

Cosmological tensions in light of the eBOSS DR16 observations

BOSS Old Red Galaxies (2008-2014) SDSS I-II Nearby Galaxies (19 IPMU seminar Gong-Bo Zhao National Astronomical Observatories, CAS

November 5, 2020































Gravitational waves











Gravitational waves







2011

CMB





SNe





2017

LSS











The accelerating Universe!



2011



Photo: Ariel Zambelich, Copyright © Nobel Media AB

Saul Perlmutter Brian P. Schmidt

National University





Adam G. Riess



The expansion of the Universe can **accelerate** if





Dark Energy

$$G_{\mu\nu} = 8\pi G \widetilde{T}_{\mu\nu}$$

 \sum

To modify General Relativity



Modified Gravity

$$\widetilde{G}_{\mu\nu} = 8\pi G T_{\mu\nu}$$

The expansion of the Universe can **accelerate** if





 \sum

To modify General Relativity



Dark EnergyModified Gravity $G_{\mu\nu} = 8\pi G \widetilde{T}_{\mu\nu}$ $\widetilde{G}_{\mu\nu} = 8\pi G T_{\mu\nu}$

Galaxy surveys can break the dark degeneracy!





Massive redshift surveys as a key cosmological probe









What do redshift surveys measure?



What do redshift surveys measure?

























SDSS-IV Catches the Rise of Dark Energy







eBOSS (2014-2020)

2.5 m SDSS telescope @ New Mexico



Latest result released on July 20, 2020 in 20+ papers



Data Surveys Instruments Collaboration Science

Search www

No need to Mind the Gap: Astrophysicists fill in 11 billion years of our universe's expansion history

🕑 July 19, 2020

The Sloan Digital Sky Survey (SDSS) released today a comprehensive analysis of the largest three-dimensional map of the Universe ever created, filling in the most significant gaps in our possible exploration of its history.





eBOSS tracers

Lyman-a forest

Clustering quasars

Emission Line Galaxies (ELGs)

Luminous Red Galaxies (LRGs)





- ELG (k-space): De Mattia et al, 2007.09008
- ELG (s-space): Tamone et al, 2007.09009
 - LRG (k-space): Gil-Marin et al, 2007.08994
- LRG (s-space): Bautista et al, 2007.08993
- ELG x LRG (k-space): G-B. Zhao et al, 2007.09011
- ELG x LRG (s-space): Y. Wang et al, 2007.09010
- QSO (k-space): Neveux et al, 2007.08999
- QSO (s-space): Hou et al, 2007.08998
- LyA BAO (s-space): du Mas des Bourboux et al, 2007.08995
- Cosmological implications: Alam et al, 2007.08991
- Mocks, Catalog papers







eBOSS footprint

































Clustering quasars (QSOs) $0.8 < z < 2.2, z_{eff} = 1.48$ ~4700 deg² ~340 K spectra

Hou+, 2020; Neveux+, 2020





2.0

2.5



Angular overlap





Radial overlap



Why cross-correlation is cool?

• It can remove the cosmic variance, thus reduce the statistical uncertainty!

1-tracer:

$$\delta_{g1} = (b_1 + f\mu^2)\delta + \epsilon_1 = f\left(\beta^{-1} + \mu^2\right)\delta + \epsilon_1$$

$$C = 2\langle \delta_{g1}^2 \rangle \quad \frac{\sigma_{\beta}^2}{\beta^2} = \frac{(1+\beta)^2}{\beta^2}$$

