N)$
- 2) 3d-3d correspondence : $Z_{S_b^3}[T_N[M]] = Z_M(SL(N)CS \text{ with } \hbar = 2\pi i b^2, \tilde{\hbar} = 2\pi i b^{-2})$ $Z_M^{SL(N)CS}(\hbar, \tilde{\hbar}) \coloneqq \int D\mathcal{A} \exp\left(1/(2\hbar)CS[\mathcal{A}] + 1/(2\tilde{\hbar})CS[\overline{\mathcal{A}}]\right)$
 - Perturbation when $\hbar \to 0$ (or $\tilde{\hbar} \to 0$)
 - Non-perturbative symmetry $\hbar \leftrightarrow -4\pi^2 / \hbar (b \leftrightarrow b^{-1})$
 - State-integral using ideal triangulation of M: finite-dimensional integral

Perturbative CS theory

• Perturbative expansion of CS theory

 $-Z_{M}^{SL(N)CS}(\hbar) \coloneqq \int D\mathcal{A} \exp\left(1/(2\hbar)CS[\mathcal{A}] + 1/(2\tilde{\hbar})CS[\overline{\mathcal{A}}]\right)$ $\xrightarrow{\hbar \to 0} \sum m_{\alpha} Z_{SL(N)CS}^{(\alpha)}(\hbar; M) \qquad [\text{``Analytic continuation of Chern-Simons theory'', Witten:`10]}$ - Saddle point in CS : $dA^{(\alpha)} + A^{(\alpha)} \wedge A^{(\alpha)} = 0$ (Flat connections) $-Z_{SL(N)CS}^{(\alpha)}(\hbar; M) = \exp(\frac{1}{\hbar}S_0^{(\alpha)}[N; M] + S_1^{(\alpha)}[N; M] + \hbar S_2^{(\alpha)}[N; M] + \dots): \text{ perturbative } CS \text{ invarians } \{S_n^{(\alpha)}\}$ $S_0^{(\alpha)} = 1/2 CS[\mathcal{A}^{(\alpha)}]$ (classical part), $S_1^{(\alpha)} = \frac{1}{2} \log \operatorname{Tor}_{adj}[M, \mathcal{A}^{(\alpha)}] (1 - \operatorname{loop}), \ S_n^{(\alpha)} = (\operatorname{Feynmann diagrams})$ $\left(\begin{array}{c} \operatorname{Tor}_{\rho}[M,\mathcal{A}^{(\alpha)}] = \frac{\left[\operatorname{det}'\Delta_{0}(\rho,\mathcal{A}^{(\alpha)})\right]^{3/2}}{\left[\operatorname{det}'\Delta_{1}(\rho,\mathcal{A}^{(\alpha)})\right]^{1/2}}, & \Delta_{n}(\rho,\mathcal{A}^{(\alpha)}) : \text{Laplacian on } V_{\rho} - \text{valued n-form twisted } by \,\mathcal{A}^{(\alpha)} \\ \operatorname{Ray-singer torsion in an associated bundle } E_{\rho} \to M \text{ twisted by } \mathcal{A}^{(\alpha)}, \rho \in \operatorname{Hom}\left(SL(N) \to GL(V_{\rho})\right) \end{array} \right)$

• We assume only $\mathcal{A}^{(\text{conj})}$ contributes to the perturbative expansion (*i.e.* $m_{\alpha} \neq 0$ if and only if $(\alpha) = (\text{conj})$)

 $\mathcal{A}_{N}^{(\text{conj})} : a \ SL(N) \text{ flat connection from the unique hyperbolic sturcture on } M$ $\mathcal{A}_{N=2}^{(\text{conj})} \coloneqq \omega + ie = (\text{spin-connection}) + i(\text{dreibein})$ $\mathcal{A}_{N}^{(\text{conj})} = \rho_{N}(\mathcal{A}_{N=2}^{(\text{conj})}), \rho_{N} : N - \text{dim irreducible representation of } SL(2)$

