

Three-dimensional Black Holes, Einstein and Non-Einstein

Wei Li

IPMU, Tokyo University, Japan

Quantum Black Hole, IPMU, Sep 13 2008

Reference

1. Chiral Gravity in Three Dimensions

with W. Song and A. Strominger

[arXiv:0801.4566](#)

2. Warped AdS_3 Black Holes

with D. Anninos, M. Padi, W. Song and A. Strominger

[arXiv:0807.3040](#)

Overview

3D pure Einstein gravity
ECFT dual

Topologically Massive Gravity (with negative Λ)

Instability at generic $\mu\ell$
Chiral gravity at $\mu\ell = 1$
Symmetry enhancement?

New Vacua of TMG

Warped AdS_3 vacua
Warped black holes
Black hole thermodynamics and conjecture for CFT

Summary.

Outline

Overview

3D pure Einstein gravity

ECFT dual

Topologically Massive Gravity (with negative Λ)

Instability at generic $\mu\ell$

Chiral gravity at $\mu\ell = 1$

Symmetry enhancement?

New Vacua of TMG

Warped AdS_3 vacua

Warped black holes

Black hole thermodynamics and conjecture for CFT

Summary.

3D pure Einstein gravity

- ▶ 3D pure Einstein gravity is **trivial classically**.

1. DOF counting:

$$\begin{aligned} & \text{Spatial metric} + \text{Momenta} - \text{Diffeo} - \text{Bianchi} \\ &= 3 + 3 - 3 - 3 \\ &= 0 \end{aligned}$$

- ▶ No propagating DOF.

3D pure Einstein gravity

- ▶ 3D pure Einstein gravity is **trivial classically**.

1. DOF counting:

$$\begin{aligned} & \text{Spatial metric} + \text{Momenta} - \text{Diffeo} - \text{Bianchi} \\ &= 3 + 3 - 3 - 3 \\ &= 0 \end{aligned}$$

- ▶ No propagating DOF.

 2. Riemann and Ricci have same number of DOF (= 6)
 - ▶ all solutions have **same constant curvature**.

3D pure Einstein gravity

- ▶ 3D pure Einstein gravity is **trivial classically**.

1. DOF counting:

$$\begin{aligned} & \text{Spatial metric} + \text{Momenta} - \text{Diffeo} - \text{Bianchi} \\ &= 3 + 3 - 3 - 3 \\ &= 0 \end{aligned}$$

- ▶ No propagating DOF.

2. Riemann and Ricci have same number of DOF (= 6)

- ▶ all solutions have **same constant curvature**.

- ▶ \exists BTZ black holes (*when* $\Lambda < 0$)

3D pure Einstein gravity

- ▶ 3D pure Einstein gravity is **trivial classically**.

1. DOF counting:

$$\begin{aligned} & \text{Spatial metric} + \text{Momenta} - \text{Diffeo} - \text{Bianchi} \\ &= 3 + 3 - 3 - 3 \\ &= 0 \end{aligned}$$

- ▶ No propagating DOF.

2. Riemann and Ricci have same number of DOF (= 6)

- ▶ all solutions have **same constant curvature**.

- ▶ \exists BTZ black holes (*when* $\Lambda < 0$)

\implies **Non-trivial quantum mechanically**.

- ▶ Microscopic origin of BTZ black hole entropy?

Negative cosmological constant

- ▶ In this talk, focus on $\Lambda < 0$.

