Positive geometry in the diagonal limit of the conformal bootstrap

Ahmadullah Zahed

Based on 1906.07202 with Kallol Sen, Aninda Sinha

Centre for High Energy Physics, Indian Institute of Science, Bangalore

June 24, 2019

Outline

- Introduction
 - Big Picture
- Conformal Bootstrap
- Positive Geometry in diagonal limit
- Positivity Criteria Scalar blocks
- Unitarity Polytope and Crossing Plane
 - N = 1: Bounds on scalar operator
 - Δ_+ and Δ_- for large Δ_{ϕ}
 - Interpretation of Δ_+ and Δ_- from numerical bootstrap
 - Constraints on the first two operator Δ_1 , Δ_2 .
 - Kink from Positive Geometry



Ahmadullah Zahed (IISc)

Positive geometry in the diagonal limit of the conformal bootstrap 3 / 56

イロト イボト イヨト イヨト

■ 3 / 56

Big Picture

arXiv:1812.07739v2 N.Arkani-Hamed, Y.T.Huang, S.H.Shao

Conformal bootstrap \rightarrow geometry problem.

 $\begin{array}{rl} \mbox{Taylor coefficients of} \\ \mbox{Unitarity} \rightarrow & \mbox{four point function} \\ \mbox{lie inside a polytope U} \end{array}$

The consistent solution of the conformal bootstrap entails finding of $U \cap X$

イヨト イヨト イヨト

Crossing \rightarrow

Taylor coefficients of four point function lie on a plane **X**. The polytope **U** is a cyclic polytope \rightarrow face structure known

The conditions for intersection $\mathbf{U} \cap \mathbf{X} \rightarrow \text{New}$ exact results of the spectrum

example Analytic bounds on leading operators Analytic bounds on sub-leading operators Kink from the positive geometry.

イロト イヨト イヨト ・

Conformal Bootstrap

TransformationsvotstrapConformal transformations fixes $x^{\mu} \rightarrow a^{\mu} + x^{\mu}$ $x^{\mu} \rightarrow x^{\mu}$ $x^{\mu} \rightarrow x^{\mu} \wedge x^{\mu}$ $x^{\mu} \rightarrow x^{\mu} - (x \cdot x)b^{\mu}$ $x^{\mu} \rightarrow \frac{x^{\mu} - (x \cdot x)b^{\mu}}{1 - 2(b \cdot x) + (b \cdot b)(x \cdot x)}$

$$\langle \phi(x) \phi(y)
angle = rac{c}{|x-y|^{2\Delta}} \;, \;\; {
m Normalize} \; c = 1$$

$$\langle \phi_1(x_1) \phi_2(x_2) \phi_3(x_3) \rangle = \frac{\lambda_{123}}{|x_{12}|^{2\alpha_{123}} |x_{13}|^{2\alpha_{132}} |x_{23}|^{2\alpha_{33}}}, \quad \alpha_{ijk} = \frac{\Delta_i + \Delta_j - \Delta_k}{2}$$

$$\langle \phi(x_1)\phi(x_2)\phi(x_3)\phi(x_4)\rangle = rac{\mathcal{A}(u,v)}{x_{12}^{2\Delta_{\phi}}x_{34}^{2\Delta_{\phi}}}$$

$$u = \frac{x_{12}^2 x_{34}^2}{x_{13}^2 x_{24}^2}, \ v = \frac{x_{14}^2 x_{23}^2}{x_{13}^2 x_{24}^2}$$
(2.1)

U, V are cross ratios Positive geometry in the diagonal limit of the conformal bootstrap 6 / 56

Ahmadullah Zahed (IISc)

Conformal Bootstrap

$$\langle \phi(x_1)\phi(x_2)\phi(x_3)\phi(x_4)
angle = rac{\mathcal{A}(u,v)}{x_{12}^{2\Delta_{\phi}}x_{34}^{2\Delta_{\phi}}}$$

$$u = \frac{x_{12}^2 x_{34}^2}{x_{13}^2 x_{24}^2}, \quad v = \frac{x_{14}^2 x_{23}^2}{x_{13}^2 x_{24}^2}; \quad u = z\overline{z}, \quad v = (1 - z)(1 - \overline{z})$$
(2.2)
$$\frac{4(u, v)}{z_{13}^2} = \sum_{i=1}^{n} C_{i-1} C_{i-1}$$

$$\mathcal{A}(u,v) = \sum_{\Delta,\ell} C_{\Delta,\ell} \mathcal{G}_{d,\Delta,\ell}(u,v)$$
(2.3)

Conformal blocks $\mathcal{G}_{\Delta,\ell}(u,v)$ are

- Conformally invariant.
- ② Consistent with factorization.
- Onsistent with OPE.

Conformal blocks are not crossing symmetric!

3

Conformal Bootstrap

Crossing Equation

 $\langle \phi(\mathbf{x}_{1}) \phi(\mathbf{x}_{2}) \phi(\mathbf{x}_{3}) \phi(\mathbf{x}_{4}) \rangle = \langle \phi(\mathbf{x}_{1}) \phi(\mathbf{x}_{4}) \phi(\mathbf{x}_{3}) \phi(\mathbf{x}_{2}) \rangle$ $\mathcal{A}(u, v) = \left(\frac{u}{v}\right)^{\Delta_{\phi}} \mathcal{A}(v, u)$ $\sum_{\Delta, \ell} C_{\Delta, \ell} \underbrace{\left(\mathcal{G}_{d, \Delta, \ell}(u, v) - \left(\frac{u}{v}\right)^{\Delta_{\phi}} \mathcal{G}_{d, \Delta, \ell}(v, u)\right)}_{F_{d, \Delta, \ell}^{\Delta_{\phi}}(u, v)} = 0 \qquad (2.4)$

