Black Holes as Fast Scramblers

Yasuhiro Sekino (Okayama Institute for Quantum Physics)

Based on Y.S. and L. Susskind (Stanford), 0808.2096 [hep-th]

Black hole

Schwarzschild black hole

$$ds^{2} = -\left(1 - \frac{2GM}{r}\right)dt^{2} + \left(1 - \frac{2GM}{r}\right)^{-1}dr^{2} + r^{2}d\Omega^{2}$$

• Horizon at R=2GM. Near the horizon, Rindler space





• Black hole behaves as an object with

– Entropy:
$$S = \frac{A}{4G} = \frac{\pi R^2}{G}$$

First law (dM=T dS), Generalized second law

- Temperature:
$$T = \frac{1}{4\pi R}$$

Emits Hawking radiations

- Schwarzschild BH is unstable.
 - Negative specific heat
 - Evaporation time:

$$\frac{dM}{dt} \sim T^2 \sim \frac{1}{M^2} \qquad \Rightarrow t_{\rm evap} \sim M^3$$

"Information puzzle"

• Naive application of local field theory on a global slice (and the semi-classical approx.)

 $\mathcal{H}(\Sigma) = \mathcal{H}(\Sigma_{in}) \otimes \mathcal{H}(\Sigma_{out})$



Complementarity

- Consistent theory should be defined on a patch that a single observer can see.
 - Interior view: things fall into a BH
 - Exterior view: BH is a hot membrane on the "stretched horizon" which emits Hawking rad.



These viewpoints are "complementary" (different descriptions of the same phenomenon).

Complementarity

Hypothesis:

- Hawking radiations should carry information on the quantum states that have fallen into the BH.
- Formation and evaporation of BH is a unitary process.



 This is supported by string theory (AdS/CFT, Matrix theory).

Potential trouble for complementarity

- Isn't a quantum state cloned?
 - → There is no problem, if a single observer cannot copy a quantum state.



<u>Thought experiment</u> (Susskind-Thorlacius, '94): Can Bob get two copies of Alice's quantum state?

- Bob stays at $\rho = R$
- After time ω_{ret} he jumps into the BH. $(X^+ = R \exp(\omega_{\text{ret}}))$
- He hits the horizon at $X^- < R \exp(-\omega_{\rm ret})$.
- If Alice wants that her message reaches Bob before he hits the horizon, she has to send it no later than $\Delta \tau = R \exp(-\omega_{\rm ret})$ after crossing the horizon.

t



• From the uncertainty principle, she cannot send it no sooner

han
$$\Delta \tau > \frac{1}{M} = \frac{2G}{R}$$

- Thus, cloning doesn't happen if $\omega_{
m ret} > \log R$

Estimate for the retrieval time?

- Consider a system which consists of subsystems A and B. The whole system is in a pure state.
 - Wave function: $\psi(\alpha, \beta)$
 - Density matrix on A: $(\rho_A)_{\alpha\alpha'} = \sum_{\beta} \psi(\alpha, \beta)^* \psi(\alpha', \beta)$
 - Entanglement entropy: $S_A = -\operatorname{Tr}(\rho_A \log \rho_A)$
 - Information in a subsystem:

$$I_A = S_{\max} - S_A, \quad (S_{\max} = \dim(\mathcal{H}_A))$$

 How much info does a "typical" subsystem have?

Average info in a subsystem (Page, '93)

- Average over possible pure states
- When subsystem A is smaller than half the whole system, there is almost no info.



$$S_A = m - O(e^{2m-N})$$
$$2^m = \dim(\mathcal{H}_A), \quad 2^N = \dim(\mathcal{H}_{\text{total}})$$

After "halfway point" of evaporation, information comes out. This is late enough to prevent cloning.

Refined argument (Hayden-Preskill, '07)

 Assume Bob has collected all the Hawking radiation (since the BH has formed) before Alice jumps into BH. Namely,



E: previously emitted Hawking rad.
B: black hole (Assume dim(E)>dim(B))
M: Alice's message (k bits)
N: reference system (maximally entangled with M)

<u>V: unitary transformation on BM</u> R: additionally emitted Hawking rad. B': black hole after emitting R

Hayden and Preskill's result

• For typical unitary V, subsystems B' and N are almost decoupled when slightly more than k bits are emitted.

 $\int dV ||\rho^{NB'}(V) - \rho(V) \otimes \rho_{\max}^{B'}||_1^2 \le 2^{-2(s-k)}$ (s: bits in Hawking rad.)