Negative cosmological constant

- ▶ In this talk, focus on $\Lambda < 0$.
 - ▶ \exists black holes (unlike $\Lambda \geq 0$)

Negative cosmological constant

- ▶ In this talk, focus on $\Lambda < 0$.
 - ▶ \exists black holes (unlike $\Lambda \geq 0$)
 - ▶ Use AdS_3/CFT_2

$$\Lambda = -\frac{1}{\ell^2} \quad \ell : AdS_3 \text{ radius.}$$

- *Assuming holomorphic factorization*, 3D **pure** gravity

$$I_{Ein} = \frac{1}{16\pi G} \int d^3x \sqrt{g} (R + \frac{2}{\ell^2})$$

is conjectured to be dual to Extremal-CFT

$$(c_L, c_R) = (24k, 24k) \quad \text{with} \quad k = \frac{\ell}{16G} \in \mathbb{Z}$$

- *Assuming holomorphic factorization*, 3D **pure** gravity

$$I_{Ein} = \frac{1}{16\pi G} \int d^3x \sqrt{g} (R + \frac{2}{\ell^2})$$

is conjectured to be dual to Extremal-CFT

$$(c_L, c_R) = (24k, 24k) \quad \text{with} \quad k = \frac{\ell}{16G} \in \mathbb{Z}$$

1. Pure gravity \Rightarrow no state between vacuum and lightest BTZ

- *Assuming holomorphic factorization*, 3D **pure** gravity

$$I_{Ein} = \frac{1}{16\pi G} \int d^3x \sqrt{g} (R + \frac{2}{\ell^2})$$

is conjectured to be dual to Extremal-CFT

$$(c_L, c_R) = (24k, 24k) \quad \text{with} \quad k = \frac{\ell}{16G} \in \mathbb{Z}$$

1. Pure gravity \Rightarrow no state between vacuum and lightest BTZ
 \Rightarrow no primary between identity and $k + 1$

- *Assuming holomorphic factorization*, 3D **pure** gravity

$$I_{Ein} = \frac{1}{16\pi G} \int d^3x \sqrt{g} (R + \frac{2}{\ell^2})$$

is conjectured to be dual to Extremal-CFT

$$(c_L, c_R) = (24k, 24k) \quad \text{with} \quad k = \frac{\ell}{16G} \in \mathbb{Z}$$

1. Pure gravity \Rightarrow no state between vacuum and lightest BTZ
 \Rightarrow no primary between identity and $k + 1 \Rightarrow$ **ECFT**

- *Assuming holomorphic factorization*, 3D **pure** gravity

$$I_{Ein} = \frac{1}{16\pi G} \int d^3x \sqrt{g} (R + \frac{2}{\ell^2})$$

is conjectured to be dual to Extremal-CFT

$$(c_L, c_R) = (24k, 24k) \quad \text{with} \quad k = \frac{\ell}{16G} \in \mathbb{Z}$$

1. Pure gravity \Rightarrow no state between vacuum and lightest BTZ
 \Rightarrow no primary between identity and $k + 1 \Rightarrow$ **ECFT**
2. $c = 24k$ with $k \in \mathbb{Z} \Rightarrow$ Left and right CFT are **separately modular-invariant**.

- *Assuming holomorphic factorization*, 3D **pure** gravity

$$I_{Ein} = \frac{1}{16\pi G} \int d^3x \sqrt{g} (R + \frac{2}{\ell^2})$$

is conjectured to be dual to Extremal-CFT

$$(c_L, c_R) = (24k, 24k) \quad \text{with} \quad k = \frac{\ell}{16G} \in \mathbb{Z}$$

1. Pure gravity \Rightarrow no state between vacuum and lightest BTZ
 \Rightarrow no primary between identity and $k + 1 \Rightarrow$ **ECFT**
2. $c = 24k$ with $k \in \mathbb{Z} \Rightarrow$ Left and right CFT are **separately modular-invariant**. \Rightarrow **Holomorphic factorized!**

- *Assuming holomorphic factorization*, 3D **pure** gravity

$$I_{Ein} = \frac{1}{16\pi G} \int d^3x \sqrt{g} (R + \frac{2}{\ell^2})$$

is conjectured to be dual to Extremal-CFT

$$(c_L, c_R) = (24k, 24k) \quad \text{with} \quad k = \frac{\ell}{16G} \in \mathbb{Z}$$

1. Pure gravity \Rightarrow no state between vacuum and lightest BTZ
 \Rightarrow no primary between identity and $k + 1 \Rightarrow$ **ECFT**
 2. $c = 24k$ with $k \in \mathbb{Z} \Rightarrow$ Left and right CFT are **separately modular-invariant**. \Rightarrow **Holomorphic factorized!**
- Only $c = 24$ ECFT is explicitly known — it has monster symmetry.