э

Diagonal limit of Blocks

arXiv:1305.1321 M.Hogervorst, H.Osborn, S.Rychkov

$$u=z\bar{z}, \ v=(1-z)(1-\bar{z})$$

Diagonal limit $Z
ightarrow ar{z}$

$$\mathcal{A}(z) = \sum_{\Delta,\ell} C_{\Delta,\ell} \mathcal{G}_{d,\Delta,\ell}(z)$$

 $\mathcal{G}_{d,\Delta,\ell}(z)$ for ℓ is even

$$\begin{split} \mathcal{G}_{d,\Delta,\ell}(z) = & \frac{\left(\frac{z^2}{1-z}\right)^{\Delta/2} (d-2)_{\ell} \left(\frac{\Delta+1}{2}\right)_{\frac{\ell}{2}}}{\left(\frac{d-2}{2}\right)_{\ell} \left(\frac{\Delta}{2}\right)_{\frac{\ell}{2}} \left(\frac{1}{2} (-d-\ell+\Delta+3)\right)_{\frac{\ell}{2}}} \sum_{r=0}^{\frac{\ell}{2}} \frac{\left(\frac{1}{2}\right)_{r} \left(\frac{\ell}{r}\right) \left(\frac{d-2+\ell}{2}\right)_{r} \left(\frac{2-d+\Delta-\ell}{2}\right)_{\frac{\ell}{2}-r}}{\left(\frac{1+\Delta}{2}\right)_{r}} \\ & \times \ _{3}F_{2} \left(-\frac{d}{2} + \frac{\Delta}{2} + 1, r + \frac{\Delta}{2}, \frac{\Delta}{2}; r + \frac{\Delta}{2} + \frac{1}{2}, -\frac{d}{2} + \Delta + 1; \frac{z^{2}}{4(z-1)}\right). \end{split}$$

Ahmadullah Zahed (IISc)

Bootstrap in diagonal limit

Crossing Equation

$$\mathcal{A}(z) = \left(rac{z}{1-z}
ight)^{2\Delta_{\phi}} \mathcal{A}(1-z)$$

$$1 + \sum_{\Delta,\ell} C_{\Delta,\ell} \mathcal{G}_{d,\Delta,\ell}(z) = \left(\frac{z}{1-z}\right)^{2\Delta_{\phi}} + \left(\frac{z}{1-z}\right)^{2\Delta_{\phi}} \sum_{\Delta,\ell} C_{\Delta,\ell} \mathcal{G}_{d,\Delta,\ell}(1-z)$$

$$\sum_{\Delta,\ell} C_{\Delta,\ell} \mathcal{F}_{d,\Delta,\ell}(z) = 1,$$

where

$$\mathcal{F}_{d,\Delta,\ell}(z) = \frac{(1-z)^{2\Delta_{\phi}} \mathcal{G}_{d,\Delta,\ell}(z) - z^{2\Delta_{\phi}} \mathcal{G}_{d,\Delta,\ell}(1-z)}{z^{2\Delta_{\phi}} - (1-z)^{2\Delta_{\phi}}}$$

Ahmadullah Zahed (IISc)

イロト イポト イヨト イヨト

- 20

$$\sum_{\Delta,\ell} C_{\Delta,\ell} \mathcal{F}_{d,\Delta,\ell}(z) = 1\,,$$

Taking derivatives of the equation around z = 1/2

$$\sum_{\Delta,\ell} C_{\Delta,\ell} \partial_z^{2m} \mathcal{F}_{d,\Delta,\ell}(z)|_{z=1/2} = 0, \ m > 0$$

Crossing condition can be satisfied?.

Obtain bounds on leading operator dimension Δ_1 . The conditions says that Δ_1 should be below the curve.



Positive Geometry in diagonal limit

Conformal bootstrap equations \rightarrow in the language of polytopes. Taylor expansion around $z = \frac{1}{2}$ truncated upto 2N + 2 terms.

$$\mathcal{A}(z) = \mathcal{A}^0 + \mathcal{A}^1 y + \mathcal{A}^2 y^2 + \dots + \mathcal{A}^{2N+1} y^{2N+1}$$
$$G_{d,\Delta,\ell}(z) = G^0_{d,\Delta,\ell} + G^1_{d,\Delta,\ell} y + G^2_{d,\Delta,\ell} y^2 + \dots + G^{2N+1}_{d,\Delta,\ell} y^{2N+1}$$

Conformal bootstrap $\rightarrow 2N + 1$ -dimensional geometry problem.

$$\mathcal{A}(z) \to \mathbf{A} = \begin{pmatrix} A^{0} \\ A^{1} \\ \vdots \\ A^{2N+1} \end{pmatrix}; \quad \mathcal{G}_{d,\Delta,\ell}(z) \to \mathbf{G}_{d,\Delta,\ell} = \begin{pmatrix} G^{0}_{d,\Delta,\ell} \\ G^{1}_{d,\Delta,\ell} \\ \vdots \\ G^{2N+1}_{d,\Delta,\ell} \end{pmatrix} : F' \equiv \frac{1}{l!} \partial_{z}' F(z)|_{z=1/2}, F = \mathcal{A} \text{ or } \mathcal{G}$$

$$\mathbf{A} = \sum_{\Delta,\ell} C_{\Delta,\ell} \mathbf{G}_{d,\Delta,\ell} \quad ; \quad C_{\Delta,\ell} > 0$$

$$A = \sum_{\Delta,\ell} C_{\Delta,\ell} \mathbf{G}_{d,\Delta,\ell} \quad ; \quad C_{\Delta,\ell} > 0$$
Positive geometry in the diagonal limit of the conformal bootstrap
$$12 / 56$$

Ahmadullah Zahed (IISc)

Positive geometry in the diagonal limit of the conformal bootstrap

 $y = z - \frac{1}{2}$





Now someone gives you further information that "a" lies on line connecting two points, say the line is (v_5, v_6) $\downarrow \downarrow$ Determining the intersection of the line with the tetragon you will be more sure about the region where **a** lies.