- In other words, the system RE is maximally correlated with N (i.e. Bob has Alice's info) almost immediately after k bits of Hawking radiations are emitted.
- In the above argument, V is completely random on BM.
- The time scale relevant for info retrieval would be the time needed for M to be mixed ("scrambled") with B.

Estimate for the scrambling time

• Consider a charged particle falling into a BH.

Rindler space (near horizon)

$$ds^{2} = -\rho^{2}d\omega^{2} + d\rho^{2} + dx_{i}^{2}$$
$$= -dt^{2} + dz^{2} + dx_{i}^{2}$$
$$(z = \rho \cosh \omega, \quad t = \rho \sinh \omega)$$

Electric field of the point particle

$$E_z = \frac{e(z - z_0)}{[(z - z_0)^2 + x_i^2]^{3/2}} = \frac{1}{\rho} E_\rho$$
$$\sigma = \frac{1}{4\pi\rho_0} E_\rho$$

"Membrane paradigm":

 σ : surface charge density on stretched horizon

• At late time (in ω), surface charge is

$$E_{\rho} \sim \frac{e}{(\ell_s e^{\omega})^2 [1 + (x_i e^{-\omega}/\ell_s)]^2}$$

– charge has spread over $\Delta x \sim \ell_s e^{\omega}$

• Thus, time needed for perturbation to spread over the whole horizon (scrambling time) is

$$\omega_* = \log R / \ell_s \sim \log S$$

— Note that this is fast. Usually, diffusion takes time $\omega_* \sim N^{2/d}$

Conjectures

- Fastest scramblers in nature take time log N to scramble information over the whole system (N: # of d.o.f. of the whole system).
- 2. Black holes saturate the bound.
- 3. Matrix quantum mechanics (dual to the BH) saturates the bound.

D0-brane black hole

• Metric (in the "decoupling limit")

$$ds^{2} = \alpha' \left[-\left(\frac{g_{\rm YM}^{2}n}{U^{7}}\right)^{-1/2} \left(1 - \frac{U_{0}^{7}}{U^{7}}\right) dt^{2} + \left(\frac{g_{\rm YM}^{2}n}{U^{7}}\right)^{1/2} \left\{ \left(1 - \frac{U_{0}^{7}}{U^{7}}\right)^{-1} dU^{2} + U^{2} d\Omega_{8}^{2} \right\} \right].$$

- Charge (number of the D0-branes): n
- Energy (mass above extremality), Entropy, temperature

$$\begin{split} E &\sim \frac{U_0^7}{g_{\rm YM}^4}, \qquad S \sim \frac{n^2 U_0^{9/2}}{(g_{\rm YM}^2 n)^{3/2}}, \qquad T \sim \frac{U_0^{5/2}}{(g_{\rm YM}^2 n)^{1/2}}, \\ - \, {\rm String\ coupling:} \\ e^\phi &\sim \frac{1}{n} \left(\frac{g_{\rm YM}^2 n}{U^3}\right)^{7/4} \end{split}$$

Scrambling time for D0 black hole

• Classical gravity analysis: valid when coupling and curvature are small at the horizon.

 $1 \ll (g_{\rm YM}^2 n/U_0^3) \ll n^{4/7}$

• Scrambling time (in unit of inverse temperature)

 $\omega_* = C \log n$, (since $R_s \sim (g_{\rm YM}^2 n / U_0^3)^{1/4} \ell_s$)

• <u>Remark:</u>

True causal structure (including back reaction) is believed to be similar to that for Schwarzschild BH.

Matrix theory

• (0+1) D SYM: Lagrangian is (schematically) $L = \operatorname{Tr} \sum_{a} \dot{X^{a}} \dot{X^{a}} - \operatorname{Tr} \sum_{ab} [X^{a}, X^{b}]^{2}$

 $X^a: n \times n$ matrices, $N = n \times n$: total number of d.o.f.

- Operator corresponding to the perturbation: $Tr(X \cdots X)$ (having angular momentum $\ell \sim R_s \sim (g_{YM}^2 n / U_0^3)^{1/4}$)
- <u>Basic picture of scrambling</u>: at each time step, # of bits "connected" to the perturbed bit grows by a factor of 4 (the # of bits in the interaction term).

Conclusions

- Conjecture:
 - Black holes are the fastest scramblers in nature.
 - Minimal scrambling time: log N
 - Matrix quantum mechanics saturates the bound.
- Problems for future work:
 - More precise definition of scrambling
 - Derivation of the bound including prefactor
 - Information retrieval from cosmological horizons?