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## Big Picture

## Conformal bootstrap $\rightarrow$ geometry problem.

Taylor coefficients of

Unitarity $\rightarrow$ four point function lie inside a polytope $\mathbf{U}$

The consistent solution of the conformal bootstrap entails finding of $U \cap X$

Taylor coefficients of
Crossing $\rightarrow$ four point function lie on a plane $\mathbf{X}$.

The polytope $\mathbf{U}$ is a cyclic polytope $\rightarrow$ face structure known
The conditions for intersection $\mathbf{U} \cap \mathbf{X} \rightarrow$ New exact results of the spectrum
example
Analytic bounds on leading operators
Analytic bounds on sub-leading operators
Kink from the positive geometry.

## Conformal Bootstrap

## Conformal transformations fixes

$$
\begin{gathered}
\langle\phi(x) \phi(y)\rangle=\frac{c}{|x-y|^{2 \Delta}}, \quad \text { Normalize } c=1 \\
\left\langle\phi_{1}\left(x_{1}\right) \phi_{2}\left(x_{2}\right) \phi_{3}\left(x_{3}\right)\right\rangle=\frac{\lambda_{123}}{\left|x_{12}\right|^{2 \alpha_{123}}\left|x_{13}\right|^{2 \alpha_{132}}\left|x_{23}\right|^{2 \alpha_{33}}}, \quad \alpha_{i j k}=\frac{\Delta_{i}+\Delta_{j}-\Delta_{k}}{2} \\
\left\langle\phi\left(x_{1}\right) \phi\left(x_{2}\right) \phi\left(x_{3}\right) \phi\left(x_{4}\right)\right\rangle=\frac{\mathcal{A}(u, v)}{x_{12}^{2 \Delta_{\phi}} x_{34}^{2 \Delta_{\phi}}} \\
u=\frac{x_{12}^{2} x_{34}^{2}}{x_{13}^{2} x_{24}^{2}}, v=\frac{x_{11}^{2} x_{23}^{2}}{x_{13}^{2} x_{24}^{2}} \\
u, v \text { are cross ratios }
\end{gathered}
$$

## Conformal Bootstrap

$$
\begin{gather*}
\left\langle\phi\left(x_{1}\right) \phi\left(x_{2}\right) \phi\left(x_{3}\right) \phi\left(x_{4}\right)\right\rangle=\frac{\mathcal{A}(u, v)}{x_{12}^{2 \Delta_{\phi}} x_{34}^{2 \Delta_{\phi}}} \\
u=\frac{x_{112}^{2} x_{34}^{2}}{x_{13}^{2} x_{24}^{2}}, v=\frac{x_{14}^{2} x_{23}^{2}}{x_{13}^{2} x_{24}^{2}} ; u=z \bar{z}, v=(1-z)(1-\bar{z})  \tag{2.2}\\
\mathcal{A}(u, v)=\sum_{\Delta, \ell} C_{\Delta, \ell} \mathcal{G}_{d, \Delta, \ell}(u, v) \tag{2.3}
\end{gather*}
$$

Conformal blocks $\mathcal{G}_{\Delta, \ell}(u, v)$ are
(1) Conformally invariant.
(2) Consistent with factorization.
(3) Consistent with OPE.

Conformal blocks are not crossing symmetric!

## Conformal Bootstrap

## Crossing Equation

$$
\begin{gather*}
\left\langle\phi\left(x_{1}\right) \phi\left(x_{2}\right) \phi\left(x_{3}\right) \phi\left(x_{4}\right)\right\rangle=\left\langle\phi\left(x_{1}\right) \phi\left(x_{4}\right) \phi\left(x_{3}\right) \phi\left(x_{2}\right)\right\rangle \\
\mathcal{A}(u, v)=\left(\frac{u}{v}\right)^{\Delta_{\phi}} \mathcal{A}(v, u) \\
\sum_{\Delta, \ell} C_{\Delta, \ell} \underbrace{\left(\mathcal{G}_{d, \Delta, \ell}(u, v)-\left(\frac{u}{v}\right)^{\Delta_{\phi}} \mathcal{G}_{d, \Delta, \ell}(v, u)\right)}_{F_{d, \Delta, \ell}^{\Delta_{\phi}}(u, v)}=0 \tag{2.4}
\end{gather*}
$$

## Diagonal limit of Blocks

$$
u=z \bar{z}, v=(1-z)(1-\bar{z})
$$

Diagonal limit $Z \rightarrow \bar{Z}$

$$
\mathcal{A}(z)=\sum_{\Delta, \ell} c_{\Delta, \ell} \mathcal{G}_{d, \Delta, \ell}(z)
$$

$\mathcal{G}_{d, \Delta, \ell}(z)$ for $\ell$ is even

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{G}_{d, \Delta, \ell}(z)= & \frac{\left(\frac{z^{2}}{1-2}\right)^{\Delta / 2}(d-2)_{\ell}\left(\frac{\Delta+1}{2}\right)_{\frac{\ell}{2}}}{\left(\frac{d-2}{2}\right)_{\ell}\left(\frac{\Delta}{2}\right)_{\frac{\ell}{2}}\left(\frac{1}{2}(-d-\ell+\Delta+3)\right)_{\frac{\rho}{2}}} \sum_{r=0}^{\frac{\ell}{2}} \frac{\left(\frac{1}{2}\right)_{r}\left(\frac{\ell}{r}\right)\left(\frac{d-2+\ell}{2}\right)_{r}\left(\frac{2-d+\Delta-\ell}{2}\right)_{\frac{\rho}{2}-r}}{\left(\frac{1+\Delta}{2}\right)_{r}} \\
& \times{ }_{3} F_{2}\left(-\frac{d}{2}+\frac{\Delta}{2}+1, r+\frac{\Delta}{2}, \frac{\Delta}{2} ; r+\frac{\Delta}{2}+\frac{1}{2},-\frac{d}{2}+\Delta+1 ; \frac{z^{2}}{4(z-1)}\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