For future reference, $\sum_i c_i = 1$ defines the convex hull of the vectors v_i . This four points actually form a polytope in 2d. Convex polygon are cycilc polytope in 2d.

We will play same game with

$$\mathbf{A} = \sum_{\Delta,\ell} \, C_{\Delta,\ell} \mathbf{G}_{d,\Delta,\ell}$$
 ; $C_{\Delta,\ell} > 0$

< □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ >

We can consider the expansion of $\mathbf{A} \to t \mathbf{A}$ The cone spanned by $\mathbf{G}_{d,\Delta,\ell} \to \alpha_{\Delta,\ell} \mathbf{G}_{d,\Delta,\ell}$, $\alpha_{\Delta,\ell} > 0$ *i.e*

$$\mathbf{A} = t \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ \vec{\mathcal{A}} \end{pmatrix}$$
 in terms of $\mathbf{G}_{d,\Delta,\ell} = \alpha_{\Delta,\ell} \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ \vec{\mathcal{G}}_{d,\Delta,\ell} \end{pmatrix}$,

Gives
$$\sum \alpha_{\Delta,\ell} C_{\Delta,\ell} \equiv \sum C'_{\Delta,\ell} = t$$
.
So we have

$$\vec{\mathcal{A}} = \sum_{\Delta,\ell} \lambda_{\Delta,\ell} \vec{\mathcal{G}}_{d,\Delta,\ell} , \quad \lambda_{\Delta,\ell} = \frac{C'_{\Delta,\ell}}{\sum C'_{\Delta,\ell}}$$

 $\sum_{\Delta,\ell} \lambda_{\Delta,\ell} = 1 \rightarrow \text{ convex hull of } \vec{G}_{d,\Delta,\ell} \rightarrow \text{ a polytope in } \mathbb{R}^{2N+1}.$

 $\mathbf{A} = \sum_{\Delta,\ell} C_{\Delta,\ell} \mathbf{G}_{d,\Delta,\ell}$; $C_{\Delta,\ell} > 0 \rightarrow$ projective polytope in \mathbb{P}^{2N+1} .

.



We will play same game with

$$\mathsf{A} = \sum_{\Delta,\ell} \, C_{\Delta,\ell} \mathsf{G}_{d,\Delta,\ell}$$
 ; $C_{\Delta,\ell} > 0$

So our next task will be to show that We get a cyclic polytopes from the vectors $G_{d,\Delta,\ell}$ (from Unitarity) Also to show that A lies on a plane (from Crossing) that intersects the polytope. Cyclic Polytopes

arXiv:1812.07739v2 N.Arkani-Hamed, Y.T.Huang, S.H.Shao

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ □ ● ● ●

Cyclic polytope which vertices have an ordering $v_1, \ldots v_n$ such that

 $\langle \mathbf{v}_{i_1}, \mathbf{v}_{i_2}, \dots, \mathbf{v}_{i_D} \rangle$, have same sign $\forall i_1 < i_2 < \dots < i_D$.

Faces of cyclic polytope are known

Positivity Criteria

arXiv:1812.07739v2 N.Arkani-Hamed, Y.T.Huang, S.H.Shao

From CFT spectrum, the block vectors can be ordered simply in terms of increasing Δ .

Ordered set of vectors $(i_i, i_2, \cdots i_{D+1})$ $\Delta_{i_1} < \Delta_{i_2} < \cdots < \Delta_{i_{D+1}}$.

Conditions for a cyclic polytope translates into

$$\begin{split} \langle i_1, i_2, \cdots, i_{D+1} \rangle &\equiv \epsilon_{l_1 l_2 \cdots l_{D+1}} G_{d, \Delta_{i_1}, \ell}^{l_1} \cdots G_{d, \Delta_{i_{D+1}}, \ell}^{l_{D+1}}, \quad \text{same sign}, \\ \\ "i" \text{ is short hand for } \to \mathbf{G}_{d, \Delta_i, \ell} & = \begin{pmatrix} \mathbf{G}_{d, \Delta_i, \ell}^0 \\ \mathbf{G}_{d, \Delta_i, \ell}^1 \\ \vdots \\ \mathbf{G}_{d, \Delta_i, \ell}^{2N+1} \\ \mathbf{G}_{d, \Delta_i, \ell}^1 \end{pmatrix} \end{split}$$

the positivity of a D-dimensional unitary polytope.

20 / 56

▲□▶▲□▶▲글▶▲글▶ 글 のQ@

We give a single shot verification for the positivity.

