



# A nAttractor for $AdS_2$ Quantum Gravity

**Finn Larsen**

University of Michigan and Leinweber Center for Theoretical Physics

IPMU, May 22, 2019 .

# Ubiquity of $AdS_2$

- **Extremal** black holes are important: they have the smallest possible mass for given charges so they are **ground states**.
- Spherically symmetric asymptotically flat extremal black holes in  $D = 4$  have **horizon geometry  $AdS_2 \times S^2$** .
- In fact **all** extremal black holes include an  $AdS_2$  factor (a theorem).
- This motivates interest in  **$AdS_2$  quantum gravity**.

# AdS<sub>2</sub>/CFT<sub>1</sub> Holography?

- AdS<sub>d+1</sub>/CFT<sub>d</sub> correspondence is confusing for  $d = 1$ .
- No finite energy excitations possible in AdS<sub>2</sub>:  
Their *backreaction spoil asymptotic AdS<sub>2</sub>* boundary conditions.
- Also many other (related) unpleasantries.
- So AdS<sub>2</sub>/CFT<sub>1</sub> holography is not yet well understood.

# nAdS<sub>2</sub>/nCFT<sub>1</sub> Holography.

- Recently developed version AdS<sub>2</sub>/CFT<sub>1</sub> holography: duality between *nearly* AdS<sub>2</sub> geometry and *nearly* CFT<sub>1</sub>.
- *Conformal symmetry is broken* spontaneously and explicitly.
- Interesting nCFT<sub>1</sub>'s realize the symmetry breaking pattern: *SYK*,....
- Motivation and *outlook*: develop *SUSY breaking* in setting with *precision and detail*.

# This Talk: The Scales

- $n\text{AdS}_2/n\text{CFT}_1$  holography is ***not scale invariant***.
- So: what physical scale(s) appear does the theory depend on?
- Inspiration: the ***extremal***  $\text{AdS}_2$  geometry (including its matter) is determined by an ***Attractor Mechanism***.
- Result: the ***near*** extremal  $\text{AdS}_2$  geometry (and matter supporting it) is determined by a ***nAttractor Mechanism***.

FL 1806.06330 [hep-th] + in progress. Research support by US DoE.

# A Canonical Setting

- 4D  $\mathcal{N} = 2$  ungauged SUGRA with  $n_V$  vector multiplets.
- The black hole parameters are:
  - Mass**  $M$
  - Charges**  $(p^I, q_I)$ ,  $I = 0, \dots, n_V$
  - Asymptotic value of complex scalars**  $z_\infty^i$ ,  $i = 1, \dots, n_V$ .
- For **extreme** black holes the mass  $M$  is not independent: it is **minimal** (a function of the other parameters).
- Extremal black holes in this setting have been studied extensively.

# The Extremal Attractor Mechanism

- A radial **flow**: the scalars  $z^i$  **evolve** from infinity to the horizon.
- The **attractor mechanism**: scalar fields **at the horizon** are independent of their “initial” value at infinity.
- So the horizon theory is **universal**: independent of moduli, including the coupling constants,....
- The attractor mechanism **determines the attractor values** for the scalars (as function of black hole charges).
- “Practical” aspect: **no need to analyze the black hole solutions**.

# Preview: nAttractor Mechanism

- We want to determine the scales characterizing the  $n\text{AdS}_2$  region.
- They will depend on the black hole charges  $(p^I, q_I)$  and the moduli  $z_\infty^i$ .
- A ***nAttractor mechanism***: these scales are computed by a generalization of the ***extremal*** attractor mechanism
- “Practical” aspect: ***no need to analyze nonextremal black hole solutions***.



# A Physical Scale: the Specific Heat

- The *extremal* black hole entropy is a ground state entropy

$$S_0 = \frac{A}{4G_4} = \frac{1}{4G_2}$$

There is *no scale, just a large dimensionless number*.

- The *nearly* extreme black hole entropy has *small temperature*:

$$S = S_0 + \frac{1}{2}\pi LT$$

The length  $L$  is the *symmetry breaking scale*.

- It is essentially the *specific heat*  $C = T\partial_T S$ .

# Near Extreme Black Holes

The “near” of  $n\text{AdS}_2/n\text{CFT}_1$  appears in *two ways*:

1. Black holes only *nearly* extremal. So scalars at the horizon depart from their extremal attractor value.
2. Also:  $n\text{AdS}_2/n\text{CFT}_1$  considers the entire *near horizon region*. So the scalars are *not constant*.

We consider these two challenges in turn.