## Bootstrap in diagonal limit

## Crossing Equation

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mathcal{A}(z)=\left(\frac{z}{1-z}\right)^{2 \Delta_{\phi}} \mathcal{A}(1-z) \\
& 1+\sum_{\Delta, \ell} C_{\Delta, \ell} \mathcal{G}_{d, \Delta, \ell}(z)=\left(\frac{z}{1-z}\right)^{2 \Delta_{\phi}}+\left(\frac{z}{1-z}\right)^{2 \Delta_{\phi}} \sum_{\Delta, \ell} C_{\Delta, \ell} \mathcal{G}_{d, \Delta, \ell}(1-z) \\
& \sum_{\Delta, \ell} C_{\Delta, \ell} \mathcal{F}_{d, \Delta, \ell}(z)=1
\end{aligned}
$$

where

$$
\mathcal{F}_{d, \Delta, \ell}(z)=\frac{(1-z)^{2 \Delta_{\phi}} \mathcal{G}_{d, \Delta, \ell}(z)-z^{2 \Delta_{\phi}} \mathcal{G}_{d, \Delta, \ell}(1-z)}{z^{2 \Delta_{\phi}}-(1-z)^{2 \Delta_{\phi}}},
$$

$$
\sum_{\Delta, \ell} C_{\Delta, \ell} \mathcal{F}_{d, \Delta, \ell}(z)=1
$$

Taking derivatives of the equation around $z=1 / 2$

$$
\left.\sum_{\Delta, \ell} C_{\Delta, \ell} \partial_{z}^{2 m} \mathcal{F}_{d, \Delta, \ell}(z)\right|_{z=1 / 2}=0, m>0
$$

Crossing condition can be satisfied?.
Obtain bounds on leading operator dimension $\Delta_{1}$.
The conditions says that $\Delta_{1}$ should be below the curve.


## Positive Geometry in diagonal limit

Conformal bootstrap equations $\rightarrow$ in the language of polytopes.
Taylor expansion around $z=\frac{1}{2}$ truncated upto $2 N+2$ terms.

$$
y=z-\frac{1}{2}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{A}(z) & =\mathcal{A}^{0}+\mathcal{A}^{1} y+\mathcal{A}^{2} y^{2}+\cdots+\mathcal{A}^{2 N+1} y^{2 N+1} \\
G_{d, \Delta, \ell}(z) & =G_{d, \Delta, \ell}^{0}+G_{d, \Delta, \ell}^{1} y+G_{d, \Delta, \ell}^{2} y^{2}+\cdots+G_{d, \Delta, \ell}^{2 N+1} y^{2 N+1}
\end{aligned}
$$

Conformal bootstrap $\rightarrow 2 N+1$-dimensional geometry problem.

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mathcal{A}(z) \rightarrow \mathbf{A}=\left(\begin{array}{c}
A^{0} \\
A^{1} \\
\vdots \\
A^{2 N+1}
\end{array}\right) ; \mathcal{G}_{d, \Delta, \ell}(z) \rightarrow \mathbf{G}_{d, \Delta, \ell}=\left(\begin{array}{c}
G_{d, \Delta, \ell}^{0} \\
G_{d, \Delta, \ell}^{1, \Delta} \\
\vdots \\
G_{d, \Delta, \ell}^{2 N+1}
\end{array}\right):\left.F^{\prime} \equiv \frac{1}{l!} \partial_{z}^{\prime} F(z)\right|_{z=1 / 2}, F=\mathcal{A} \text { or } \mathcal{G} \\
& \\
& \mathbf{A}=\sum_{\text {Ahmadullah Zahed (IISC) }} \quad \begin{array}{c}
\Delta, \ell
\end{array} \\
& \text { Positive geometry in the diagonal limit of the conformal bootstrap }
\end{aligned}
$$



Now lets take an example of vectors in 2 d .
Lets say you know $\mathbf{a}=c_{1} \mathbf{v}_{1}+c_{2} \mathbf{v}_{2}+c_{3} \mathbf{v}_{3}+c_{4} \mathbf{v}_{4} \quad c_{i}>0$ and $\mathbf{v}_{1}, \mathbf{v}_{2}, \mathbf{v}_{3}, \mathbf{v}_{4}$ vectors form a convex tetragon $\rightarrow$ given to you


What can you comment about vector "a"?

$$
\begin{gathered}
\downarrow \\
\text { Is "a" inside the tetragon or not? } \\
\downarrow
\end{gathered}
$$

In this case you can say " a " is inside that tetragon if you know $\sum_{i} c_{i}=1$


Now someone gives you further information that "a" lies on line connecting two points, say the line is $\left(v_{5}, v_{6}\right)$

$$
\Downarrow
$$

Determining the intersection of the line with the tetragon you will be more sure about the region where a lies.


Given the line $\left(v_{5}, v_{6}\right)$, you can get some idea where the tetragon will be.

$$
\stackrel{\downarrow}{\downarrow}
$$

You will be able to say that the smallest of $\mathbf{v}_{1}, \mathbf{v}_{2}, \mathbf{v}_{3}, \mathbf{v}_{4}$ should be bounded, otherwise it will not sometime intersect the tetragon.


