

FOURIER - SATO TRANSFORM,  
BRAID GROUP ACTIONS,  
AND FACTORIZABLE SHEAVES

Vadim Schechtman

*Talk at*

*Kavli Institute for the Physics and Mathematics of the Universe,*

*Kashiwa-no-ha, October 2014*

This is a report on a joint work with Michael Finkelberg, cf. [FS].

# Plan

1. Serre and Tits symmetries, and their quantization : Lusztig's symmetries.
2. Quantum groups, factorizable sheaves, and Fourier - Sato transform.
3. Balance and BV.

## §1. Braid group actions

Let  $M$  be a finite dimensional representation of a complex semisimple Lie group  $G$ . Then two objects act on  $G$  :

- a) the Lie algebra  $\mathfrak{g} = Lie(G)$  ; (b) (an extension of) the Weyl group  $W$ .

These two actions can be  $q$ -deformed.

**1.1. Motivation : non-deformed case.** Let  $\mathfrak{g}$  be a semisimple Lie algebra over  $\mathbb{C}$ . The set of weights  $Poids(L)$  of a finite dimensional  $\mathfrak{g}$ -module  $L = L(\lambda)$  is a convex  $W$ -invariant body.

In fact,  $W$  acts on  $Poids(L)$  and almost acts on  $L$ . For  $1 \leq i \leq r = \text{rank } \mathfrak{g}$ , define

$$\theta_{i,L} = e^{X_i} e^{-Y_i} e^{X_i} : L \xrightarrow{\sim} L, \quad (1.1.1)$$

where  $\{X_i, Y_i, H_i\}$  is the corresponding  $\mathfrak{sl}(2)$ -triple. Then

$$\theta_i(L_\mu) = L_{s_i(\mu)}, \quad (1.1.2)$$

cf. [S], Ch. VII, §4, Remarque 1.

Let  $G$  be the simply connected Lie group corresponding to  $\mathfrak{g}$ ; we have  $W \cong N(T)/T$ . The elements  $\theta_i$  considered as elements of  $G$ , generate a subgroup  $\tilde{W} \subset N(T)$ , an *extended Weyl group* (Tits) included into an extension

$$0 \longrightarrow (\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z})^r \longrightarrow \tilde{W} \longrightarrow W \longrightarrow 0,$$

cf. [T]. The adjoint action of  $G$  on  $\mathfrak{g}$  thus induces an action of  $\tilde{W}$  on  $\mathfrak{g}$ . On the other hand, (1.1.1) gives rise to an action of  $\tilde{W}$  on  $L$ , and we have

$$w(gx) = w(g)w(x), \quad w \in \tilde{W}, \quad (1.1.3)$$

$w \in \tilde{W}, g \in \mathfrak{g}, x \in L.$

**1.2. A  $q$ -deformation : Lusztig's action.** When we replace  $\mathfrak{g}$  by  $U_q\mathfrak{g}$ ,  $\tilde{W}$  will be replaced by the braid group  $B = B(W).$

**Geometric definition of  $B.$**  Let  $R \subset \mathfrak{h}_{\mathbb{R}}^*$  be the root system of  $\mathfrak{g}$ . For each  $\alpha \in R$  consider

$$H_{\alpha} = \text{Ker}(\alpha_{\mathbb{C}}) = \{x \in \mathfrak{h} \mid \alpha(x) = 0\} \subset \mathfrak{h} := \mathfrak{h}_{\mathbb{C}};$$

let

$$\mathfrak{h}^{\text{reg}} = \mathfrak{h} \setminus \bigcup_{\alpha \in R} H_{\alpha}.$$

Then  $PB = \pi_1(\mathfrak{h}^{\text{reg}}), B = \pi_1(\mathfrak{h}^{\text{reg}}/W);$  we have an exact sequence

$$1 \longrightarrow PB \longrightarrow B \longrightarrow W \longrightarrow 1.$$

There is a distinguished central element  $c \in PB$  which corresponds to a loop passing through the opposite Weyl chambers ("the square of the longest element of the Weyl group  $w_0$ ."

In the simply laced case  $B$  is generated by  $T_i, 1 \leq i \leq r$ , subject to relations

$$T_i T_j T_i = T_j T_i T_j$$

if  $a_{ij} = -1$ , and  $T_i T_j = T_j T_i$  if  $a_{ij} = 0$ .  $\square$

**Theorem**, cf. [L], Ch. 39. One can introduce an action of  $B$  on  $\mathfrak{u} = \mathfrak{u}_{q\mathfrak{g}}$  and on integrable  $\mathfrak{u}$ -modules  $M$  in such a way that (1.1.3) holds true.

The action of the pure braid group on  $M$  respects the homogeneous components  $M_\mu$ .

Our aim will be to give a geometric interpretation of the  $PB$  action on  $M_\mu$ .

## §2. Factorizable sheaves and quantum groups

Quantum groups and their representations are realized in some spaces of (generalized) vanishing cycles.

Cf. [BFS].