# Non-Extreme Black Holes

- General **non-extreme** black holes depends on a single **radial function**  $R(r)$ :

$$ds_4^2 = -\frac{r^2 - r_0^2}{R^2(r)} dt^2 + \frac{R^2(r)}{r^2 - r_0^2} dr^2 + R^2(r) d\Omega_2^2$$

- There is an event horizon at  $r = r_0$ .
- Entropy and temperature are encoded in the radial function:

$$S = \frac{\pi R^2(r_0)}{G_4}.$$

$$T = \frac{r_0}{2\pi R^2(r_0)}.$$

- The extremal limit is  $r_0 \rightarrow 0$  **with charges and moduli fixed**.

# Near-Extreme Black Holes

- The near extreme entropy **depends on  $M$** :

$$\Delta S = \frac{\partial S}{\partial M} \Delta M$$

Estimates:  $\Delta S \sim T$  but  $\partial_M S \sim T^{-1}$  (1st law) so  $\Delta M \sim T^2$ .

- The radial function  $R(r)$  depends on  $r$  and **also on  $M$** .

$$\Delta S = \frac{\pi}{G_4} \left( \frac{\partial R^2}{\partial M} \Delta M + \frac{\partial R^2}{\partial r} \Delta r \right)$$

Estimates:  $\Delta S \sim T$  from  $\Delta r \sim r_0 \sim T$ .  $\partial_M R^2$  is **subleading**.

- $\Delta S$  follows from  $R^2$  **at extremality** but at **a new position  $r = r_0$** .
- This is a **major simplification**.

# The Symmetry Breaking Scale

- The symmetry breaking scale only depends on ***moving away from the horizon*** (but not on the solution being non-extreme):

$$L = \frac{2 \Delta S}{\pi T} = \frac{2\pi}{G_4} \left. \frac{\partial R^4}{\partial r} \right|_{\text{hor}} .$$

- Moreover, the dependence is extremely simple: just a radial derivative.
- Highlight: the function  $R(r)$  is that of the ***extremal*** black hole.

# The Extremal Attractor

- For fixed charges, the  $F_{\mu\nu}F^{\mu\nu}$ -type terms in the Lagrangian subject the scalars  $z^i$  to an **effective potential**  $V$ .
- The scalars  $z^i$  are **constant** on the  $\text{AdS}_2 \times S^2$  attractor geometry.
- So the **effective potential  $V$  is extremized**:  $\partial_i V = 0$ .
- The extremum value of the potential gives:  $R^2(0) = G_4 V_{\text{ext}}$ .
- This procedure is identical to the **entropy function formalism**.

# Results of Extremization

- Notation for the resulting radial function on  $\text{AdS}_2 \times S^2$ :

$$R^4(0) = I_4(P^I, Q_I)$$

- The **generating** function  $I_4$  is **quartic** in the charges.
- Example ( $\mathcal{N} = 4$  SUGRA):  $I_4(p^I, q_I) = \vec{p}^2 \vec{q}^2 - (\vec{p}\vec{q})^2$ .
- The **scalar** values at the horizon are **also encoded in  $I_4$** :

$$\begin{pmatrix} X_{\text{hor}}^I \\ F_I^{\text{hor}} \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} p^I \\ q_I \end{pmatrix} - i \begin{pmatrix} -\partial_{q_I} \\ \partial_{p^I} \end{pmatrix} I_4^{1/2}(p^I, q_I)$$

Symplectic section  $(X^I, F_I)$  represents scalars **projectively**:  
 $z^i = X^i / X^0$ .

# Moving Away from the Horizon

- The radial function **at the horizon** depends only on charges.
- It depends on **scalars at infinity** away from the horizon.
- Parametrize scalars at infinity through “charges”  $p_\infty^I, q_I^\infty$ :

$$\begin{pmatrix} X_\infty^I \\ F_I^\infty \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} p_\infty^I \\ q_I^\infty \end{pmatrix} - i \begin{pmatrix} -\partial_{q_I^\infty} \\ \partial_{p_\infty^I} \end{pmatrix} I_4^{1/2}(p_\infty^I, q_I^\infty)$$

- So: parametrize scalars **at infinity** using the charge/scalar relation determined **at the horizon**.
- The **full attractor flow** has the radial function

$$R^4(r) = I_4(P^I + rp_\infty^I, Q_I + rq_I^\infty)$$



# The Symmetry Breaking Scale

- The **radial** derivative of  $R^4$  gives the symmetry breaking scale.
- It is equivalent to **a derivative in charge space**

$$L = \frac{2\pi}{G_4} \left( p_\infty^I \frac{\partial}{\partial P^I} + q_I^\infty \frac{\partial}{\partial Q_I} \right) I_4(P^I, Q_I) .$$

- So the **nAttractor behavior** follows from **attractor geometry**.
- $I_4$  is quartic in the charges;  $L$  is **cubic in charges** and linear in moduli.
- The derivative replaces a charge by its corresponding modulus.