Conjecture on $S_n^{(conj)}[N;M]$



• It leads to a mathematical conjecture

$$\lim_{N \to \infty} \frac{1}{N^3} \operatorname{Im}[S_0^{(\operatorname{conj})}] = -\frac{1}{6} \operatorname{vol}(M), \lim_{N \to \infty} \frac{1}{N^3} \operatorname{Re}[S_1^{(\operatorname{conj})}] = -\frac{1}{6\pi} \operatorname{vol}(M), \lim_{N \to \infty} \frac{1}{N^3} \operatorname{Im}[S_2^{(\operatorname{conj})}] = \frac{1}{24\pi^2} \operatorname{vol}(M)$$
$$\lim_{N \to \infty} \frac{1}{N^3} \operatorname{Re}[S_{2j-1}^{(\operatorname{conj})}] = \lim_{N \to \infty} \frac{1}{N^3} \operatorname{Im}[S_{2j}^{(\operatorname{conj})}] = 0 \ (j \ge 2),$$

For S₀ (classical part), it can be proven from direct computation

Conjecture on $S_n^{(conj)}[N;M]$



• It leads to a mathematical conjecture

$$\lim_{N \to \infty} \frac{1}{N^3} \operatorname{Im}[S_0^{(\operatorname{conj})}] = -\frac{1}{6} \operatorname{vol}(M), \lim_{N \to \infty} \frac{1}{N^3} \operatorname{Re}[S_1^{(\operatorname{conj})}] = -\frac{1}{6\pi} \operatorname{vol}(M), \lim_{N \to \infty} \frac{1}{N^3} \operatorname{Im}[S_2^{(\operatorname{conj})}] = \frac{1}{24\pi^2} \operatorname{vol}(M)$$
$$\lim_{N \to \infty} \frac{1}{N^3} \operatorname{Re}[S_{2j-1}^{(\operatorname{conj})}] = \lim_{N \to \infty} \frac{1}{N^3} \operatorname{Im}[S_{2j}^{(\operatorname{conj})}] = 0 \ (j \ge 2),$$

For S₀ (classical part), it can be proven from direct computation
For S₁ (1-loop part), it can be proven using a mathematical theorem

$$S_{1}^{(conj)} = \frac{1}{2} \log \operatorname{Tor}_{adj}[M, \mathcal{A}_{N=2}^{(conj)}] = \frac{1}{2} \log \operatorname{Tor}_{\rho_{5}}[M, \mathcal{A}_{N=2}^{(conj)}] + \dots + \frac{1}{2} \log \operatorname{Tor}_{\rho_{2N-1}}[M, \mathcal{A}_{N=2}^{(conj)}]) = \rho_{3} \oplus \rho_{5} \oplus \dots \oplus \rho_{2N-1}$$

$$= -\frac{1}{4\pi} \operatorname{vol}(M)(3^{2} + 5^{2} + \dots (2N-1)^{2}) + \operatorname{subleding in } 1/N$$

$$= -\frac{1}{4\pi} \operatorname{vol}(M)(3^{2} + 5^{2} + \dots (2N-1)^{2}) + \operatorname{subleding in } 1/N$$

Conjecture on $S_n^{(conj)}[N;M]$



• It leads to a mathematical conjecture

$$\lim_{N \to \infty} \frac{1}{N^3} \operatorname{Im}[S_0^{(\operatorname{conj})}] = -\frac{1}{6} \operatorname{vol}(M), \lim_{N \to \infty} \frac{1}{N^3} \operatorname{Re}[S_1^{(\operatorname{conj})}] = -\frac{1}{6\pi} \operatorname{vol}(M), \lim_{N \to \infty} \frac{1}{N^3} \operatorname{Im}[S_2^{(\operatorname{conj})}] = \frac{1}{24\pi^2} \operatorname{vol}(M)$$
$$\lim_{N \to \infty} \frac{1}{N^3} \operatorname{Re}[S_{2j-1}^{(\operatorname{conj})}] = \lim_{N \to \infty} \frac{1}{N^3} \operatorname{Im}[S_{2j}^{(\operatorname{conj})}] = 0 \ (j \ge 2),$$

For S_0 (classical part), it can be proven from direct computation For S_1 (1-loop part), it can be proven using a mathematical theorem For higher S_n , we don't have analytic proof yet.

We will numerically confirm the conjecture using Dimofte's state-integral for various knot-complements M up to n=3.