2.1. We fix a finite root system  $R \subset V$  where  $(V, (\cdot, \cdot))$  is a Euclidean vector space with Cartan matrix  $A = (a_{ij})$ , a base of simple roots  $\{\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_r\}$ ,

and  $q \in \mathbb{C}^*$ . Let  $u_q$  denote the Lusztig's small quantum group.

$$Q_+ = \bigoplus_{i=1}^r \mathbb{N}\alpha_i \subset Q = \bigoplus_{i=1}^r \mathbb{Z}\alpha_i$$

For  $\lambda \in \Lambda = \text{Hom}_{\mathbb{Z}}(Q, \mathbb{Z})$  (the weight lattice),  $L(\lambda)$  will denote the irreducible  $u_q$ -module of highest weight  $\lambda$ .

$$L(\lambda) = \bigoplus_{\mu \in Q_+} L(\lambda)_{\lambda - \mu}$$

2.2. Configurational spaces, local systems. For

$$\mu = \sum_i n_i \alpha_i \in Q_+, \quad n = \sum_i n_i$$

we define the spaces

$$X_\mu = \text{Div}_\mu(\mathbb{C}) = \mathbb{C}^n / \prod \Sigma_i = \{(t_j)\}$$


and  $X_{0,\mu}$  (one point is fixed at 0).

These spaces are naturally stratified; we denote by

$$j_\mu : X_\mu^\circ \hookrightarrow X_\mu, \quad j_{\lambda;\mu} : X_{0,\mu}^\circ \hookrightarrow X_{0,\mu}$$

the respective open strata.

**Brading local system** :  $\mathcal{L}_\mu$  over  $X_\mu^\circ$  has monodromy  $-q^{(\alpha(i),\alpha(j))}$  when  $t_i$  turns around  $t_j$ ;

then plus,  $\mathcal{L}_{\lambda;\mu}$  has monodromy  $-q^{-(\lambda,\alpha(i))}$  when  $t_i$  turns around 0. 

## 2.3. From perverse sheaves to quantum groups and their representations.

**Middle extension.** We set

$$\mathcal{P}_\mu = j_{\mu!} \mathcal{L}_\mu \in \text{Perv}(X_\mu); \mathcal{P}_{\lambda;\mu} = j_{\lambda;\mu!} \mathcal{L}_{\lambda;\mu} \in \text{Perv}(X_{\lambda;\mu})$$

Consider a function "the sum of coordinates"

$$f : X_{\lambda;\mu} \longrightarrow \mathbb{C} \tag{2.3.1}$$

The complex of vanishing cycles  $\Phi_f(\mathcal{P}_{\lambda;\mu})$  is supported at the origin 0; denote

$$\Phi(\mathcal{P}_{\lambda;\mu}) = \Phi_f(\mathcal{P}_{\lambda;\mu})_0. \tag{2.3.2}$$

**Theorem**, cf. [BFS]. *The complex  $\Phi(\mathcal{P}_{\lambda;\mu})$  may have only one, the zeroth, cohomology. We have natural isomorphisms*

$$\Phi(\mathcal{P}_{\lambda;\mu}) \cong L(\lambda)_{\lambda-\mu}.$$



In the same manner, the space  $\Phi(\mathcal{P}_\mu)$  of vanishing cycles on the main diagonal of  $X_\mu$  is identified with the homogeneous component  $u_{q,\mu}^-$ .

This theorem is a part of equivalence of ribbon (= braided balanced) categories

$$\Phi : \mathcal{FS} \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathfrak{u} - \text{mod}$$

Objects of  $\mathcal{FS}$  are certain special "factorizable" perverse sheaves on the spaces  $X_{0;\mu}$ .

**2.4. Microlocalization (Fourier - Sato transform) and the braid group action.** We may vary a function  $f$  (2.3.1) and get a local system of spaces of vanishing cycles

$$\tilde{\Phi}_{\lambda,\mu} = \{\Phi_y(\mathcal{P}_{\lambda,\mu})_0\}$$

where  $y = dg$  runs through a complement to a finite collection of hyperplanes in the cotangent space  $T_0^*(X_{0;\mu})$ .

On the other hand we have natural maps

$$\phi_\mu : \mathfrak{h} \longrightarrow T_0^*(X_{0;\mu}),$$

whence a local system

$$\Phi_{\lambda,\mu}^\vee = \phi_\mu^* \tilde{\Phi}_{\lambda,\mu}$$

over some complement of hyperplanes in  $\mathfrak{h}$ .

**Theorem, [FS].** Let  $q = e^v$  where  $v$  is a formal parameter. (a) The local system  $\Phi_{\lambda,\mu}^\vee$  is smooth on  $\mathfrak{h}^{\text{reg}}$  (i.e. it has no monodromy around the "superfluous" hyperplanes).