For future reference,
$\sum_{i} c_{i}=1$ defines the convex hull of the vectors $v_{i}$.
This four points actually form a polytope in 2d. Convex polygon are cycilc polytope in 2 d .

We will play same game with

$$
\mathbf{A}=\sum_{\Delta, \ell} C_{\Delta, \ell} \mathbf{G}_{d, \Delta, \ell} ; \quad C_{\Delta, \ell}>0
$$

We can consider the expansion of $\mathrm{A} \rightarrow t \mathrm{~A}$ The cone spanned by $\mathbf{G}_{d, \Delta, \ell} \rightarrow \alpha_{\Delta, \ell} \mathbf{G}_{d, \Delta, \ell}, \alpha_{\Delta, \ell}>0$ $\mathbf{A}=t\binom{1}{\overrightarrow{\mathcal{A}}}$ in terms of $\mathbf{G}_{d, \Delta, \ell}=\alpha_{\Delta, \ell}\binom{1}{\vec{G}_{d, \Delta, \ell}},$. Gives $\sum \alpha_{\Delta, \ell} C_{\Delta, \ell} \equiv \sum C_{\Delta, \ell}^{\prime}=t$. So we have

$$
\overrightarrow{\mathcal{A}}=\sum_{\Delta, \ell} \lambda_{\Delta, \ell} \vec{G}_{d, \Delta, \ell}, \quad \lambda_{\Delta, \ell}=\frac{C_{\Delta, \ell}^{\prime}}{\sum C_{\Delta, \ell}^{\prime}}
$$

$\sum_{\Delta, \ell} \lambda_{\Delta, \ell}=1 \rightarrow$ convex hull of $\vec{G}_{d, \Delta, \ell} \rightarrow$ a polytope in $\mathbb{R}^{2 N+1}$.
$\mathbf{A}=\sum_{\Delta, \ell} C_{\Delta, \ell} \mathbf{G}_{d, \Delta, \ell} ; \quad C_{\Delta, \ell}>0 \rightarrow$ projective polytope in $\mathbb{P}^{2 N+1}$.


We will play same game with

$$
\mathbf{A}=\sum_{\Delta, \ell} C_{\Delta, \ell} \mathbf{G}_{d, \Delta, \ell} ; \quad C_{\Delta, \ell}>0
$$

So our next task will be to show that
We get a cyclic polytopes from the vectors $\mathbf{G}_{d, \Delta, \ell}$ (from Unitarity)
Also to show that $\mathbf{A}$ lies on a plane (from Crossing) that intersects the polytope.

## Cyclic Polytopes

# Cyclic polytope which vertices have an ordering $v_{1}, \ldots v_{n}$ such that 

$\left\langle\mathbf{v}_{i_{1}}, \mathbf{v}_{i_{2}}, \ldots, \mathbf{v}_{i_{D}}\right\rangle$, have same sign $\forall i_{1}<i_{2}<\cdots<i_{D}$.

Faces of cyclic polytope are known

## Positivity Criteria

From CFT spectrum, the block vectors can be ordered simply in terms of increasing $\Delta$.

Ordered set of vectors $\left(i_{i}, i_{2}, \cdots i_{D+1}\right) \quad \Delta_{i_{1}}<\Delta_{i_{2}}<\cdots<\Delta_{i_{D+1}}$.
Conditions for a cyclic polytope translates into

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left\langle i_{1}, i_{2}, \cdots, i_{D+1}\right\rangle \equiv \epsilon_{1_{1} l_{1} \cdots I_{D+1}} G_{d, \Delta_{1}, \ell}^{l_{1}} \cdots G_{d, \Delta_{i_{D+1}}, \ell}^{l_{D+1}}, \quad \text { same sign },
\end{aligned}
$$

the positivity of a $D$-dimensional unitary polytope.

We give a single shot verification for the positivity.

Define,

$$
F_{m, n}=\left.\frac{1}{m!} \partial_{\Delta}^{n} \partial_{z}^{m} G_{d, \Delta, \ell}(z)\right|_{z=1 / 2}
$$

Then construct $\mathrm{K}_{2 N+1},(2 N+1) \times(2 N+1)$ matrix,

$$
\mathbf{K}_{2 N+1}(d, \Delta, \ell)=\left(\begin{array}{ccccc}
F_{0,0} & F_{1,0} & . . & . . & F_{2 N+1,0} \\
F_{0,1} & F_{1,1} & . . & . . & . . \\
. . & . & F_{i, j} & . . & . . \\
. . & . & . & . & . . \\
F_{0,2 N+1} & . . & . . & . . & F_{2 N+1,2 N+1}
\end{array}\right)
$$

## Condition for positivity

$$
g_{i}=\frac{\left|\mathbf{K}_{i}(d, \Delta, \ell)\right|\left|\mathbf{K}_{i-2}(d, \Delta, \ell)\right|}{\left|\mathbf{K}_{i-1}(d, \Delta, \ell)\right|^{2}}>0
$$

## $\Delta \gg d, \ell$ limit of diagonal block

Leading order Block,
$\mathcal{G}_{d, \Delta, \ell}^{\text {approx }}(z)=\frac{\sqrt{\pi} 2^{-\frac{3 d}{2}}+2 \Delta+3}{}(\sqrt{1-Z}+1)^{d / 2}\left(\frac{Z}{z+2 \sqrt{1-Z-2}}\right)^{-\frac{\Delta}{2}} \Gamma(d+\ell-2) \quad\left(1+O\left(\frac{1}{\Delta}\right)\right)$.