# Explicit Example: The STU Model

- The “four-charge” solution has one electric charge  $q_0$  and three magnetic ones  $p^1, p^2, p^3$ .

- The effective potential

$$V = \frac{1}{8y^1y^2y^3} \left( q_0^2 + (p^1y^2y^3)^2 + (p^2y^3y^1)^2 + (p^3y^1y^2)^2 \right) .$$

The  $y^i$  (with  $i = 1, 2, 3$ ) are scalar fields.

- The **extremal** attractor gives scalar fields  $y^i$  **at the horizon** as

$$y_{\text{hor}}^i = \sqrt{\frac{q_0}{p^1p^2p^3}} p^i$$

independently of their asymptotic values.

- The extremal entropy

$$S = 4\pi V_{\text{hor}} = 2\pi \sqrt{q_0 p^1 p^2 p^3}$$

# A nAttractor Mechanism

- Present moduli **at infinity** as “charges” by inverting

$$y_{\infty}^i = \sqrt{\frac{q_0^{\infty}}{p_{\infty}^1 p_{\infty}^2 p_{\infty}^3}} p_{\infty}^i$$

- The **symmetry breaking scale**/specific heat:

$$\begin{aligned} L &= \frac{2\pi}{G_4} \left( p_{\infty}^i \frac{\partial}{\partial P^i} + q_0^{\infty} \frac{\partial}{\partial Q_0} \right) I_4 \\ &= 2\pi q_0 p^1 p^2 p^3 R_{11} \left( \frac{1}{q_0} + \frac{1}{p^1 y_{\infty}^2 y_{\infty}^3} + \frac{1}{p^2 y_{\infty}^3 y_{\infty}^1} + \frac{1}{p^3 y_{\infty}^1 y_{\infty}^2} \right) \end{aligned}$$

- It **depends on moduli at infinity**:  $R_{11}, y_{\infty}^{1,2,3}$ .
- It depends on **non-trivial combinations of charges**.

# The Long String Scale

- In the *dilute gas regime* the electric charge is *small* compared to magnetic background charges.
- Then the symmetry breaking scale is

$$L = 2\pi p^1 p^2 p^3 R_{11}$$

- This is the *long string scale* known from microscopic black hole models.
- Physics: low energy excitations much lighter  $L^{-1}$  than naïve geometrical estimate  $R_{11}^{-1}$ .

# A Flow of Many Fields

- “The” breaking scale is (essentially) the radial derivative of  $R^2$ .
- Other scalar fields **approach** their fixed value  $z_{\text{hor}}^i$  at the horizon.
- Their radial derivatives from differentiation in charge space:

$$\frac{dz^i}{dr} = \left( p_{\infty}^I \frac{\partial}{\partial P^I} + q_I^{\infty} \frac{\partial}{\partial Q_I} \right) z_{\text{hor}}^i \equiv \frac{L_i}{R^2} z_{\text{hor}}^i$$

- In general **each scalar field introduces a scale**.
- STU example: the four apparent terms are independent scales.

# Effective Boundary Theory

- So far: symmetry breaking scales of the geometry and thermodynamics ***from the UV theory***.
- Now: the symmetry breaking scale in the ***effective IR action***:

$$-\frac{1}{4}L \int_{\partial D} du \left( -\frac{1}{2} \left( \frac{\tau''}{\tau'} \right)^2 + \left( \frac{\tau''}{\tau'} \right)' \right)$$

- How does this action ***emerge from the UV theory***?

# 2D SUGRA Action

- Start from  $\mathcal{N} = 2$  SUGRA in 4D
- Dimensional reduction on  $\mathcal{M}_2 \times S^2$  gives

$$4G_4\mathcal{L}_2 = R^2\mathcal{R}^{(2)} + 2 + 2(\nabla R)^2 - 2R^2g_{i\bar{j}}\nabla_\mu z^i\nabla^\mu\bar{z}^{\bar{j}} - \frac{2V}{R^2}$$

- The effective potential  $V$  depends on electric and magnetic charges  $(p^I, q_I)$  and moduli  $z^i$ .
- $\mathcal{M}_2 = \text{AdS}_2$  with  $R^2 = V = \ell_2^2$  is solution for constant scalars extremizing the potential  $\partial_i V = 0$ .
- We want to compute the ***on-shell action of our solution***.