This way we get an action of  $PB$  on a fiber

$$(\Phi_{\lambda,\mu}^\vee)_e = \Phi(\mathcal{P}_{\lambda;\mu})$$

(b) The isomorphism from [BFS]

$$\Phi(\mathcal{P}_{\lambda;\mu}) \xrightarrow{\sim} L(\lambda)_{\lambda-\mu}$$

is  $PB$ -equivariant, where on the rhs we consider the Lusztig's action of  $PB$ .  $\square$

### §3. Ribbon, Casimir (Laplacian), and BV

**3.1.** Let  $\mathcal{C}$  be a braided tensor category, i.e. a tensor category equipped with natural isomorphisms

$$R_{X,Y} : X \otimes Y \xrightarrow{\sim} Y \otimes X, \quad X, Y \in \mathcal{C}$$

satisfying Yang - Baxter equations.

A **balance**, aka **ribbon structure** on  $\mathcal{C}$  is an automorphism of the identity functor  $\text{Id}_{\mathcal{C}}$ , i.e. a collection of automorphisms

$$\theta_X : X \xrightarrow{\sim} X$$

such that

$$\theta_{X \otimes Y} (\theta_X \otimes \theta_Y)^{-1} = R_{X,Y} R_{Y,X} \cdot \square \triangleright \triangleleft \text{ (3.1.1)}$$

Thus "the square of  $R$ -matrix is a coboundary".

**Example.**  $\mathcal{C} = u_q - \text{Mod}$ . For each  $M \in \mathcal{C}$  we have the action of the braid group  $B$  on  $M$ , and a central element  $c \in PB \subset B$ . The action of  $c$  on  $M$  gives rise to a balance  $\theta_M$ .

In other words, the action of the braid group may be considered as a generalization of a balanced structure.

As was remarked by M.Kapranov, the formula (3.1.1) is analogous to the classical relation between the resultant and the discriminants of two polynomials

$$\frac{D(fg)}{D(f)D(g)} = R(f, g)^2,$$

cf. [Kap].

**3.2. Explicit formula and Casimir.** Cf. [CP]. Consider a  $\mathbb{C}[h]$ -Hopf algebra  $U = U_{hg}$ , with the antipode  $S$  and the  $R$ -matrix

$$R \in U \otimes U, \quad R \equiv 1 \otimes 1(h).$$

Set

$$u = \mu(S \otimes \text{Id})R_{21} \in U,$$

Then

$$z = uS(u) = S(u)u \in Z(U).$$

One has a canonical isomorphism

$$Z(U_{\hbar}\mathfrak{g}) \cong Z(U\mathfrak{g}). \quad (3.2.1)$$

The image of  $z$  under (3.2.1) is more or less  $e^c$  where  $c \in U^{\vee}$  is the usual quadratic Casimir element.

Note that  $c$  is geometrically a Laplace operator.

**3.3. Batalin - Vilkovisky structures : another appearance of a Laplacian.** Recall that a **Gerstenhaber algebra** is a commutative dg algebra  $C^*$ , so that we have a multiplication  $xy \in C^{i+j}$ ,  $x \in C^i$ ,  $y \in C^j$ , equipped with a (shifted) Lie bracket

$$[x, y] \in C^{i+j-1}, \quad x \in C^i, \quad y \in C^j$$

such that two operations  $xy, [x, y]$  form a  $(-1)$ -shifted  $\mathbb{Z}$ -graded Poisson algebra.

**Example.** If  $X$  is a variety,  $\Lambda \cdot T_X$ , with the Schouten bracket.

A **BV-algebra** is a Gerstenhaber algebra  $C$  equipped with an operator  $\Delta : C^i \rightarrow C^{i-1}$  such that  $\Delta^2 = 0$  and

$$\Delta(xy) - \Delta(x)y - (-1)^i x\Delta(y) = (-1)^i [x, y], \quad x \in C^i.$$

$\Delta$  is an odd differential operator of the second order with respect to the multiplication, "an odd Laplacian".

**Example.**  $\Lambda \cdot T_X$  if we have an integrable connection on  $\omega_X$ , for example if  $X$  is Calabi - Yau.

Hopf algebras are Koszul dual to Gerstenhaber algebras, cf. [K].

Under this duality the even Laplacian from 3.1, 3.2 corresponds to the odd Laplacian from 3.3.

## References

- [BFS] R.Bezrukavnikov, M.Finkelberg, V.Schechtman, Factorizable sheaves and quantum groups, *LNM* **1691**.
- [B] Ph.Boalch,  $G$ -bundles, isomonodromy and quantum Weyl groups, arXiv :math/0108152.
- [CP] V.Chari, A.Pressley, A guide to quantum groups.
- [DCKP] C.De Concini, V.Kac, C.Procesi, Quantum coadjoint action, *JAMS* **5** (1992), 151 - 189.
- [FS] M. Finkelberg, V. Schechtman, Microlocal approach to Lusztig's symmetries, arXiv :1401.5885.
- [K] T.Kadeishvili, On the cobar construction of a bialgebra, arXiv :math/0406502.
- [Kap] M.Kapranov, Colloquium talk, Fukuoka, September 2014.

[L] G. Lusztig, Introduction to quantum groups.

[S] J.-P. Serre, Algèbres de Lie semisimple complexes.

[T] J. Tits, Normalisateurs de tores, I. Groupes de Coxeter finis, *J. Alg.* **4** (1966), 96 - 116.