2-tracers:
$$\delta_{g1} = f\left(\beta^{-1} + \mu^2\right)\delta + \epsilon_1 \ \delta_{g2} = f\left(\alpha\beta^{-1} + \mu^2\right)\delta + \epsilon_2$$

$$C \equiv \begin{bmatrix} \left\langle \delta_{g1}^2 \right\rangle & \left\langle \delta_{g1} \delta_{g2} \right\rangle \\ \left\langle \delta_{g2} \delta_{g1} \right\rangle & \left\langle \delta_{g2}^2 \right\rangle \end{bmatrix} = \frac{P_{\theta\theta}}{2} \begin{bmatrix} \left(\beta^{-1} + \mu^2\right)^2 & \left(\beta^{-1} + \mu^2\right) \left(\alpha\beta^{-1} + \mu^2\right) \\ \left(\beta^{-1} + \mu^2\right) \left(\alpha\beta^{-1} + \mu^2\right) & \left(\alpha\beta^{-1} + \mu^2\right)^2 \end{bmatrix} + \frac{N}{2}$$

$$\frac{\delta_{g2}}{\delta_{g1}} = \frac{\alpha \beta^{-1} + \mu^2}{\beta^{-1} + \mu^2}.$$

McDonald & Seljak 2008; Seljak 2009



Why cross-correlation is cool?

• It can remove the cosmic variance, thus reduce the statistical uncertainty!

1-tracer:

$$\delta_{g1} = (b_1 + f\mu^2)\delta + \epsilon_1 = f\left(\beta^{-1} + \mu^2\right)\delta + \epsilon_1$$

$$C = 2\langle \delta_{g1}^2 \rangle \quad \frac{\sigma_\beta^2}{\beta^2} = \frac{(1+\beta)^2}{\beta^2}$$

2-tracers:
$$\delta_{g1} = f\left(\beta^{-1} + \mu^2\right)\delta + \epsilon_1 \delta_{g2} = f\left(\alpha\beta^{-1} + \mu^2\right)\delta + \epsilon_2$$

$$C \equiv \begin{bmatrix} \left\langle \delta_{g_1}^2 \right\rangle & \left\langle \delta_{g_1} \delta_{g_2} \right\rangle \\ \left\langle \delta_{g_2} \delta_{g_1} \right\rangle & \left\langle \delta_{g_2}^2 \right\rangle \end{bmatrix} = \frac{P_{\theta\theta}}{2} \begin{bmatrix} \left(\beta^{-1} + \mu^2 \right)^2 & \left(\beta^{-1} + \mu^2 \right) \left(\alpha \beta^{-1} + \mu^2 \right) \\ \left(\beta^{-1} + \mu^2 \right) \left(\alpha \beta^{-1} + \mu^2 \right)^2 & \left(\alpha \beta^{-1} + \mu^2 \right)^2 \end{bmatrix} + \frac{N}{2}$$

$$\frac{\delta_{g2}}{\delta_{g1}} = \frac{\alpha\beta^{-1}+\mu^2}{\beta^{-1}+\mu^2}.$$

McDonald & Seljak 2008; Seljak 2009

• It can reduce the systematics, as the photometry used for observing different tracers are usually uncorrelated!





Decent overlap between eBOSS DR16 LRGs and ELGs in AREA ~ 800 deg² and in REDSHIFT [0.6,1.0]

Zhao, Wang et al, (eBOSS), 2007.09011 (k-space) Wang, **Zhao** et al, (eBOSS), 2007.09010 (s-space)



$$\hat{P}_{\ell}(k) = \frac{2\ell + 1}{I} \int \frac{\mathrm{d}\Omega_{k}}{4\pi} \left[\int \mathrm{d}\boldsymbol{r}_{1}F(\boldsymbol{r}_{1}) \,\mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i}\boldsymbol{k}\cdot\boldsymbol{r}_{1}} \right]$$
$$\times \int \mathrm{d}\boldsymbol{r}_{2}F(\boldsymbol{r}_{2}) \,\mathrm{e}^{-\mathrm{i}\boldsymbol{k}\cdot\boldsymbol{r}_{2}} \mathcal{L}_{\ell}\left(\hat{\boldsymbol{k}}\cdot\hat{\boldsymbol{r}}_{2}\right) - P_{\mathrm{shot}}$$