When **b** is finite

- So far, we analyzed the asymptotic limit $b \to 0$ $\mathcal{F}_{N^3}(M;\hbar = 2\pi i b^2) \coloneqq -\lim_{N \to \infty} \frac{1}{N^3} \log \left| Z_M^{SL(N)CS}(\hbar) \right|$ $\mathcal{F}_{N^3}(M;\hbar = 2\pi i b^2) \xrightarrow{b \to 0} \frac{1}{12\pi} (\frac{1}{b^2} + 2 + b^2 + 0b^4 + 0b^6 + ... + 0b^{2n}) \operatorname{vol}(M)$ (assuming our conjectures *are* true) + non-perturbative corrections of the form $e^{-\frac{4\pi^2}{\hbar}}$
- Surprisingly, the strong-weak symmetry ħ ↔ -4π² / ħ (b ↔ b⁻¹)
 exists in the asymptotic expansion (which is is *believed to be* valid when b ≪ 1)
 Only true for F_{N³} : no symmetry in the asymptotic expansion of full F(N)
- This strongly suggest that the asymptotic expansion is actually convergent expansion as far as the leading N^3 -term is concerned
- Strong version of our conjecture

$$\mathcal{F}_{N^3}(\boldsymbol{M}; \hbar = 2\pi i b^2) = \frac{1}{12\pi} (\boldsymbol{b} + \boldsymbol{b}^{-1})^2 \operatorname{vol}(\boldsymbol{M}), \text{ for any } \boldsymbol{b} \in \mathbb{R}.$$

(perfect agreement with holographic prediction)



We assumed

$$Z_{M}^{SL(N)CS}(\hbar) \xrightarrow{\hbar \to 0} \sum_{\alpha} m_{\alpha} Z_{SL(N)CS}^{(\alpha)}(\hbar; M), \quad m_{\alpha} \neq 0 \text{ if and only if } (\alpha) = (\text{conj})$$

Our conjecture

$$\lim_{N \to \infty} \frac{1}{N^3} \operatorname{Im}[S_0^{(\operatorname{conj})}] = -\frac{1}{6} \operatorname{vol}(M), \lim_{N \to \infty} \frac{1}{N^3} \operatorname{Re}[S_1^{(\operatorname{conj})}] = -\frac{1}{6\pi} \operatorname{vol}(M), \lim_{N \to \infty} \frac{1}{N^3} \operatorname{Im}[S_2^{(\operatorname{conj})}] = \frac{1}{24\pi^2} \operatorname{vol}(M)$$
$$\lim_{N \to \infty} \frac{1}{N^3} \operatorname{Re}[S_{2j-1}^{(\operatorname{conj})}] = \lim_{N \to \infty} \frac{1}{N^3} \operatorname{Im}[S_{2j}^{(\operatorname{conj})}] = 0 \ (j \ge 2),$$

In the rest of my talk, I will give evidences for the assumption and conjecture for M = (knot-complements) using <u>Dimofte's state-integral</u>

Knot Complements

• Simple hyperbolic 3-manifold w/ finite volume



meridian longitudinal

3d-3d for knot complements

• Knot is realized as intersecting M5-branes



Basic dictionaries

$3d T_N[S^3 \setminus \mathcal{K}]$ theory	$SL(N) CS$ theory on $M = S^3 \setminus \mathcal{K}$		
Rank of flavor symmetry	$1/2 \dim P_N(\partial M) (P_N(\partial M) \coloneqq \{SL(N) \text{ flat-connections on } \partial M\})$		
SU(N) flavor group	$P_{N}(\partial M) = \{\mathbf{m} = \mathrm{Hol}_{meridian}(\mathcal{A}), \mathbf{l} = \mathrm{Hol}_{longtude}(\mathcal{A}) : [\mathbf{m}, \mathbf{l}] = 0\}$		
mass-prameter $\{m_i\}_{i=1,,N-1}$	boundary meridian holonomy $m \sim$	$ \begin{pmatrix} 1 & \exp(m_1) & 0 & 0 & \cdots \\ 0 & 1 & \exp(m_2) & 0 & \cdots \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & \exp(m_3) & \cdots \\ 0 & 0 & \cdots & \cdots \end{pmatrix} $	
conformal point, $m_i = 0$	boundary m <i>is</i> parabolic		
$\overline{Z_{B}[T_{N}[M]](m_{i})}$	$Z_{M}[SL(N) CS](m_{i})$		