Computing $g_{i}$ analytically

$$
g_{i} \approx 2 \sqrt{2}: \quad \forall i, \quad \Delta \gg d, \ell
$$



Figure: $g_{i}$ vs $\Delta$ for scalar blocks for various $d$, plot range is $\Delta>\frac{d-2}{2}$

## Unitary



So far we have learnt that
Unitarity demands

$$
\mathbf{A}=\sum_{\Delta, \ell} C_{\Delta, \ell} \mathbf{G}_{d, \Delta, \ell} ; \quad C_{\Delta, \ell}>0
$$

A lies inside the polytope spanned by block vectors $\mathbf{G}_{d, \Delta, \ell}$

Now we turn to Crossing Symmetry

$$
\mathcal{A}(z)=\left(\frac{z}{1-z}\right)^{2 \Delta_{\phi}} \mathcal{A}(1-z)
$$

Taylor Expand around $z=1 / 2$,
This equation relates odd $\mathcal{A}^{2 n+1}$ in terms of the even $\mathcal{A}^{2 n} \quad \mathcal{A}^{1}$
This in turn defines a hyperplane $\mathbf{X}\left[\Delta_{\phi}\right]$ which is a $(2 N+2) \times(N+1)$ matrix in $\mathbb{P}^{2 N+1}$.

Crossing Symmetry demands
A lies on the hyperplane $\mathbf{X}\left[\Delta_{\phi}\right]$

## Crossing



Crossing Symmetry demands

$$
\mathcal{A}(z) \rightarrow \mathcal{A}=\left(\begin{array}{c}
\mathcal{A}^{0} \\
\mathcal{A}^{1} \\
\vdots \\
\mathcal{A}^{2 N+1}
\end{array}\right)
$$ A lies on the hyperplane $\mathbf{X}\left[\Delta_{\phi}\right]$

## For example $N=2$

$$
\begin{gathered}
\mathbf{A}=\left(\begin{array}{c}
\mathcal{A}^{0} \\
4 \Delta_{\phi} \mathcal{A}^{0} \\
\mathcal{A}^{2} \\
\frac{64}{15} \Delta_{\phi}\left(32 \Delta_{\phi}^{4}-20 \Delta_{\phi}^{2}+3\right) \mathcal{A}^{0}-\frac{16}{3} \Delta_{\phi}\left(4 \Delta_{\phi}^{2}-1\right) \mathcal{A}^{2}+4 \Delta_{\phi} \mathcal{A}^{4} \\
\frac{16}{3}\left(\Delta_{\phi}-4 \Delta_{\phi}^{3}\right) \mathcal{A}^{0}+4 \Delta_{\phi} \mathcal{A}^{2} \\
\vdots
\end{array}\right) \in \mathbb{P}^{2 N+1} \\
\text { and } \\
\mathbf{X}\left[\Delta_{\phi}\right]=\left(\begin{array}{ccc} 
\\
\mathcal{A}^{0} & \mathcal{A}^{2} & \mathcal{A}^{4} \\
1 & 0 & 0 \\
4 \Delta_{\phi} & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 \\
\frac{16}{3}\left(\Delta_{\phi}-4 \Delta_{\phi}^{3}\right) & 0 & 1 \\
0 & 0 \\
\frac{64}{15} \Delta_{\phi}\left(32 \Delta_{\phi}^{4}-20 \Delta_{\phi}^{2}+3\right) & \frac{16}{3}\left(\Delta_{\phi}-4 \Delta_{\phi}^{3}\right) & 4 \Delta_{\phi}
\end{array}\right)
\end{gathered}
$$

## Implementing Bootstrap

Now we have both ingredients for bootstrap in the projective picture. Unitarity demands
A lies inside the polytope spanned by block vectors $\mathbf{G}_{d, \Delta, \ell}$

> Crossing Symmetry demands
> $\mathbf{A}$ lies on the hyperplane $\mathbf{X}\left[\Delta_{\phi}\right]$
i.e. the consistent solution of bootstrap entails the region

$$
\mathbf{U}[\Delta] \cap \mathbf{X}\left[\Delta_{\phi}\right] .
$$

The question now is given $\mathbf{U}[\Delta]$,
what are the conditions determining the intersection with $\mathbf{X}\left[\Delta_{\phi}\right]$.

## The Story is

$k$-plane intersects with a $D$-dimensional polytope with a $D-k$ face at a point given by,

$$
\mathbf{v}_{1}\left\langle\mathbf{v}_{2}, \mathbf{v}_{3}, \mathbf{v}_{4}, \ldots, \mathbf{v}_{D-k}, \mathbf{X}\right\rangle-\mathbf{v}_{2}\left\langle\mathbf{v}_{1}, \mathbf{v}_{3}, \mathbf{v}_{4}, \ldots, \mathbf{v}_{D-k}, \mathbf{X}\right\rangle+\ldots
$$

For a point inside the polytope and satisfying the intersection property above,
$\left\langle\mathbf{v}_{2}, \mathbf{v}_{3}, \mathbf{v}_{4}, \ldots, \mathbf{v}_{D-k}, \mathbf{X}\right\rangle,-\left\langle\mathbf{v}_{1}, \mathbf{v}_{3}, \mathbf{v}_{4}, \ldots, \mathbf{v}_{d-k}, \mathbf{X}\right\rangle,\left\langle\mathbf{v}_{1}, \mathbf{v}_{2}, \mathbf{v}_{4}, \ldots, \mathbf{v}_{D-k}, \mathbf{X}\right\rangle$ must have the same sign.

# A further simplification occurs when one of the vertex vectors is the identity operator $\mathbf{v}_{0}=(1,0,0 \ldots, 0)$ or the infinity operator $\mathbf{v}_{\infty}=(0,0 \ldots, 0,1)$ since this reduces the dimensionality of the problem. 