Define,

$$F_{m,n} = \frac{1}{m!} \partial_{\Delta}{}^n \partial_z{}^m G_{d,\Delta,\ell}(z)|_{z=1/2}$$

Then construct \mathbf{K}_{2N+1} , $(2N+1) \times (2N+1)$ matrix,

$$\mathbf{K}_{2N+1}(d,\Delta,\ell) = \begin{pmatrix} F_{0,0} & F_{1,0} & \dots & \dots & F_{2N+1,0} \\ F_{0,1} & F_{1,1} & \dots & \dots & \dots \\ \dots & \dots & F_{i,j} & \dots & \dots \\ \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots \\ F_{0,2N+1} & \dots & \dots & \dots & F_{2N+1,2N+1} \end{pmatrix}$$

Condition for positivity

$$g_i = rac{\left| {f K}_i(d,\Delta,\ell)
ight| \left| {f K}_{i-2}(d,\Delta,\ell)
ight|}{\left| {f K}_{i-1}(d,\Delta,\ell)
ight|^2} > 0 \,,$$

Ahmadullah Zahed (IISc)

イロト 不得下 イヨト イヨト 二日

$\Delta \gg d,\ell$ limit of diagonal block

Leading order Block,

$$\mathcal{G}_{d,\Delta,\ell}^{approx}(z) = \frac{\sqrt{\pi}2^{-\frac{3d}{2}+2\Delta+3} \left(\sqrt{1-Z}+1\right)^{d/2} \left(\frac{Z}{Z+2\sqrt{1-Z}-2}\right)^{-\frac{\Delta}{2}} \Gamma(d+\ell-2)}{\Gamma\left(\frac{d-1}{2}\right) \Gamma\left(\frac{d}{2}+\ell-1\right)} \left(1+O\left(\frac{1}{\Delta}\right)\right)$$

Computing g_i analytically

 $g_i \approx 2\sqrt{2}: \quad \forall \ i, \quad \Delta \gg d, \ell \,.$

Ahmadullah Zahed (IISc)

Positive geometry in the diagonal limit of the conformal bootstrap 22 / 56

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲ 三▶ ▲ 三▶ - 三 - のへで

Positivity Criteria



23 / 56



So far we have learnt that Unitarity demands

$$\mathbf{A} = \sum_{\Delta,\ell} C_{\Delta,\ell} \mathbf{G}_{d,\Delta,\ell} \hspace{3 mm} ; \hspace{3 mm} C_{\Delta,\ell} > 0$$

A lies inside the polytope spanned by block vectors $G_{d,\Delta,\ell}$

< □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ >

э

Now we turn to Crossing Symmetry

$$\mathcal{A}(z) = \left(rac{z}{1-z}
ight)^{2\Delta_{\phi}} \mathcal{A}(1-z).$$

Taylor Expand around z = 1/2, This equation relates odd \mathcal{A}^{2n+1} in terms of the even $\mathcal{A}^{2n}_{\mathcal{A}} = \begin{pmatrix} \mathcal{A}^0 \\ \mathcal{A}^1 \\ \vdots \\ \mathcal{A}^{2N+1} \end{pmatrix}$ This in turn defines a hyperplane $\mathbf{X}[\Delta_{\phi}]$ which is a $(2N+2) \times (N+1)$ matrix in \mathbb{P}^{2N+1} .

Crossing Symmetry demands **A** lies on the hyperplane $\mathbf{X}[\Delta_{\phi}]$





э

• • = • • = •

Crossing Symmetry demands **A** lies on the hyperplane $\mathbf{X}[\Delta_{\phi}]$

Ahmadullah Zahed (IISc)

Positive geometry in the diagonal limit of the conformal bootstrap 26 / 56

For example N = 2

$$\mathbf{A} = \begin{pmatrix} \mathcal{A}^{0} \\ 4\Delta_{\phi}\mathcal{A}^{0} \\ \mathcal{A}^{2} \\ \frac{16}{15}\left(\Delta_{\phi} - 4\Delta_{\phi}^{3}\right)\mathcal{A}^{0} + 4\Delta_{\phi}\mathcal{A}^{2} \\ \frac{64}{15}\Delta_{\phi}\left(32\Delta_{\phi}^{4} - 20\Delta_{\phi}^{2} + 3\right)\mathcal{A}^{0} - \frac{16}{3}\Delta_{\phi}\left(4\Delta_{\phi}^{2} - 1\right)\mathcal{A}^{2} + 4\Delta_{\phi}\mathcal{A}^{4} \\ \vdots \end{pmatrix} \in \mathbb{P}^{2N+1}$$

and



▲椰 ▶ ▲ 国 ▶ ▲ 国 ▶ 二 国

Implementing Bootstrap

arXiv:1812.07739v2 N.Arkani-Hamed, Y.T.Huang, S.H.Shao

A B A B A B A B A B A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A

Now we have both ingredients for bootstrap in the projective picture. Unitarity demands

A lies inside the polytope spanned by block vectors $G_{d,\Delta,\ell}$

Crossing Symmetry demands **A** lies on the hyperplane $X[\Delta_{\phi}]$

i.e. the consistent solution of bootstrap entails the region $U[\Delta] \cap X[\Delta_{\phi}].$

arXiv:1812.07739v2 N.Arkani-Hamed, Y.T.Huang, S.H.Shao

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲∃▶ ▲∃▶ = ののの

The question now is given $\mathbf{U}[\Delta]$, what are the conditions determining the intersection with $\mathbf{X}[\Delta_{\phi}]$.

The Story is

k-plane intersects with a D-dimensional polytope with a D - k face at a point given by,

$$\mathbf{v}_1 \langle \mathbf{v}_2, \mathbf{v}_3, \mathbf{v}_4, \dots, \mathbf{v}_{D-k}, \mathbf{X} \rangle - \mathbf{v}_2 \langle \mathbf{v}_1, \mathbf{v}_3, \mathbf{v}_4, \dots, \mathbf{v}_{D-k}, \mathbf{X} \rangle + \dots$$

For a point inside the polytope and satisfying the intersection property above,

 $\langle \mathbf{v}_2, \mathbf{v}_3, \mathbf{v}_4, \dots, \mathbf{v}_{D-k}, \mathbf{X} \rangle, - \langle \mathbf{v}_1, \mathbf{v}_3, \mathbf{v}_4, \dots, \mathbf{v}_{d-k}, \mathbf{X} \rangle, \langle \mathbf{v}_1, \mathbf{v}_2, \mathbf{v}_4, \dots, \mathbf{v}_{D-k}, \mathbf{X} \rangle$ must have the same sign. A further simplification occurs when one of the vertex vectors is the identity operator $\mathbf{v}_0 = (1, 0, 0..., 0)$ or the infinity operator $\mathbf{v}_\infty = (0, 0..., 0, 1)$ since this reduces the dimensionality of the problem.