- ▶ *Assuming holomorphic factorization*, 3D **pure** gravity

$$I_{Ein} = \frac{1}{16\pi G} \int d^3x \sqrt{g} (R + \frac{2}{\ell^2})$$

is conjectured to be dual to Extremal-CFT

$$(c_L, c_R) = (24k, 24k) \quad \text{with} \quad k = \frac{\ell}{16G} \in \mathbb{Z}$$

1. Pure gravity \Rightarrow no state between vacuum and lightest BTZ
 \Rightarrow no primary between identity and $k + 1 \Rightarrow$ **ECFT**
 2. $c = 24k$ with $k \in \mathbb{Z} \Rightarrow$ Left and right CFT are **separately modular-invariant**. \Rightarrow **Holomorphic factorized!**
- ▶ Only $c = 24$ ECFT is explicitly known — it has monster symmetry.
 - ▶ Partition function from ECFT counts BTZ entropy.

Problem with holomorphic factorization

- ▶ If assume holomorphic factorization:

$$Z(q, \bar{q}) = Z(q)Z(\bar{q})$$

Problem with holomorphic factorization

- ▶ If assume holomorphic factorization:

$$Z(q, \bar{q}) = Z(q)Z(\bar{q})$$

- ▶ However, when directly compute $Z(q, \bar{q})$ by summing over classical geometries + 1-loop correction:

$$Z(q, \bar{q}) \neq Z(q)Z(\bar{q})$$

Yin, Maloney+Witten 2007, Giombi+Maloney+Yin 2008

Problem with holomorphic factorization

- ▶ If assume holomorphic factorization:

$$Z(q, \bar{q}) = Z(q)Z(\bar{q})$$

- ▶ However, when directly compute $Z(q, \bar{q})$ by summing over classical geometries + 1-loop correction:

$$Z(q, \bar{q}) \neq Z(q)Z(\bar{q})$$

Yin, Maloney+Witten 2007, Giombi+Maloney+Yin 2008

- ▶ Possible answers:
 1. Quantum pure gravity need to include non-geometric configurations (complex saddlepoints...)

Problem with holomorphic factorization

- ▶ If assume holomorphic factorization:

$$Z(q, \bar{q}) = Z(q)Z(\bar{q})$$

- ▶ However, when directly compute $Z(q, \bar{q})$ by summing over classical geometries + 1-loop correction:

$$Z(q, \bar{q}) \neq Z(q)Z(\bar{q})$$

Yin, Maloney+Witten 2007, Giombi+Maloney+Yin 2008

- ▶ Possible answers:
 1. Quantum pure gravity need to include non-geometric configurations (complex saddlepoints...)
 2. Cannot be holomorphic factorized.

Problem with holomorphic factorization

- ▶ If assume holomorphic factorization:

$$Z(q, \bar{q}) = Z(q)Z(\bar{q})$$

- ▶ However, when directly compute $Z(q, \bar{q})$ by summing over classical geometries + 1-loop correction:

$$Z(q, \bar{q}) \neq Z(q)Z(\bar{q})$$

Yin, Maloney+Witten 2007, Giombi+Maloney+Yin 2008

- ▶ Possible answers:
 1. Quantum pure gravity need to include non-geometric configurations (complex saddlepoints...)
 2. Cannot be holomorphic factorized.
 3. Alternative?