## $N=1$ : Bounds on scalar operator

The two-dimensional facets consists of the following two sets

$$
(0, i, i+1), \quad(i, i+1, \infty)
$$

where " $i$ " is $\mathbf{G}_{d, \Delta_{i}, 0}, \quad 0$ is the identity operator $\mathbf{G}_{d, \Delta_{0}, 0}=(1,0, \cdots, 0)$ and $\infty$ is $\mathbf{G}_{d, \Delta_{\infty}, 0}=(0,0, \cdots, 1)$.
The subscripts i and $\mathrm{i}+1$ label two operators $\Delta_{i}<\Delta_{i+1}$ with nothing in between

The crossing plane $\mathbf{X}$ is one-dimensional, which is a $4 \times 2$ matrix

$$
\mathbf{X}=\left(\begin{array}{cc}
1 & 0 \\
4 \Delta_{\phi} & 0 \\
0 & 1 \\
\frac{16}{3}\left(\Delta_{\phi}-4 \Delta_{\phi}^{3}\right) & 4 \Delta_{\phi}
\end{array}\right)
$$

## Using the sign rule of determinant

The crossing plane $\mathbf{X}$ intersects with the face $(0, i, i+1)$ if and only if

$$
\langle\mathbf{X}, i, i+1\rangle, \quad-\langle\mathbf{X}, 0, i+1\rangle, \quad\langle\mathbf{X}, 0, i\rangle, \quad \text { have same sign }
$$

Similarly the crossing plane $\mathbf{X}$ intersects with the face $(i, i+1, \infty)$ if and only if

$$
\langle\mathbf{X}, i, i+1\rangle, \quad-\langle\mathbf{X}, \infty, i+1\rangle, \quad\langle\mathbf{X}, \infty, i\rangle \quad \text { have same sign }
$$

The crossing plane intersects with the polytope iff either one of the two conditions is satisfied.

Of course generically if one condition is satisfied the other will not be;
To extract useful constraints from these conditions, it is often useful to derive necessary (but not necessarily sufficient)
conditions by projecting the geometry to lower dimensions.

## For example

We take $\mathbf{X}$ to be intersect $\mathbf{U}\left[\left\{\Delta_{i}\right\}\right]$ on both kinds of faces by forcing

$$
\langle\mathbf{X}, i, i+1\rangle=0
$$

Also we take projection through identity $\langle 0, \mathbf{X}, \Delta\rangle=0$.
The crossing plane intersects with the block curve at two points.
These two points are the solution to the equation

$$
\langle 0, \mathbf{X}, \Delta\rangle=0, \quad \Delta_{+} \text {and } \Delta_{-}
$$

There must exist at least an operator with dimension $\Delta$ satisfying

$$
\Delta_{-}<\Delta<\Delta_{+}
$$

The solutions $\Delta_{+}, \Delta_{-}$for large $\Delta_{\phi}$

$$
\begin{aligned}
\Delta_{+}= & 2 \sqrt{2} \Delta_{\phi}+\frac{(2 \sqrt{2}-3) d+6}{4 \sqrt{2}}+\frac{12-d(d+6)}{128 \sqrt{2} \Delta_{\phi}}-\frac{3(d(d(d+2)-44)+88)}{2048 \sqrt{2} \Delta_{\phi}^{2}} \\
& +\frac{d(-d(d+6)(37 d-282)-7392)+15216}{131072 \sqrt{2} \Delta_{\phi}^{3}}+O\left(\frac{1}{\Delta_{\phi}^{4}}\right) \\
\Delta_{-}= & \sqrt{2} \Delta_{\phi}+\frac{1}{8}(4-3 \sqrt{2}) d-\frac{(d-6) d+12}{64 \sqrt{2} \Delta_{\phi}}-\frac{3\left((d-4)^{2} d-32\right)}{512 \sqrt{2} \Delta_{\phi}^{2}} \\
& +\frac{d(d((372-37 d) d-1188)+480)-1680}{16384 \sqrt{2} \Delta_{\phi}^{3}}+O\left(\frac{1}{\Delta_{\phi}^{4}}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

## We can also expand around $\Delta_{\Phi}=\Delta_{\phi}+a$

 We can choose a whatever we want.$$
\begin{aligned}
\Delta_{+}= & 2 \sqrt{2} \Delta_{\Phi}+\frac{1}{8}(-16 \sqrt{2} a+(4-3 \sqrt{2}) d+6 \sqrt{2})+\frac{12-d(d+6)}{128 \sqrt{2} \Delta_{\Phi}} \\
& +\frac{-16 a(d(d+6)-12)-3(d(d(d+2)-44)+88)}{2048 \sqrt{2} \Delta_{\Phi}^{2}} \\
& +\frac{-1024 a^{2}(d(d+6)-12)-384 a(d(d(d+2)-44)+88)+d(-d(d+6)(37 d-282)-7392)+15216}{131072 \sqrt{2} \Delta_{\Phi}^{3}} \\
& +O\left(\frac{1}{\Delta_{\Phi}^{4}}\right) \\
\Delta_{-}= & \sqrt{2} \Delta_{\Phi}+\left(\frac{1}{8}(4-3 \sqrt{2}) d-\sqrt{2} a\right)-\frac{(d-6) d+12}{64 \sqrt{2} \Delta_{\Phi}}+\frac{-8 a((d-6) d+12)-3\left((d-4)^{2} d-32\right)}{512 \sqrt{2} \Delta_{\Phi}^{2}} \\
& +\frac{-256 a^{2}((d-6) d+12)-192 a\left((d-4)^{2} d-32\right)+d(d((372-37 d) d-1188)+480)-1680}{16384 \sqrt{2} \Delta_{\Phi}^{3}} \\
& +O\left(\frac{1}{\Delta_{\Phi}^{4}}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$



Figure: Solid lines represent $\Delta_{+}, \Delta_{-}$using exact block, dashed lines represent $a=1$ and dotted are $a=0$.