State-integral model for CS ptn

- Finite integral expression for $Z_M^{SL(N)CS}(h)$
- [Dimofte : `11] [Dimofte ,Garoufalidis: `12] [Dimoft, Gabella, Goncharov: `13]

4₁

$$Z_{M}^{SL(N)CS}(\hbar) = \int \frac{d^{\frac{k}{2}}X}{(2\pi i\hbar)^{\frac{1}{2}}} \exp\left[-\frac{1}{\hbar}(i\pi + \frac{\hbar}{2})X \cdot B_{N}^{-1} \cdot v_{N} + \frac{1}{2\hbar}X \cdot B_{N}^{-1}A_{N}X\right] \prod_{i=1}^{\frac{k}{2}} \psi_{\hbar}(X_{i}) \text{ (when } m_{i} = 0 \text{)}$$

Based on ideal triangluation \mathcal{T} of $M = (\bigcup_{i=1}^{k} \Delta_{i})/\sim$
 X_{i} : integration variables $i = 1, \dots, \#_{N} = k/6 N(N^{2} - 1)$
Quantum Dilogarithm $\psi_{\hbar}(X) \coloneqq \prod_{r=1}^{\infty} \frac{1 - q^{r}e^{-X}}{1 - q^{r+1}e^{-X}}$, when $|q| < 1$. Here $q \coloneqq e^{\hbar}$, $\tilde{q} \vDash e^{-4\pi^{\frac{1}{2}}\hbar}$, $\tilde{X} \coloneqq (2\pi i/\hbar)X$.
 $\{A_{N}, B_{N}, v_{N}\}$: Neunmann-Zagier datum \swarrow N – decomposition of M
 $v_{N} : \mathbb{Z}$ – valued vector of size $\#_{N}$ Decomposition of each Δ into
 $(A_{N}, B_{N}) \colon \mathbb{Z}$ – valued square matrices of size $\#_{N}$ $N = 4$, $M = S^{3}$ N

State-integral model for CS ptn

• Finite integral expression for $Z_M^{SL(N)CS}(\hbar)$ [Dimofte : `11] [Dimofte ,Garoufalidis: `12] [Dimoft, Gabella, Goncharov: `13]

$$Z_{M}^{SL(N)CS}(\hbar) = \int \frac{d^{\sharp_{V}}X}{(2\pi i\hbar)^{\sharp_{V}}} \exp\left[-\frac{1}{\hbar}(i\pi + \frac{\hbar}{2})X \cdot B_{N}^{-1} \cdot v_{N} + \frac{1}{2\hbar}X \cdot B_{N}^{-1}A_{N}X\right] \prod_{i=1}^{\sharp_{V}} \psi_{\hbar}(X_{i}) \quad (\text{ when } m_{i} = 0 \text{ })$$

$$\{A_{N}, B_{N}, v_{N}\} \longleftarrow N - \text{decomposition of } M \longleftarrow \text{ Ideal triangluation } \mathcal{T} \text{ of } M = (\bigcup_{i=1}^{k} \Delta_{i})/\sim$$

• Examples

$$Z_{M=S^{3}\backslash \mathbf{4}_{1}}^{SL(N=2)}[\hbar] = \int \frac{dYdZ}{2\pi i\hbar} \exp\left[-\frac{1}{\hbar}XY\right] \psi_{\hbar}(X)\psi_{\hbar}(Y)$$

$$Z_{M=S^{3}\setminus 5_{2}}^{SL(N=2)}[\hbar]$$

$$= \int \frac{dXdYdZ}{(2\pi i\hbar)^{3/2}} \exp\left[-\frac{1}{\hbar}(i\pi + \frac{\hbar}{2})(X + Y + Z) + \frac{1}{2\hbar}(X^2 + Y^2 + Z^2 + 2XY + 2YZ)\right]\psi_{\hbar}(X)\psi_{\hbar}(Y)\psi_{\hbar}(Z)$$

Basic idea of state-integral model

• Classical geometric datum of CS theory

 $P_N(\partial M)$ = Boundary physe space = moduli space of flat SL(N) connections on ∂M $\mathcal{L}_N(M)$ = moduli space of flat SL(N) connections on M, a Lagrangian submanifold of $P(\partial M)$

• Quantization

 $P_{N}(\partial M) \xrightarrow{\text{quantization}} \mathcal{H}_{N}(\partial M), a \text{ Hilbert-space}$ $\mathcal{L}_{N}(M) \xrightarrow{\text{quantization}} |M\rangle, a \text{ state in the Hilbert-space}, \quad Z_{M}^{SL(N)CS}(\hbar)(m_{i}) = \langle m_{i} | M \rangle$

• N-decomposition

A mathematical tool to construct the classical datum $P_N(\partial M)$, $L_N(M)$ by 'gluing' the classical datum of basic block, octahedron \Diamond .