Problem with holomorphic factorization

- ▶ If assume holomorphic factorization:

$$Z(q, \bar{q}) = Z(q)Z(\bar{q})$$

- ▶ However, when directly compute $Z(q, \bar{q})$ by summing over classical geometries + 1-loop correction:

$$Z(q, \bar{q}) \neq Z(q)Z(\bar{q})$$

Yin, Maloney+Witten 2007, Giombi+Maloney+Yin 2008

- ▶ Possible answers:

1. Quantum pure gravity need to include non-geometric configurations (complex saddlepoints...)
2. Cannot be holomorphic factorized.
3. Alternative?

Deform pure gravity...

Outline

Overview

3D pure Einstein gravity

ECFT dual

Topologically Massive Gravity (with negative Λ)

Instability at generic $\mu\ell$

Chiral gravity at $\mu\ell = 1$

Symmetry enhancement?

New Vacua of TMG

Warped AdS_3 vacua

Warped black holes

Black hole thermodynamics and conjecture for CFT

Summary.

Topologically Massive Gravity (TMG)

- ▶ Add a gravitational Chern-Simons term

$$I = \frac{1}{16\pi G} \left[\int d^3x \sqrt{|g|} (R - 2\Lambda) + \frac{1}{\mu} I_{CS} \right]$$
$$I_{CS} = -\frac{1}{2} \int \text{Tr}(\Gamma \wedge d\Gamma + \frac{2}{3} \Gamma \wedge \Gamma \wedge \Gamma)$$

Deser+Jackiw+Templeton 1982

Topologically Massive Gravity (TMG)

- ▶ Add a gravitational Chern-Simons term

$$I = \frac{1}{16\pi G} \left[\int d^3x \sqrt{|g|} (R - 2\Lambda) + \frac{1}{\mu} I_{CS} \right]$$
$$I_{CS} = -\frac{1}{2} \int \text{Tr}(\Gamma \wedge d\Gamma + \frac{2}{3} \Gamma \wedge \Gamma \wedge \Gamma)$$

Deser+Jackiw+Templeton 1982

- ▶ \exists one single massive, propagating graviton DOF at **generic μ** .

Topologically Massive Gravity (TMG)

- ▶ Add a gravitational Chern-Simons term

$$I = \frac{1}{16\pi G} \left[\int d^3x \sqrt{|g|} (R - 2\Lambda) + \frac{1}{\mu} I_{CS} \right]$$
$$I_{CS} = -\frac{1}{2} \int \text{Tr}(\Gamma \wedge d\Gamma + \frac{2}{3} \Gamma \wedge \Gamma \wedge \Gamma)$$

Deser+Jackiw+Templeton 1982

- ▶ \exists one single massive, propagating graviton DOF at generic μ .
 1. Naive DOF counting stops working.
($\implies \text{DOF} = 6 + 6 - 3 - 3 - 4 = 2 \neq 0$)

Topologically Massive Gravity (TMG)

- ▶ Add a gravitational Chern-Simons term

$$I = \frac{1}{16\pi G} \left[\int d^3x \sqrt{|g|} (R - 2\Lambda) + \frac{1}{\mu} I_{CS} \right]$$
$$I_{CS} = -\frac{1}{2} \int \text{Tr}(\Gamma \wedge d\Gamma + \frac{2}{3} \Gamma \wedge \Gamma \wedge \Gamma)$$

Deser+Jackiw+Templeton 1982

- ▶ \exists one single massive, propagating graviton DOF at **generic μ** .
 1. Naive DOF counting stops working.
($\implies \text{DOF} = 6 + 6 - 3 - 3 - 4 = 2 \neq 0$)
 2. μ is mass of the massive graviton in flat space.

Topologically Massive Gravity (TMG)

- ▶ Add a gravitational Chern-Simons term

$$I = \frac{1}{16\pi G} \left[\int d^3x \sqrt{|g|} (R - 2\Lambda) + \frac{1}{\mu} I_{CS} \right]$$
$$I_{CS} = -\frac{1}{2} \int \text{Tr}(\Gamma \wedge d\Gamma + \frac{2}{3} \Gamma \wedge \Gamma \wedge \Gamma)$$

Deser+Jackiw+Templeton 1982

- ▶ \exists one single massive, propagating graviton DOF at generic μ .