## Interpretation of $\Delta_{+}$and $\Delta_{-}$from numerical bootstrap

Crossing Symmetry

$$
1+\sum_{\Delta, \ell} C_{\Delta, \ell} \mathcal{G}_{d, \Delta, \ell}(z)=\left(\frac{z}{1-z}\right)^{2 \Delta_{\phi}}+\left(\frac{z}{1-z}\right)^{2 \Delta_{\phi}} \sum_{\Delta, \ell} C_{\Delta, \ell} \mathcal{G}_{d, \Delta, \ell}(1-z)
$$

Rearrange the equation a bit

$$
\sum_{\Delta, \ell} C_{\Delta, \ell} \mathcal{F}_{d, \Delta, \ell}(z)=1
$$

where

$$
\mathcal{F}_{d, \Delta, \ell}(z)=\frac{(1-z)^{2 \Delta_{\phi}} \mathcal{G}_{d, \Delta, \ell}(z)-z^{2 \Delta_{\phi}} \mathcal{G}_{d, \Delta, \ell}(1-z)}{z^{2 \Delta_{\phi}}-(1-z)^{2 \Delta_{\phi}}}
$$

We can write

$$
\left.\sum_{\Delta, \ell} C_{\Delta, \ell} \partial_{z}^{2} \mathcal{F}_{d, \Delta, \ell}(z)\right|_{z=1 / 2}=0
$$



Figure

It is clear that $\left.\partial_{z}^{2} \mathcal{F}_{d, \Delta, 0}(z)\right|_{z=1 / 2}$ changes its sign at indicated values. At least there should be one operator below $\Delta_{+}$(the larger value) in order to satisfy equation $\left.\sum_{\Delta, \ell} C_{\Delta, \ell} \partial_{z}^{2} \mathcal{F}_{d, \Delta, \ell}(z)\right|_{z=1 / 2}=0$,

## Constraints on the first two operator $\Delta_{1}, \Delta_{2}$.

For $\Delta_{1}<\Delta_{-}$we should have $\Delta_{2}<\Delta_{+}$, since there should atleast one operator between $\left(\Delta_{-}, \Delta_{+}\right)$ as we observed in $N=1$ case.

And also $\Delta_{1}>\Delta_{+}$not allowed if $\Delta_{1}$ is the leading operator.
Necessary conditions from $N=2$ is
For $\Delta_{-}<\Delta_{1}<\Delta_{+}, \Delta_{2}$ must be below the curve $\left\langle\mathbf{X}, 0, \Delta_{1}, \Delta_{2}\right\rangle=0$ otherwise some of the sign rule of determinant will not satisfied.

(a)

(b)

Figure: Black solid line represents $\Delta_{+}$and black dashed $\Delta_{-}$. The region below the curve is allowed. Before the black dashed line, $\Delta_{1}<\Delta_{\text {- }}$ and hence $\Delta_{2}$ must be smaller than $\Delta_{+}$. After the dashed line $\Delta_{-}<\Delta_{1}, \Delta_{2}$ must be below the curve $\left\langle\mathbf{X}, 0, \Delta_{1}, \Delta_{2}\right\rangle=0$. Finally $\Delta_{1}>\Delta_{+}$is ruled out.


Figure: How the curve $\left\langle\mathbf{X}, 0, \Delta_{1}, \Delta_{2}\right\rangle=0$ changes if we put the second operator with spin? In figure we have taken 2D ising model $\Delta_{\phi}=\frac{1}{8}$ and used the spin $\ell=2$ block for the operator $\Delta_{2}$ i.e we used $\mathbf{G}_{2, \Delta_{2}, 2}$ instead of $\mathbf{G}_{2, \Delta_{2}, 0}$. One can see the feature $\Delta=2$ is allowed for $\ell=2$. Orange line is using spin-2 block for $\Delta_{2}$ and blue dashed line is using scalar block for $\Delta_{2}$.

## Kink from Positive Geometry

$$
d=2
$$



Figure: $\Delta_{1}$ vs $\Delta_{\phi}$

## Kink from Positive Geometry

We consider 10 scalar operators $\Delta_{i}$ where $\Delta_{0}$ is the identity operator and $\Delta_{9}$ is the infinity vector.
$\Delta_{1}$ is the leading operator and $\Delta_{i}, i \geq 2$ are chosen randomly to be above $\Delta_{1}$ (but ordered).

The intersection conditions are now checked.
For $N=1$ we find essentially the same results as from $\Delta_{+}$
For $N=2$, we find that there is a kink type feature in the plot as in the figure,

## Kink from Positive Geometry

$$
d=2
$$

$\Delta_{1}$ can't be above the red line


Figure: $\Delta_{1}$ vs $\Delta_{\phi}$

## Thank You

## Polytopes

## Computations

Given a polytopes in $\mathbb{P}^{D}$ built out of $\left\{\mathbf{v}_{i}\right\}$ $\left(\mathbf{v}_{i_{1}}, \mathbf{v}_{i_{2}}, \ldots \mathbf{v}_{i_{D}}\right) \rightarrow$ facets we need,
$\left\langle\mathbf{v}_{i}, \mathbf{v}_{i_{1}}, \ldots \mathbf{v}_{i_{D}}\right\rangle$ have the same sign $\forall i$.
$\mathbf{v}_{i}$ or $i$ we simply refer to $\mathbf{G}_{d, \Delta, \ell}$

An example: 2d polygons.
Three points $v_{1}, v_{2}, v_{3}$ in $2 d$ plane projectively associated with three-vectors $\mathbf{v}_{1}, \mathbf{v}_{2}, \mathbf{v}_{3}$.