 $P_{N}(\partial M) = \prod_{i=1}^{k_{v}} P(\partial \Diamond_{i}) / / (C_{I} = 0) \text{, Sympletic reduction using internal vertex variables } \{C_{I}\} \text{ as momnetum map}$ $P(\partial \Diamond) = \{Z, Z', Z'' \in \mathbb{C}^{3} : Z + Z' + Z'' = i\pi\} \simeq \mathbb{C}^{2} \text{, with symplectic form } \{Z, Z''\}_{P,B} = \hbar$ $\mathcal{L}(\partial \Diamond) = \{e^{Z} + e^{-Z''} - 1 = 0\} \subset P(\partial \Diamond)$ Quantize $P(\partial \Diamond), \mathcal{L}(\partial \Diamond) \xrightarrow{\text{"quantum symplectic reduction"}} \text{Quantize } P(\partial M), \mathcal{L}(\partial M)$ $\mathcal{H}(\partial \Diamond) = L^{2}(\{Z\}), \quad \hat{Z} | Z \rangle = | Z \rangle, \quad \hat{Z}'' | Z \rangle = -\hbar \partial_{Z}$ $\langle \mu_{i} = 0 | M \rangle = \int d\mathbb{Z} \text{ (Gaussian factor } (A_{N}, B_{N}, v_{N})) \prod_{i=1}^{k_{v}} \Psi_{h}(Z_{i})$

Perturbation in state-Integral

• The state integral is schematically,

 $Z_{M}^{SL(N)CS}(b) = \int_{\mathcal{C}_{\mathbb{R}}} d^{\sharp_{N}} \mathbf{X} e^{\frac{1}{2\pi b^{2}}\mathcal{I}(\mathbf{X};b)}, \quad \mathcal{C}_{\mathbb{R}} : contour \ along \ \mathbb{R} + i\epsilon \ .$

• We want to asymptotic expansion of the integral when $b \rightarrow 0$ using saddle point approximation.

Saddle point $\mathbf{X}^{(\alpha)}$, $(\partial_{\mathbf{X}} \mathcal{I})|_{\mathbf{X}=\mathbf{X}^{(\alpha)}} = 0 \implies$ Flat-connections $\mathcal{A}^{(\alpha)}$ on M

 $\exists X^{(conj)}$ which corresponds to $A^{(conj)}$ (if ideal triangluation T meet certain condition)

One might think we should consdier all the saddle points in asymptotic expansion

$$Z_N^{CS} \xrightarrow{b \to 0} \sum_{\alpha} Z^{(\alpha)}$$
, $Z^{(\alpha)} =$ Saddle point approximation around $\mathbf{X}^{(\alpha)}$
But

$$Z_{N}^{CS} \xrightarrow{b \to 0} \sum_{m_{\alpha} \in \mathbb{Z}} m_{\alpha} Z^{(\alpha)} , \ (m_{\alpha} = \text{number (with sign) of upward flows from } \mathbf{X}^{(\alpha)} \text{ to } \mathcal{C}_{\mathbb{R}})$$
$$\frac{d\mathbf{X}}{dt} = \frac{\partial \text{Re}[\mathcal{I}]}{d\mathbf{X}}, \ \frac{d\mathbf{X}}{dt} = \frac{\partial \text{Re}[\mathcal{I}]}{d\mathbf{X}} : \text{upward flow}$$

• To prove our first assumption (only from $\mathcal{A}^{(\text{conj})}$), it's neccesary and sufficient to show that $m_{\alpha} \neq 0$ if and only if $(\alpha) = (\text{conj})$. (note : $\text{Re}[\mathcal{I}(X^{(\text{conj})})] < \text{Re}[\mathcal{I}(X^{(\alpha)})]$ for any $(\alpha) \neq (\text{conj})$) We checked it for $M = S^3 \setminus 4_1$ and $S^3 \setminus 5_2$ when N = 2.