N = 1: Bounds on scalar operator

The two-dimensional facets consists of the following two sets $\begin{array}{l} (0,i,i+1), \quad (i,i+1,\infty) \\ \text{where ``i'' is } \mathbf{G}_{d,\Delta_i,0}, \quad 0 \text{ is the identity operator } \mathbf{G}_{d,\Delta_0,0} = (1,0,\cdots,0) \\ \quad \text{and } \infty \text{ is } \mathbf{G}_{d,\Delta_\infty,0} = (0,0,\cdots,1) \ . \end{array}$ The subscripts i and i+1 label two operators $\Delta_i < \Delta_{i+1}$ with nothing in between

The crossing plane **X** is one-dimensional, which is a 4×2 matrix

$$oldsymbol{X} = \left(egin{array}{cccc} 1 & 0 \ 4\Delta_{\phi} & 0 \ 0 & 1 \ rac{16}{3}\left(\Delta_{\phi} - 4\Delta_{\phi}^3
ight) & 4\Delta_{\phi} \end{array}
ight)$$

Ahmadullah Zahed (IISc)

イロト イボト イヨト イヨト

э

arXiv:1812.07739v2 N.Arkani-Hamed, Y.T.Huang, S.H.Shao

Using the sign rule of determinant The crossing plane **X** intersects with the face (0, i, i + 1) if and only if

$$\langle \mathbf{X}, i, i+1
angle, -\langle \mathbf{X}, 0, i+1
angle, \langle \mathbf{X}, 0, i
angle,$$
 have same sign

Similarly the crossing plane **X** intersects with the face $(i, i + 1, \infty)$ if and only if

$$\langle \mathbf{X}, i, i+1 \rangle, \quad -\langle \mathbf{X}, \infty, i+1 \rangle, \quad \langle \mathbf{X}, \infty, i \rangle \qquad \text{have same sign}$$

イロト イヨト イヨト イヨト

The crossing plane intersects with the polytope iff either one of the two conditions is satisfied.

Of course generically if one condition is satisfied the other will not be;

To extract useful constraints from these conditions, it is often useful to derive necessary (but not necessarily sufficient) conditions by projecting the geometry to lower dimensions. For example We take **X** to be intersect $\mathbf{U}[\{\Delta_i\}]$ on both kinds of faces by forcing

 $\langle \mathbf{X}, i, i+1 \rangle = 0$

Also we take projection through identity $\langle 0, \mathbf{X}, \Delta \rangle = 0$.

The crossing plane intersects with the block curve at two points. These two points are the solution to the equation $\langle 0, \textbf{X}, \Delta \rangle = 0, \quad \Delta_+ \text{ and } \Delta_-$

There must exist at least an operator with dimension Δ satisfying

 $\Delta_- < \Delta < \Delta_+$

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ □ ののの

The solutions
$$\Delta_+, \Delta_-$$
 for large Δ_ϕ

$$\begin{split} \Delta_{+} &= 2\sqrt{2}\Delta_{\phi} + \frac{\left(2\sqrt{2}-3\right)d+6}{4\sqrt{2}} + \frac{12-d(d+6)}{128\sqrt{2}\Delta_{\phi}} - \frac{3(d(d(d+2)-44)+88)}{2048\sqrt{2}\Delta_{\phi}^{2}} \\ &+ \frac{d(-d(d+6)(37d-282)-7392)+15216}{131072\sqrt{2}\Delta_{\phi}^{3}} + O\left(\frac{1}{\Delta_{\phi}^{4}}\right) \\ \Delta_{-} &= \sqrt{2}\Delta_{\phi} + \frac{1}{8}\left(4-3\sqrt{2}\right)d - \frac{(d-6)d+12}{64\sqrt{2}\Delta_{\phi}} - \frac{3\left((d-4)^{2}d-32\right)}{512\sqrt{2}\Delta_{\phi}^{2}} \\ &+ \frac{d(d((372-37d)d-1188)+480)-1680}{16384\sqrt{2}\Delta_{\phi}^{3}} + O\left(\frac{1}{\Delta_{\phi}^{4}}\right) \end{split}$$

Ahmadullah Zahed (IISc)

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆三▶ ◆三▶ 三三 - のへで

We can also expand around $\Delta_{\Phi} = \Delta_{\phi} + a$ We can choose *a* whatever we want.

$$\begin{split} \Delta_{+} &= 2\sqrt{2}\Delta_{\Phi} + \frac{1}{8} \left(-16\sqrt{2}a + \left(4 - 3\sqrt{2}\right)d + 6\sqrt{2} \right) + \frac{12 - d(d+6)}{128\sqrt{2}\Delta_{\Phi}} \\ &+ \frac{-16a(d(d+6) - 12) - 3(d(d(d+2) - 44) + 88)}{2048\sqrt{2}\Delta_{\Phi}^{2}} \\ &+ \frac{-1024a^{2}(d(d+6) - 12) - 384a(d(d(d+2) - 44) + 88) + d(-d(d+6)(37d - 282) - 7392) + 15216}{131072\sqrt{2}\Delta_{\Phi}^{3}} \\ &+ O\left(\frac{1}{\Delta_{\Phi}^{4}}\right) \\ \Delta_{-} &= \sqrt{2}\Delta_{\Phi} + \left(\frac{1}{8} \left(4 - 3\sqrt{2}\right)d - \sqrt{2}a\right) - \frac{(d-6)d + 12}{64\sqrt{2}\Delta_{\Phi}} + \frac{-8a((d-6)d + 12) - 3\left((d-4)^{2}d - 32\right)}{512\sqrt{2}\Delta_{\Phi}^{2}} \\ &+ \frac{-256a^{2}((d-6)d + 12) - 192a\left((d-4)^{2}d - 32\right) + d(d((372 - 37d)d - 1188) + 480) - 1680}{16384\sqrt{2}\Delta_{\Phi}^{3}} \\ &+ O\left(\frac{1}{\Delta_{\Phi}^{4}}\right) \end{split}$$