If $v_{1}, v_{2}, v_{3}$ collinear $\rightarrow\left\langle\mathbf{v}_{1}, \mathbf{v}_{2}, \mathbf{v}_{3}\right\rangle=0$,
i.e.

$$
\operatorname{det}\left(\begin{array}{lll}
v_{1}^{(x)} & v_{1}^{(y)} & v_{1}^{(z)} \\
v_{2}^{(x)} & v_{2}^{(y)} & v_{2}^{(z)} \\
v_{3}^{(x)} & v_{3}^{(y)} & v_{3}^{(z)}
\end{array}\right)=0 .
$$

If $v_{3}$ is not on the line $\left(v_{1} v_{2}\right) \rightarrow\left\langle\mathbf{v}_{1}, \mathbf{v}_{2}, \mathbf{v}_{3}\right\rangle>0$ or $\left\langle\mathbf{v}_{1}, \mathbf{v}_{2}, \mathbf{v}_{3}\right\rangle<0$, If $v_{4}, v_{3}$ is on same side of $\left(v_{1} v_{2}\right) \rightarrow\left\langle\mathbf{v}_{1}, \mathbf{v}_{2}, \mathbf{v}_{3}\right\rangle,\left\langle\mathbf{v}_{1}, \mathbf{v}_{2}, \mathbf{v}_{4}\right\rangle$ same sign

This generalize to 2d convex n-gon formed by the vectors $\mathbf{v}_{1}, \mathbf{v}_{2}, \ldots \mathbf{v}_{n}$. ( $v_{i_{1}} v_{i_{2}}$ ) is a edge if $\left\langle\mathbf{v}_{i}, \mathbf{v}_{i_{1}}, \mathbf{v}_{i_{2}}\right\rangle$ have same sign ; $\forall i$.

In general D-dimension will be

$$
\left\langle\mathbf{v}_{i}, \mathbf{v}_{i_{1}}, \mathbf{v}_{i_{2}} \ldots \mathbf{v}_{i_{d}}\right\rangle \text { have same sign } ; \forall i
$$

## Backup Intersection explain

To see this
We again go back to 2d and ask what is the intersection the two lines spanned by the point pairs $(a b)$ and $(c d)$.
Point of intersection is $\langle\mathbf{c}, \mathbf{d}, \mathbf{b}\rangle \mathbf{a}-\langle\mathbf{c}, \mathbf{d}, \mathbf{a}\rangle \mathbf{b}$.
To prove that this point is indeed collinear with $(a b)$ and $(c d)$ one have to use $\left\langle\mathbf{v}_{1}, \mathbf{v}_{2}, \mathbf{v}_{3}\right\rangle=0$.
A 2-plane $\mathbf{v}_{1}, \mathbf{v}_{2}, \mathbf{v}_{3}$ intersects a line $\mathbf{v}_{a}, \mathbf{v}_{b}$ in $\mathbb{P}^{3}$ at the point

$$
\mathbf{v}_{a}\left\langle\mathbf{v}_{b}, \mathbf{v}_{1}, \mathbf{v}_{2}, \mathbf{v}_{3}\right\rangle-\mathbf{v}_{b}\left\langle\mathbf{v}_{a}, \mathbf{v}_{1}, \mathbf{v}_{2}, \mathbf{v}_{3}\right\rangle .
$$

Generalization of it a k-plan $\mathbf{X}$ intersects with a $D-k$-face of the polytope of $D$-dimension at a point, which is given as
$\mathbf{v}_{1}\left\langle\mathbf{v}_{2}, \mathbf{v}_{3}, \mathbf{v}_{4}, \cdots, \mathbf{v}_{D-k}, \mathbf{X}\right\rangle-\mathbf{v}_{2}\left\langle\mathbf{v}_{1}, \mathbf{v}_{3}, \mathbf{v}_{4}, \cdots, \mathbf{v}_{D-k}, \mathbf{X}\right\rangle+\mathbf{v}_{3}\left\langle\mathbf{v}_{1}, \mathbf{v}_{2}, \mathbf{v}_{4}, \cdots, \mathbf{v}_{D-k}, \mathbf{X}\right\rangle+\cdots$,
This point id interior of the polytope iff

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left\langle\mathbf{v}_{2}, \mathbf{v}_{3}, \mathbf{v}_{4}, \cdots, \mathbf{v}_{D-k}, \mathbf{X}\right\rangle, \quad-\left\langle\mathbf{v}_{1}, \mathbf{v}_{3}, \mathbf{v}_{4}, \cdots, \mathbf{v}_{D-k}, \mathbf{X}\right\rangle, \\
& \frac{\left\langle\mathbf{v}_{1}, \mathbf{v}_{2}, \mathbf{v}_{4}, \cdots, \cdots,\right.}{\text { Zaned }^{\prime}(\text { IISc) }}, \cdots \text { Positive geometry in the diagonal limit of the conformal bootstrap }
\end{aligned}
$$

## Backup Positivity Criteria

$$
\left\langle i_{1}, i_{2}, \cdots, i_{D+1}\right\rangle \equiv \epsilon_{I_{1} I_{2} \cdots I_{D+1}} G_{d, \Delta_{i_{1}}, \ell}^{I_{1}} \cdots G_{d, \Delta_{i_{D+1}}, \ell}^{I_{D+1}}, \quad \text { same sign }
$$

The function

$$
f_{D+1}=c_{1} G_{d, \Delta, \ell}^{0}+c_{2} G_{d, \Delta, \ell}^{1}+c_{3} G_{d, \Delta, \ell}^{3}+\cdots+G_{d, \Delta, \ell}^{D}=0
$$

can't have a solutions.
So what are the constrains that block should have ?