$$\widehat{P}_{\ell}(k) = \frac{2\ell+1}{2I} \int \frac{\mathrm{d}\Omega_k}{4\pi} \left[F_{0,\mathrm{A}}(\mathbf{k}) F_{\ell,\mathrm{B}}(-\mathbf{k}) + F_{0,\mathrm{B}}(\mathbf{k}) F_{\ell,\mathrm{A}}(-\mathbf{k}) \right],$$

$$F(\boldsymbol{r}) = \frac{w(\boldsymbol{r})}{I^{1/2}} [n(\boldsymbol{r}) - \alpha n_{s}(\boldsymbol{r})],$$

$$F_{\ell}(\mathbf{k}) \equiv \int \mathrm{d}\mathbf{r} \, F(\mathbf{r}) e^{i\mathbf{k}\cdot\mathbf{r}} \mathcal{L}_{\ell}(\hat{\mathbf{k}}\cdot\hat{\mathbf{r}})$$
$$= \frac{4\pi}{2\ell+1} \sum_{m=-\ell}^{\ell} Y_{\ell m}(\hat{\mathbf{k}}) \int \mathrm{d}\mathbf{r} \, F(\mathbf{r}) Y_{\ell m}^{*}(\hat{\mathbf{r}}) e^{i\mathbf{k}\cdot\mathbf{r}}$$

$$I \equiv \int \mathrm{d}\boldsymbol{r} \; w^2(\boldsymbol{r}) n^2(\boldsymbol{r}) \simeq \alpha \sum_i w_i^2 n_{s,i}$$



Modelling the general P(k) including the cross-correlation

$$\begin{split} P^{\text{AB}}_{\text{g}}(k,\mu) &= D_{\text{FoG}}\left(k,\mu\right) \begin{bmatrix} P^{\text{AB}}_{\text{g},\delta\delta}(k) \\ &+ 2f\mu^2 P^{\text{AB}}_{\text{g},\delta\theta}(k) + f^2\mu^4 P^{\text{AB}}_{\theta\theta}(k) \\ &+ A^{\text{AB}}(k,\mu) + B^{\text{AB}}(k,\mu) \end{bmatrix}, \end{split}$$

$$P_{g,\delta\delta}^{AB}(k) = b_{1}^{A}b_{1}^{B}P_{\delta\delta}(k) + \left(b_{1}^{A}b_{2}^{B} + b_{1}^{B}b_{2}^{A}\right)P_{b2,\delta}(k) \\ + \left(b_{s2}^{A}b_{1}^{B} + b_{s2}^{B}b_{1}^{A}\right)P_{bs2,\delta}(k) \\ + \left(b_{s2}^{A}b_{2}^{B} + b_{s2}^{B}b_{2}^{A}\right)P_{b2s2}(k) \\ + \left(b_{3nl}^{A}b_{1}^{B} + b_{3nl}^{B}b_{1}^{A}\right)\sigma_{3}^{2}(k)P_{m}^{L}(k) \\ + b_{2}^{A}b_{2}^{B}P_{b22}(k) + b_{s2}^{A}b_{s2}^{B}P_{bs22}(k) + N_{AB},$$

$$(25)$$

$$P_{g,\delta\theta}^{AB}(k) = \frac{1}{2}\left[\left(b_{1}^{A} + b_{1}^{B}\right)P_{\delta\theta}(k) + \left(b_{2}^{A} + b_{2}^{B}\right)P_{b2,\theta}(k) \\ + \left(b_{s2}^{A} + b_{s2}^{B}\right)P_{bs2,\theta}(k) \\ + \left(b_{3nl}^{A} + b_{3nl}^{B}\right)\sigma_{3}^{2}(k)P_{m}^{L}(k)\right], \quad (26)$$

$$P_{g,\theta\theta}(k) = P_{\theta\theta}(k), \qquad (27)$$

$$P_{EoG}(k,\mu) = \left\{ 1 + \left[k\mu\sigma_{\nu} \right]^{2} / 2 \right\}^{-2}, \qquad (28)$$





















A 11 σ detection of $\Omega_{\Lambda} > 0$

Zhao, Wang et al, (eBOSS), 2007.09011





GBZ+, 2017, Nature Astronomy





Wang+, 2020



Wang+, 2018, ApJL





Determine the neutrino mass hierarchy? Not there yet!