$$Z_{N}^{CS}[\hbar; \mathbf{M}] = \int \frac{d^{\sharp_{N}} X}{(2\pi i\hbar)^{\sharp_{N}}} \exp\left[-\frac{1}{\hbar}(i\pi + \frac{\hbar}{2})X \cdot B_{N}^{-1} \cdot v_{N} + \frac{1}{2\hbar}X \cdot B_{N}^{-1}A_{N}X\right] \prod_{i=1}^{\sharp_{N}} \psi_{\hbar}(X_{i})$$

$$\{A_{N}, B_{N}, v_{N}\} \longrightarrow N - \text{decomposition of } \mathbf{M} \longrightarrow \text{Ideal triangluation } \mathsf{T} \text{ of } \mathbf{M} = (\bigcup_{i=1}^{k} \Delta_{i})/\sim$$

• $Z^{(\text{conj})} = (\text{perturbative calcuation of the finite integral aroud a saddle point } \mathbf{X}^{(\text{conj})})$

$$= \exp\left(\frac{1}{\hbar}S_0^{(\operatorname{conj})} + S_1^{(\operatorname{conj})} + \hbar S_2^{(\operatorname{conj})} + \dots\right) \quad \text{using } \psi_{\hbar}(X) \xrightarrow{\hbar \to 0} \exp\left(\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{B_n}{n!} \hbar^{n-1} \operatorname{Li}_{2-n}(e^{-X})\right)$$

- $S_n^{(\text{conj})}$ can be expressed in terms of $\{A_N, B_N, v_N, \mathbf{X}^{(\text{conj})}\}$ using Feynmann-diagram of integral. loop: Summation over $i = 1, ..., \sharp_N \Rightarrow$ Finite expression
- Test of our conjecture for various knot-complements

$$\begin{split} &\lim_{N \to \infty} \frac{1}{N^3} \operatorname{Im}[S_0^{(\operatorname{conj})}] = -\frac{1}{6} \operatorname{vol}(M) ,\\ &\lim_{N \to \infty} \frac{1}{N^3} \operatorname{Re}[S_1^{(\operatorname{conj})}] = -\frac{1}{6\pi} \operatorname{vol}(M) ,\\ &\lim_{N \to \infty} \frac{1}{N^3} \operatorname{Im}[S_2^{(\operatorname{conj})}] = \frac{1}{24\pi^2} \operatorname{vol}(M) \\ &\lim_{N \to \infty} \frac{1}{N^3} \operatorname{Re}[S_{2j-1}^{(\operatorname{conj})}] = \lim_{N \to \infty} \frac{1}{N^3} \operatorname{Im}[S_{2j}^{(\operatorname{conj})}] = 0 \ (j \ge 2) , \end{split}$$

• Test of our conjecture for various knot-complements

 $M = S^{3} \setminus \bigotimes = \bigotimes [Li_{2}(e^{-i\pi/3})] = -1/6N(N^{2} - 1) 2Im[Li_{2}(e^{-i\pi/3})] = -1/6N(N^{2} - 1) vol(M)$ $Re[S_{1}^{(conj)}(N)] = Re[-\frac{1}{2}\log \det(A_{N}e^{i\pi/3} + B_{N}e^{-i\pi/3})]$ $= \{-0.299653, -1.52226, -4.68107, -10.4071, -19.338, -32.13, -428.051660, -176.745, -226.33, -284.312, -351.337, -428.051660, -515.103361, -613.137064, -722.799596, -844.737248, -979.596285, -1128.022958, ...\}$

Its third differece sequence

 $\begin{aligned} & \operatorname{Re}[S_1^{""}(N)] = \{-0.655958, -0.637856, -0.655893, -0.652562, \\ & -0.64783, -0.64756, -0.647428, -0.647022, -0.646783, \\ & -0.646649, -0.646543, -0.646462, -0.646402, -0.646356, -0.650 \\ & -0.646319, -0.646291, -0.646267, -\underline{0.646248}\} \end{aligned}$