1. Naive DOF counting stops working.
($\implies \text{DOF} = 6 + 6 - 3 - 3 - 4 = 2 \neq 0$)
 2. μ is mass of the massive graviton in flat space.
- ☞ One DOF allows more structures

- ▶ When $\Lambda = 0$, TMG allows black holes

Ait Moussa+Clement+Leygnac 2003

- ▶ When $\Lambda < 0$, TMG allows warped AdS_3 and black holes (maybe even more).

Anninos+WL+Padi+Song+Strominger 2008

Topologically Massive Gravity

- ▶ The action:

$$I = \frac{1}{16\pi G} \left[\int d^3x \sqrt{|g|} (R - 2\Lambda) + \frac{1}{\mu} I_{CS} \right]$$

- ▶ EOM:

$$\mathcal{G}_{\mu\nu} + \frac{1}{\mu} C_{\mu\nu} = 0$$

- ▶ $\mathcal{G}_{\mu\nu}$: c.c.-modified Einstein tensor

$$\mathcal{G}_{\mu\nu} \equiv R_{\mu\nu} - \frac{1}{2} g_{\mu\nu} R + \Lambda g_{\mu\nu}$$

- ▶ $C_{\mu\nu}$: Cotton tensor (Weyl tensor vanishes identically in 3D.)

$$C_{\mu\nu} \equiv \frac{1}{\sqrt{|g|}} \epsilon_{\mu}^{\alpha\beta} \nabla_{\alpha} (R_{\beta\nu} - \frac{1}{4} g_{\beta\nu} R)$$

Topologically Massive Gravity

- ▶ The action:

$$I = \frac{1}{16\pi G} \left[\int d^3x \sqrt{|g|} (R - 2\Lambda) + \frac{1}{\mu} I_{CS} \right]$$

- ▶ EOM:

$$\mathcal{G}_{\mu\nu} + \frac{1}{\mu} C_{\mu\nu} = 0$$

- ▶ $\mathcal{G}_{\mu\nu}$: c.c.-modified Einstein tensor

$$\mathcal{G}_{\mu\nu} \equiv R_{\mu\nu} - \frac{1}{2} g_{\mu\nu} R + \Lambda g_{\mu\nu}$$

- ▶ $C_{\mu\nu}$: Cotton tensor (Weyl tensor vanishes identically in 3D.)

$$C_{\mu\nu} \equiv \frac{1}{\sqrt{|g|}} \epsilon_{\mu}^{\alpha\beta} \nabla_{\alpha} (R_{\beta\nu} - \frac{1}{4} g_{\beta\nu} R)$$

- ▶ All solutions of Einstein gravity are also solutions of TMG.

AdS_3 vacuum

- ▶ TMG has an AdS_3 vacuum

$$ds^2 = \ell^2(-\cosh^2 \rho d\tau^2 + \sinh^2 \rho d\phi^2 + d\rho^2)$$

with $\Lambda = -\frac{1}{\ell^2}$.

AdS_3 vacuum

- ▶ TMG has an AdS_3 vacuum

$$ds^2 = \ell^2(-\cosh^2 \rho d\tau^2 + \sinh^2 \rho d\phi^2 + d\rho^2)$$

with $\Lambda = -\frac{1}{\ell^2}$.

- ▶ Isometry : $SL(2, \mathbb{R})_L \times SL(2, \mathbb{R})_R$.

AdS_3 vacuum

- ▶ TMG has an AdS_3 vacuum

$$ds^2 = \ell^2(-\cosh^2 \rho d\tau^2 + \sinh^2 \rho d\phi^2 + d\rho^2)$$

with $\Lambda = -\frac{1}{\ell^2}$.