$\sum m_{ u} > 0.0588 { m eV}$	normal hierarchy,
$\sum m_{ u} > 0.0995 { m eV}$	inverted hierarchy.

Data	95% upper limit [eV]
Planck	0.252
Planck + BAO	0.129
Planck + BAO + RSD	0.102
Planck + SN	0.170
Planck + BAO + RSD + SN	0.099
Planck + BAO + RSD + SN + DES	0.111
$Planck + BAO + RSD + SN (\nu w CDM)$	0.139
$Planck + BAO + RSD + SN + DES$ ($\nu w CDM$)	0.161

Measuring H_0 from BAO

BAO measures $\beta_{\perp}(z) = D_M(z)/r_d$, and $\beta_{\parallel}(z) = H(z)r_d$,

and

$$\beta_{\perp}(z) = \int_{0}^{z} \frac{2998 \text{ Mpc } dz'}{r_{d}h\sqrt{\Omega_{m}(1+z')^{3}+1-\Omega_{m}}} \longrightarrow \{r_{d}h,\Omega_{m}\}$$
$$= \int_{0}^{z} \frac{2998 \text{ Mpc } dz'}{r_{d}\omega_{m}^{1/2}\sqrt{(1+z')^{3}+h^{2}/\omega_{m}-1}} \longrightarrow \{r_{d},h,\omega_{m}\}$$
$$h = \frac{H_{0}}{100}, \ \omega_{m} = \Omega_{m}h^{2} \qquad \text{A prior on } \omega_{m} \text{ is needed to get } h!$$



Pogosian, GB, Jedamzik, 2009.08455



Can the H_0 tension be solved by reducing r_d ?



Jedamzik, Pogosian, GB, 2010.04158

Can the H_0 tension be solved by reducing r_d ? NO!!



Jedamzik, Pogosian, GB, 2010.04158



- 1902.00534 (Kreisch et al 2019; moderately interacting)
- 1902.00534 (Kreisch et al 2019; strongly interacting)
- 1811.04083 (Poulin et al 2018; EDE model 1)
- ▼ 1811.04083 (Poulin et al 2018; EDE model 2)
- 1904.01016 (Agrawal et al 2019A)
- 1902.10636 (Pandey et al 2019; decaying DM; PLC+R18)
- 1902.10636 (Pandey et al 2019; decaying DM; Planck+JLA+BAO+R18)
- 1904.01016 (Agrawal et al 2019A; Neff)
- ★ 2006.13959 (Gonzalez et al 2020; ultralight scalar decay)
- 1811.03624 (Chiang et al 2018; non-standard recombination 1)
- 1811.03624 (Chiang et al 2018; non-standard recombination 2)
- + 2004.09487 (Jedamzik & Pogosian 2020; PMF model 1)
- × 2004.09487 (Jedamzik & Pogosian 2020; PMF model 2)
- * 1906.08261 (Agrawal et al 2019B; swampland & fading dark matter)
- 2007.03381 (Sekiguchi et al 2020; early recombination)
- ΛCDM
- □ 1507.04351 (Lesgourgues et al 2015; DM-dark interaction)
- 1909.04044 (Escudero & Witte 2019; Neutrino sector extra radiation)
- 2009.00006 (Niedermann & Sloth 2020; new EDE)
- 1803.10229 (Kumar et al 2018; dark-matter photon interactions; massive neutrinos, Neff > 3.04)

Jedamzik, Pogosian, GB, 2010.04158





Alam+, 2020



Summary

- eBOSS completed successfully with \sim 1M spectra covering 0.6<z<3;
 - A multi-tracer analysis was performed for LRGs and ELGs, and a RSD
 - signal is detected at 4 σ in the cross-power spectrum;
 - A standard ACDM model seems fine with data, but extended models
 - (dynamical DE, modified gravity, neutrinos, etc) are worth exploring in

more depth.