Ahmadullah Zahed (IISc)

(日)

3





(c) Δ_+, Δ_- vs Δ_{ϕ} for d = 3

(d) Δ_+, Δ_- vs Δ_{ϕ} for d = 4

< □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ >

Figure: Solid lines represent Δ_+, Δ_- using exact block, dashed lines represent a = 1 and dotted are a = 0.

14 12 10

⊲

Interpretation of Δ_+ and Δ_- from numerical bootstrap

Crossing Symmetry

$$1 + \sum_{\Delta,\ell} C_{\Delta,\ell} \mathcal{G}_{d,\Delta,\ell}(z) = \left(\frac{z}{1-z}\right)^{2\Delta_{\phi}} + \left(\frac{z}{1-z}\right)^{2\Delta_{\phi}} \sum_{\Delta,\ell} C_{\Delta,\ell} \mathcal{G}_{d,\Delta,\ell}(1-z)$$

Rearrange the equation a bit

$$\sum_{\Delta,\ell} C_{\Delta,\ell} \mathcal{F}_{d,\Delta,\ell}(z) = 1 \,,$$

where

$$\mathcal{F}_{d,\Delta,\ell}(z) = rac{(1-z)^{2\Delta_\phi}\mathcal{G}_{d,\Delta,\ell}(z)-z^{2\Delta_\phi}\mathcal{G}_{d,\Delta,\ell}(1-z)}{z^{2\Delta_\phi}-(1-z)^{2\Delta_\phi}}\,,$$

We can write

$$\sum_{\Delta,\ell} C_{\Delta,\ell} \partial_z^2 \mathcal{F}_{d,\Delta,\ell}(z)|_{z=1/2} = 0\,,$$

Ahmadullah Zahed (IISc)

39 / 56



It is clear that $\partial_z^2 \mathcal{F}_{d,\Delta,0}(z)|_{z=1/2}$ changes its sign at indicated values. At least there should be one operator below Δ_+ (the larger value) in order to satisfy equation $\sum_{\Delta,\ell} C_{\Delta,\ell} \partial_z^2 \mathcal{F}_{d,\Delta,\ell}(z)|_{z=1/2} = 0$,

・ 何 ト ・ ヨ ト ・ ヨ ト

Constraints on the first two operator Δ_1 , Δ_2 .

For $\Delta_1 < \Delta_-$ we should have $\Delta_2 < \Delta_+$, since there should atleast one operator between (Δ_-, Δ_+) as we observed in N = 1 case.

And also $\Delta_1 > \Delta_+$ not allowed if Δ_1 is the leading operator.

Necessary conditions from N = 2 is

For $\Delta_- < \Delta_1 < \Delta_+$, Δ_2 must be below the curve $\langle \mathbf{X}, 0, \Delta_1, \Delta_2 \rangle = 0$ otherwise some of the sign rule of determinant will not satisfied.



Figure: Black solid line represents Δ_+ and black dashed Δ_- . The region below the curve is allowed. Before the black dashed line, $\Delta_1 < \Delta_-$ and hence Δ_2 must be smaller than Δ_+ . After the dashed line $\Delta_- < \Delta_1$, Δ_2 must be below the curve $\langle \mathbf{X}, 0, \Delta_1, \Delta_2 \rangle = 0$. Finally $\Delta_1 > \Delta_+$ is ruled out.

< 同 ト < 三 ト < 三 ト



Figure: How the curve $\langle \mathbf{X}, 0, \Delta_1, \Delta_2 \rangle = 0$ changes if we put the second operator with spin? In figure we have taken 2D ising model $\Delta_{\phi} = \frac{1}{8}$ and used the spin $\ell = 2$ block for the operator Δ_2 *i.e* we used $\mathbf{G}_{2,\Delta_2,2}$ instead of $\mathbf{G}_{2,\Delta_2,0}$. One can see the feature $\Delta = 2$ is allowed for $\ell = 2$. Orange line is using spin-2 block for Δ_2 and blue dashed line is using scalar block for Δ_2 .

Kink from Positive Geometry



() < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < ()

Kink from Positive Geometry

We consider 10 scalar operators Δ_i

where Δ_0 is the identity operator and Δ_9 is the infinity vector.

 Δ_1 is the leading operator and $\Delta_i, i \ge 2$ are chosen randomly to be above Δ_1 (but ordered).

The intersection conditions are now checked.

For N=1 we find essentially the same results as from Δ_+

For N = 2, we find that there is a kink type feature in the plot as in the figure,

イロト 不得 トイラト イラト 二日

Kink from Positive Geometry

d = 2





通 ト イ ヨ ト イ ヨ ト

Thank You

Ahmadullah Zahed (IISc)

Positive geometry in the diagonal limit of the conformal bootstrap 47 / 56

Polytopes

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲ 三▶ ▲ 三▶ - 三 - のへで

Computations

Given a polytopes in \mathbb{P}^D built out of $\{\mathbf{v}_i\}$ $(\mathbf{v}_{i_1}, \mathbf{v}_{i_2}, \dots \mathbf{v}_{i_D}) \rightarrow \text{ facets}$ we need,

 $\langle \mathbf{v}_i, \mathbf{v}_{i_1}, \dots \mathbf{v}_{i_D}
angle$ have the same sign $\ \forall \ i$.