# Black Hole Geometry

- The **complete (Euclidean) geometry** with  $r^2 = r_0^2 \cosh \frac{\rho}{\ell_2}$ :

$$ds^2 = \frac{\ell_2^2 \sinh^2 \frac{\rho}{\ell_2}}{R^2} d\tau^2 + \frac{R^2}{\ell_2^2} d\rho^2 + R^2 d\Omega_2^2 .$$

- For  $R^2$  constant with  $R = \ell_2$ : geometry is  $\text{AdS}_2$  with radius  $\ell_2$ .
- Here:  $R^2$  given by complete solution, **including flow away from the horizon**.
- Near extreme black holes: there is a near horizon region where:

$$R^2 \sim R_0^2 + (r - r_0) \partial_r R^2 + \dots$$

with  $R^2$  **evaluated for the extreme geometry**.



# The Boundary

- Introduce a **boundary at**  $\rho \sim \rho_c$  with  $\rho_c$  so  $\frac{r_0}{\ell_2} \ll \frac{r_0}{\ell_2} \sinh \frac{\rho_c}{\ell_2} \ll 1$ .
- Allow **general boundary curve**  $(\tau(u), \rho(u))$  but special interest in **thermal boundary**  $\tau = \frac{R^2(r_0)}{r_0} u$  with  $u \in [0, 2\pi]$ .
- Extrinsic curvature of boundary curve:

$$\mathcal{K} = \frac{1}{\ell_2} \coth \frac{\rho}{\ell_2} - \frac{r_0}{\ell_2^2} \sinh \frac{\rho}{\ell_2} \partial_r R + \frac{\ell_2}{(\tau')^2 \sinh^2 \frac{\rho}{\ell_2}} \left( \frac{\tau'''}{\tau'} - \frac{3}{2} \left( \frac{\tau''}{\tau'} \right)^2 \right)$$

- The first two terms are large because  $\rho_c \gg \ell_2$ .

# The Gauss-Bonnet Theorem

- The key terms in the on-shell action

$$\int_M R^2 \mathcal{R} + 2 \int_{\partial M} R^2 \mathcal{K} = 4\pi \chi R^2(r_0) + \dots$$

- $\chi = 1$  for a topological disc.
- Exact result (omit “dots”) applies when **explicit**  $R^2$  constant but the  **$R^2$  in the geometry** general.
- All terms in the “dots” are proportional to the **derivative**  $\partial_r R^2$  of the **explicit**  $R^2$ .

# Effective IR Action from the UV

- With the exception of the Gauss-Bonnet term, **all terms are proportional to  $\partial_r R^2$** :

$$\log Z = -I = \frac{\pi R_0^2}{G_4} + \frac{r_0 \partial_r R^2}{2G_4} \left[ 2\pi + \int du \left( \frac{\tau'''}{\tau'} - \frac{3}{2} \left( \frac{\tau''}{\tau'} \right)^2 \right) \right]$$

- The scale Schwarzian and Gauss-Bonnet terms are the same.
- Restoring the **nAttractor scale L**:

$$\log Z = -I = S_0 + \frac{1}{4} LT \left[ 2\pi + \int du \left( \frac{\tau'''}{\tau'} - \frac{3}{2} \left( \frac{\tau''}{\tau'} \right)^2 \right) \right]$$

- No contribution to the black hole from the Schwarzian:  $\tau \sim u$ .

# Matching in Effective QFT

- UV: boundary curve just *outside the AdS<sub>2</sub> region* gives Schwarzian with determined coefficient.
- IR: boundary curve just *inside the AdS<sub>2</sub> region* gives Schwarzian form but undetermined coefficient.
- Effective QFT: UV and IR computations must give same form of the action.
- The effective parameters in the IR theory are determined by *matching*.

# Summary and Outlook

- $n\text{AdS}_2/n\text{CFT}_1$  holography describes the *near* horizon region of *nearly* extreme black holes.
- The *near* extremality is unimportant: *near* horizon aspect is a radial derivative.
- A *nAttractor* mechanism computes *near* extreme heat capacity and *near* horizon scalars in terms of the *extreme* attractor.
- Generalizations:  $D > 4$ , gauged SUGRA, 4D nonBPS branch, rotation, ... (in progress, with Hong and Liu).
- Does SUSY nonrenormalization protect scales and other physics?