## Normalize the block vector by $G_{d, \Delta, \ell}^{0}=1$

By induction.
For $\mathrm{D}=1, f_{2}=c_{1}+c_{2} G_{d, \Delta, \ell}^{1}=0$ can not have a solutions.

$$
\Rightarrow \quad g_{1}=\left(G_{d, \Delta, \ell}^{1}\right)^{\prime}>0
$$

$$
\begin{gathered}
\text { For } D=2 \\
f_{3}=c_{1}+c_{2} G_{d, \Delta, \ell}^{1}+c_{3} G_{d, \Delta, \ell}^{2}=0 \text { can't have solutions, } \\
\Downarrow \\
c_{2}\left(G_{d, \Delta, \ell}^{1}\right)^{\prime}+c_{3}\left(G_{d, \Delta, \ell}^{2}\right)^{\prime}=\left(G_{d, \Delta, \ell}^{1}\right)^{\prime}\left(c_{2}+c_{3} \frac{\left(G_{d, \Delta, \ell}^{2}\right)^{\prime}}{\left(G_{d, \Delta, \ell}^{1}\right)^{\prime}}\right)=0 \\
\text { can't have solution. } \\
\Rightarrow g_{2}=\left(\frac{\left(G_{d, \Delta, \ell}^{2}\right)^{\prime}}{\left(G_{d, \Delta, \ell}^{1}\right)^{\prime}}\right)^{\prime}>0 \text { if } g_{1}=\left(G_{d, \Delta, \ell}^{1}\right)^{\prime}>0 . \\
\text { Similarly for D=3, } \quad g_{3}=\left(\frac{\left(\frac{\left(G_{d, \Delta, \ell}^{3}\right)^{\prime}}{\left(G_{d, \Delta, \ell}\right)^{\prime}}\right)^{\prime}}{\left(\frac{\left(\sigma_{d, \Delta, \ell}^{2}\right)^{\prime}}{\left(G_{d, \Delta, \ell}\right)^{\prime}}\right)^{\prime}}\right)^{\prime}>0
\end{gathered}
$$

We give a single shot verification for the positivity.
No need for induction method

We define,

$$
\begin{equation*}
F_{m, n}=\left.\frac{1}{m!} \partial_{\Delta}^{n} \partial_{z}^{m} G_{d, \Delta, \ell}(z)\right|_{z=1 / 2} \tag{12.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then construct $\mathrm{K}_{2 N+1},(2 N+1) \times(2 N+1)$ matrix,

$$
\mathbf{K}_{2 N+1}(d, \Delta, \ell)=\left(\begin{array}{ccccc}
F_{0,0} & F_{1,0} & . . & . . & F_{2 N+1,0} \\
F_{0,1} & F_{1,1} & . . & . . & . . \\
. . & . & F_{i, j} & . . & . . \\
. . & . . & . & . . & . . \\
F_{0,2 N+1} & . . & . . & . . & F_{2 N+1,2 N+1}
\end{array}\right)
$$

Condition for positivity discussed above, can be written in a more generic format,

$$
\begin{equation*}
g_{i}=\frac{\left|\mathbf{K}_{i}(d, \Delta, \ell)\right|\left|\mathbf{K}_{i-2}(d, \Delta, \ell)\right|}{\left|\mathbf{K}_{i-1}(d, \Delta, \ell)\right|^{2}}>0 \tag{12.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

This is results is equivalent to previous induction method results.

## Positivity criterion in $\Delta \gg d, \ell$ limit

## Block Vectors

$$
\begin{gathered}
\left.\frac{\partial_{2}^{m} \mathcal{G}_{d, \Delta, \ell(2)}}{m!}\right|_{z=\frac{1}{2}}=\frac{\sqrt{\pi}\left(2+\frac{3}{\sqrt{2}}\right)^{d / 2}(12 \sqrt{2}+17)^{-\frac{\Delta}{2}} \Delta^{m} 2^{-2 d+2 \Delta+\frac{3 m}{2}+3}\ulcorner(d+\ell-2)}{\Gamma\left(\frac{d-1}{2}\right)(2)_{m-1} \Gamma\left(\frac{d}{2}+\ell-1\right)}\left[1+O\left(\frac{1}{\Delta}\right)\right], \\
F_{m, n} \text { matrix } \\
F_{m, n}=\frac{\sqrt{\pi}\left(2+\frac{3}{\sqrt{2}}\right)^{d / 2}(12-8 \sqrt{2})^{\Delta} 2^{-2 d+\frac{3 m}{2}+3} \Delta^{m}(-\Delta)^{-n} \Gamma(d+\ell-2)}{\Gamma\left(\frac{d-1}{2}\right)(2)_{m-1} \Gamma\left(\frac{d}{2}+\ell-1\right)} \\
U(-n, m-n+1,-\Delta \log (12-8 \sqrt{2}))\left(1+O\left(\frac{1}{\Delta}\right)\right)
\end{gathered}
$$

computing $g_{i}$ analytically

$$
g_{i} \approx 2 \sqrt{2}: \quad \forall i, \quad \Delta \gg d, \ell .
$$