 \mathbf{v}_i or *i* we simply refer to $\mathbf{G}_{d,\Delta,\ell}$

An example: 2d polygons.

Three points v_1 , v_2 , v_3 in 2d plane projectively associated with three-vectors \mathbf{v}_1 , \mathbf{v}_2 , \mathbf{v}_3 .

If v_1, v_2, v_3 collinear $\rightarrow \langle \mathbf{v}_1, \mathbf{v}_2, \mathbf{v}_3 \rangle = 0$, *i.e.*

$$det \left(\begin{array}{ccc} v_1^{(x)} & v_1^{(y)} & v_1^{(z)} \\ v_2^{(x)} & v_2^{(y)} & v_2^{(z)} \\ v_3^{(x)} & v_3^{(x)} & v_3^{(z)} \end{array} \right) = 0 \, .$$

 $\text{ If } \textit{v}_3 \text{ is not on the line } (\textit{v}_1\textit{v}_2) \rightarrow \ \langle \textit{\textbf{v}}_1, \textit{\textbf{v}}_2, \textit{\textbf{v}}_3 \rangle > 0 \text{ or } \langle \textit{\textbf{v}}_1, \textit{\textbf{v}}_2, \textit{\textbf{v}}_3 \rangle < 0,$

If
$$v_4$$
, v_3 is on same side of $(v_1v_2) \rightarrow \langle \mathbf{v}_1, \mathbf{v}_2, \mathbf{v}_3 \rangle$, $\langle \mathbf{v}_1, \mathbf{v}_2, \mathbf{v}_4 \rangle$
same sign

▲□▶▲□▶▲글▶▲글▶ 글 のQ@

This generalize to 2d convex n-gon
formed by the vectors
$$\mathbf{v}_1, \mathbf{v}_2, \dots \mathbf{v}_n$$
.
 $(v_{i_1}v_{i_2})$ is a edge if

 $\langle \mathbf{v}_i, \ \mathbf{v}_{i_1}, \ \mathbf{v}_{i_2}
angle$ have same sign ; $orall \ i$.

In general D-dimension will be

 $\langle \mathbf{v}_i, \ \mathbf{v}_{i_1}, \ \mathbf{v}_{i_2} \dots \mathbf{v}_{i_d} \rangle$ have same sign ; $\forall i$.

Ahmadullah Zahed (IISc)

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲ 三▶ ▲ 三▶ - 三 - のへで

Backup Intersection explain

Ahmadull

To see this

We again go back to 2d and ask what is the intersection the two lines spanned by the point pairs (ab) and (cd).

Point of intersection is $\langle \mathbf{c}, \mathbf{d}, \mathbf{b} \rangle \mathbf{a} - \langle \mathbf{c}, \mathbf{d}, \mathbf{a} \rangle \mathbf{b}$.

To prove that this point is indeed collinear with (*ab*) and (*cd*) one have to use $\langle \mathbf{v}_1, \mathbf{v}_2, \mathbf{v}_3 \rangle = 0$.

A 2-plane $\mathbf{v}_1, \ \mathbf{v}_2, \ \mathbf{v}_3$ intersects a line $\mathbf{v}_a, \ \mathbf{v}_b$ in \mathbb{P}^3 at the point

$$\mathbf{v}_{a}\left\langle \mathbf{v}_{b},\mathbf{v}_{1},\mathbf{v}_{2},\mathbf{v}_{3}
ight
angle -\mathbf{v}_{b}\left\langle \mathbf{v}_{a},\mathbf{v}_{1},\mathbf{v}_{2},\mathbf{v}_{3}
ight
angle \,\,.$$

Generalization of it a k-plan **X** intersects with a D - k-face of the polytope of D-dimension at a point, which is given as

 $\mathbf{v}_1 \left< \mathbf{v}_2, \mathbf{v}_3, \mathbf{v}_4, \cdots, \mathbf{v}_{D-k}, \mathbf{X} \right> - \mathbf{v}_2 \left< \mathbf{v}_1, \mathbf{v}_3, \mathbf{v}_4, \cdots, \mathbf{v}_{D-k}, \mathbf{X} \right> + \mathbf{v}_3 \left< \mathbf{v}_1, \mathbf{v}_2, \mathbf{v}_4, \cdots, \mathbf{v}_{D-k}, \mathbf{X} \right> + \cdots,$

This point id interior of the polytope iff

$$\langle \mathbf{v}_2, \mathbf{v}_3, \mathbf{v}_4, \cdots, \mathbf{v}_{D-k}, \mathbf{X} \rangle$$
, $- \langle \mathbf{v}_1, \mathbf{v}_3, \mathbf{v}_4, \cdots, \mathbf{v}_{D-k}, \mathbf{X} \rangle$,
 $\langle \mathbf{v}_1, \mathbf{v}_2, \mathbf{v}_4, \cdots, \mathbf{v}_{D-k}, \mathbf{X} \rangle$, \cdots , have same sign $\mathbf{v} \in \mathbf{v} \in \mathbf{v}$, $\mathbf{x} \in \mathbf{v}_1$, \mathbf{v}_2 , \mathbf{v}_3 , \mathbf{v}_4 , \cdots , \mathbf{v}_{D-k} , $\mathbf{x} \in \mathbf{v}_3$, \mathbf{v}_4 , \mathbf{v}_5 , \mathbf{v}