• Test of our conjecture for various knot-complements

$$M = S^{3} \setminus \bigotimes = \bigotimes = [1/6N(N^{2} - 1) 2Im[Li_{2}(e^{-i\pi/3})] = -1/6N(N^{2} - 1)vol(M)$$

$$Im[S_{0}^{(conj)}(N)] = 1/6N(N^{2} - 1) 2Im[Li_{2}(e^{-i\pi/3})] = -1/6N(N^{2} - 1)vol(M)$$

$$Re[S_{1}^{(conj)}(N)] = Re[-\frac{1}{2}\log \det(A_{N}e^{i\pi/3} + B_{N}e^{-i\pi/3})]$$

$$\sim -\frac{Vol(M)}{6\pi}N^{3}$$

$$\begin{split} &\lim_{N \to \infty} \frac{1}{N^3} \operatorname{Im}[S_0^{(\operatorname{conj})}] = -\frac{1}{6} \operatorname{vol}(M) \,, \\ &\lim_{N \to \infty} \frac{1}{N^3} \operatorname{Re}[S_1^{(\operatorname{conj})}] = -\frac{1}{6\pi} \operatorname{vol}(M) \,, \\ &\lim_{N \to \infty} \frac{1}{N^3} \operatorname{Im}[S_2^{(\operatorname{conj})}] = \frac{1}{24\pi^2} \operatorname{vol}(M) \,, \\ &\lim_{N \to \infty} \frac{1}{N^3} \operatorname{Re}[S_{2j-1}^{(\operatorname{conj})}] = \lim_{N \to \infty} \frac{1}{N^3} \operatorname{Im}[S_{2j}^{(\operatorname{conj})}] = 0 \, (j \ge 2) \,, \end{split}$$

 $Im[S_2^{(conj)}(N)] = Im[(7 \text{ Feynmann diagrams})]$ = {0.0882063, 0.289984, 0.618779, 1.13059, 1.89451,...

 $Im[S_2'''(N)] = \{ 0.0560005, 0.0690888, 0.0572193, \\ 0.0509708, 0.0514399, 0.0516042, \dots \}$



• Test of our conjecture for various knot-complements

$$M = S^{3} \setminus \bigotimes = \bigcup_{i=1}^{N} (N^{2} - 1) 2 \operatorname{Im}[\operatorname{Li}_{2}(e^{-i\pi/3})] = -1/6N(N^{2} - 1) \operatorname{vol}(M)$$

$$\operatorname{Re}[S_{1}^{(\operatorname{conj})}(N)] = \operatorname{Re}[-\frac{1}{2}\log\det(A_{N}e^{i\pi/3} + B_{N}e^{-i\pi/3})]$$

$$\sim -\frac{\operatorname{vol}(M)}{6\pi}N^{3}$$

$$\begin{split} &\lim_{N \to \infty} \frac{1}{N^3} \operatorname{Im}[S_0^{(\operatorname{conj})}] = -\frac{1}{6} \operatorname{vol}(M) ,\\ &\lim_{N \to \infty} \frac{1}{N^3} \operatorname{Re}[S_1^{(\operatorname{conj})}] = -\frac{1}{6\pi} \operatorname{vol}(M) ,\\ &\lim_{N \to \infty} \frac{1}{N^3} \operatorname{Im}[S_2^{(\operatorname{conj})}] = \frac{1}{24\pi^2} \operatorname{vol}(M) \\ &\lim_{N \to \infty} \frac{1}{N^3} \operatorname{Re}[S_{2j-1}^{(\operatorname{conj})}] = \lim_{N \to \infty} \frac{1}{N^3} \operatorname{Im}[S_{2j}^{(\operatorname{conj})}] = 0 \ (j \ge 2) , \end{split}$$

 $\text{Im}[S_2^{(\text{conj})}(N)] = \text{Im}[(7 \text{ Feynmann diagrams})]$

$$\sim \frac{\operatorname{vol}(\boldsymbol{M})}{24\pi^2} N^3$$

 $S_3^{(\text{conj})}(N) = (30 \text{ Feynmann Diagrams}) = \{-0.0185185, -0.0362503, -0.0425853, -0.0396434, -0.0348546, -0.0212810, -0.0224422, -0.0264101\}, -0.0348546, -0.0212810, -0.0224422, -0.0264101\}, -0.0348546, -0.0212810, -0.0224422, -0.0264101\}, -0.0348546, -0.0212810, -0.0224422, -0.0264101\}$