Backup Positivity Criteria

N.Arkani Hamed, Yu-tin Huang, ,

イロト 不得 トイラト イラト 一日

K.Sen,A.Sinha,A.Zahed

$$\begin{split} i_1, i_2, \cdots, i_{D+1} \rangle &\equiv \epsilon_{l_1 l_2 \cdots l_{D+1}} G_{d, \Delta_{i_1}, \ell}^{l_1} \cdots G_{d, \Delta_{i_{D+1}}, \ell}^{l_{D+1}}, \quad \text{same sign}, \\ & \text{The function} \\ f_{D+1} &= c_1 G_{d, \Delta, \ell}^0 + c_2 G_{d, \Delta, \ell}^1 + c_3 G_{d, \Delta, \ell}^3 + \cdots + G_{d, \Delta, \ell}^D = 0 \\ & \text{can't have a solutions.} \end{split}$$

So what are the constrains that block should have ?

Normalize the block vector by
$$G^0_{d,\Delta,\ell}=1$$

By induction.

For D=1, $f_2 = c_1 + c_2 G^1_{d,\Delta,\ell} = 0$ can not have a solutions.

$$\Rightarrow g_1 = \left(G^1_{d,\Delta,\ell}\right)' > 0$$

Ahmadullah Zahed (IISc)

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲ 三▶ ▲ 三▶ - 三 - のへで

For
$$D = 2$$

 $f_3 = c_1 + c_2 G_{d,\Delta,\ell}^1 + c_3 G_{d,\Delta,\ell}^2 = 0$ can't have solutions,
 $\downarrow \downarrow$
 $c_2 \left(G_{d,\Delta,\ell}^1\right)' + c_3 \left(G_{d,\Delta,\ell}^2\right)' = \left(G_{d,\Delta,\ell}^1\right)' \left(c_2 + c_3 \frac{\left(G_{d,\Delta,\ell}^2\right)'}{\left(G_{d,\Delta,\ell}^1\right)'}\right) = 0$
can't have solution.
 $\Rightarrow g_2 = \left(\frac{\left(G_{d,\Delta,\ell}^2\right)'}{\left(G_{d,\Delta,\ell}^1\right)'}\right)' > 0$ if $g_1 = \left(G_{d,\Delta,\ell}^1\right)' > 0$.
Similarly for D=3, $g_3 = \left(\frac{\left(\frac{\left(G_{d,\Delta,\ell}^2\right)'}{\left(\frac{\left(G_{d,\Delta,\ell}^2\right)'}{\left(G_{d,\Delta,\ell}^2\right)'}\right)'}\right)' > 0$
and so on.

We give a single shot verification for the positivity. No need for induction method

We define,

$$F_{m,n} = \frac{1}{m!} \partial_{\Delta}{}^n \partial_z{}^m G_{d,\Delta,\ell}(z)|_{z=1/2}$$
(12.1)

Then construct \mathbf{K}_{2N+1} , (2N+1) imes (2N+1) matrix,

$$\mathbf{K}_{2N+1}(d,\Delta,\ell) = \begin{pmatrix} F_{0,0} & F_{1,0} & \dots & \dots & F_{2N+1,0} \\ F_{0,1} & F_{1,1} & \dots & \dots & \dots \\ \dots & \dots & \dots & F_{i,j} & \dots & \dots \\ \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots \\ F_{0,2N+1} & \dots & \dots & \dots & F_{2N+1,2N+1} \end{pmatrix}$$

Condition for positivity discussed above, can be written in a more generic format ,

$$g_{i} = \frac{|\mathbf{K}_{i}(d,\Delta,\ell)| |\mathbf{K}_{i-2}(d,\Delta,\ell)|}{|\mathbf{K}_{i-1}(d,\Delta,\ell)|^{2}} > 0, \qquad (12.2)$$

This is results is equivalent to previous induction method results.

Positivity criterion in $\Delta \gg d, \ell$ limit

Block Vectors

$$\frac{\partial_z^m \mathcal{G}_{d,\Delta,\ell}(z)}{m!}\Big|_{z=\frac{1}{2}} = \frac{\sqrt{\pi} \left(2+\frac{3}{\sqrt{2}}\right)^{d/2} \left(12\sqrt{2}+17\right)^{-\frac{\Delta}{2}} \Delta^m 2^{-2d+2\Delta+\frac{3m}{2}+3} \Gamma(d+\ell-2)}{\Gamma\left(\frac{d-1}{2}\right)(2)_{m-1} \Gamma\left(\frac{d}{2}+\ell-1\right)} \left[1+O\left(\frac{1}{\Delta}\right)\right] \,,$$

$F_{m,n}$ matrix

$$F_{m,n} = \frac{\sqrt{\pi} \left(2 + \frac{3}{\sqrt{2}}\right)^{d/2} \left(12 - 8\sqrt{2}\right)^{\Delta} 2^{-2d + \frac{3m}{2} + 3} \Delta^m (-\Delta)^{-n} \Gamma(d + \ell - 2)}{\Gamma\left(\frac{d-1}{2}\right) (2)_{m-1} \Gamma\left(\frac{d}{2} + \ell - 1\right)} \\ U\left(-n, m - n + 1, -\Delta \log\left(12 - 8\sqrt{2}\right)\right) \left(1 + O(\frac{1}{\Delta})\right)$$

computing g_i analytically

$$g_i \approx 2\sqrt{2}$$
: $\forall i, \Delta \gg d, \ell$.

Ahmadullah Zahed (IISc)

イロト イヨト イヨト イヨト 二日