-0.0312819, -0.0284423, -0.0260191 = N^3



Discussion

• Large N free energy of 3d $T_N[M]$ on S_b^3 . $\mathcal{F}_b(T_N[M]) = \frac{N^3}{12\pi} (b+b^{-1})^2 \operatorname{vol}(M) + (1/N \operatorname{corrections})$

Holography : Pernici-Sezgin AdS4 solution

3d-3d correspondence

$$Z_{M}^{SL(N)CS}(\hbar) \xrightarrow{\hbar \to 0} \sum_{\alpha} m_{\alpha} Z_{SL(N)CS}^{(\alpha)}(\hbar; M), \quad m_{\alpha} \neq 0 \text{ if and only if } (\alpha) = (\text{conj})$$

$$\lim_{N \to \infty} \frac{1}{N^{3}} \text{Im}[S_{0}^{(\text{conj})}] = -\frac{1}{6} \text{vol}(M), \lim_{N \to \infty} \frac{1}{N^{3}} \text{Re}[S_{1}^{(\text{conj})}] = -\frac{1}{6\pi} \text{vol}(M), \lim_{N \to \infty} \frac{1}{N^{3}} \text{Im}[S_{2}^{(\text{conj})}] = \frac{1}{24\pi^{2}} \text{vol}(M)$$

$$\lim_{N \to \infty} \frac{1}{N^{3}} \text{Re}[S_{2j-1}^{(\text{conj})}] = \lim_{N \to \infty} \frac{1}{N^{3}} \text{Im}[S_{2j}^{(\text{conj})}] = 0 \ (j \ge 2),$$

- Future direction
 - Prove the assumption/conjecture (new state-integral model with manifest SU(N) structure)
 - Include defects

$M2: AdS_2 (\subset AdS_4) \times \gamma (\subset M)$	Wilson loop along γ in $SL(N)$ CS theory
$\mathcal{F}_{M2} \propto (1+b^2)N$ Length (γ) + subleading $1/N$	$\langle W_{\gamma} \rangle \propto \hbar^0 (N \operatorname{Length}(\gamma) + \operatorname{subleading} 1 / N) + \hbar^1$

- 3d to 2d : $T_N[M]$ on S^1

 $N M 5s \mathbb{R}^{1,1} \times S^1 \times M \subset \mathbb{R}^{1,1} \times S^1 \times T^* M \times \mathbb{R}^2 \xrightarrow{S^1 \to 0} N D 4s \mathbb{R}^{1,1} \times M \subset \mathbb{R}^{1,1} \times T^* M \times \mathbb{R}^2$

$$Z_{S^2 \times S^1, D^2 \times S^1}(T_N[M]) \xrightarrow{S^1 \to 0} Z_{S^2, D^2}(T_N[M \times S^1])$$

Thank you !!!

Gravity free energy

- Using the Pernici-Sezgin AdS4 solution, we calculate $\mathcal{F}_{b}^{\text{gravity}} = \frac{(b+b^{-1})^{2}}{4} \mathcal{F}_{b=1}^{\text{gravity}} = \frac{N^{3}}{12\pi} (b+b^{-1})^{2} \operatorname{vol}(M)$
- But the SUGRA solution is incomplete when $M = S^3 \setminus \mathcal{K}$
 - cf) Gaiotto-Maldacena solution

 $T_N[\Sigma_{g,h}]$: 4d theory of class S associated a Riemann sufrace $\Sigma_{g,h}$ of genus g with h punctures

- Nevertheless, we believe the calculation is valid at leading order in 1/NEffect of knot \mathcal{K} enter only through $vol(S^3 \setminus \mathcal{K})$ factor
 - cf) Conformal anomaly coefficients for 4d class S theory
 - $a, c(T_N[\Sigma_{g,h}]) \propto (2 2g h)N^3 + \text{subleadings} = \chi(\Sigma_{g,h})N^3 + \dots$

when punctures are all maximal

• Hyperbolic volume vol(M) is an lalogous to Euler characteristic $\chi(\Sigma)$

Both are topological

can be written as integration of scalar curvature (of hyperbolic metic)