- ▶ Isometry : $SL(2, \mathbb{R})_L \times SL(2, \mathbb{R})_R$.
- ▶ Quantum gravity in AdS_3 can be defined by holographic dual living on its **boundary** ($\rho \rightarrow \infty$).

AdS_3 vacuum

- ▶ TMG has an AdS_3 vacuum

$$ds^2 = \ell^2(-\cosh^2 \rho d\tau^2 + \sinh^2 \rho d\phi^2 + d\rho^2)$$

with $\Lambda = -\frac{1}{\ell^2}$.

- ▶ Isometry : $SL(2, \mathbb{R})_L \times SL(2, \mathbb{R})_R$.
- ▶ Quantum gravity in AdS_3 can be defined by holographic dual living on its boundary ($\rho \rightarrow \infty$).
 - * Need to specify boundary condition.

Choosing boundary conditions

1. Criteria : **As weak as possible while keeping charges finite**
 - ▶ **Not too restrictive** (to allow non-trivial configuration).
 - ▶ **Not too loose** (s.t. charges are finite).

Choosing boundary conditions

1. Criteria : **As weak as possible while keeping charges finite**
 - ▶ **Not too restrictive** (to allow non-trivial configuration).
 - ▶ **Not too loose** (s.t. charges are finite).
2. To each set of b.c. corresponds to an **Asymptotic Symmetry Group** (ASG):

$$\text{ASG} \equiv \frac{\text{Allowed Symmetry Transformations}}{\text{Trivial Symmetry Transformations}}$$

- ▶ “**Allowed**” : preserving the given boundary condition
- ▶ “**Trivial**” : associated charges vanish (after implementing constraints).

Choosing boundary conditions

1. Criteria : **As weak as possible while keeping charges finite**
 - ▶ **Not too restrictive** (to allow non-trivial configuration).
 - ▶ **Not too loose** (s.t. charges are finite).
2. To each set of b.c. corresponds to an **Asymptotic Symmetry Group** (ASG):

$$\text{ASG} \equiv \frac{\text{Allowed Symmetry Transformations}}{\text{Trivial Symmetry Transformations}}$$

- ▶ “**Allowed**” : preserving the given boundary condition
 - ▶ “**Trivial**” : associated charges vanish (after implementing constraints).
3. Physical states are in representation of ASG (annihilated by trivial symmetries.)

Brown-Henneaux boundary condition

- ▶ Brown-Henneaux boundary condition: *Brown+Henneaux 1986*

$$h_{\rho\rho}, h_{\rho t}, h_{\rho\phi} \sim \mathcal{O}(e^{-2\rho})$$

$$h_{tt}, h_{t\phi}, h_{\phi\phi} \sim \mathcal{O}(1)$$

Brown-Henneaux boundary condition

- ▶ Brown-Henneaux boundary condition: *Brown+Henneaux 1986*

$$h_{\rho\rho}, h_{\rho t}, h_{\rho\phi} \sim \mathcal{O}(e^{-2\rho})$$

$$h_{tt}, h_{t\phi}, h_{\phi\phi} \sim \mathcal{O}(1)$$

- ▶ Allows BTZ and massive graviton
- ▶ Valid for both Einstein gravity **and TMG**

Hotta+Hyakutake+Kubota+Tanida 2008

Brown-Henneaux boundary condition

- ▶ Brown-Henneaux boundary condition: *Brown+Henneaux 1986*

$$h_{\rho\rho}, h_{\rho t}, h_{\rho\phi} \sim \mathcal{O}(e^{-2\rho})$$

$$h_{tt}, h_{t\phi}, h_{\phi\phi} \sim \mathcal{O}(1)$$

- ▶ Allows BTZ and massive graviton
- ▶ Valid for both Einstein gravity **and TMG**

Hotta+Hyakutake+Kubota+Tanida 2008

- ▶ ASG : two copies of Virasoro algebra.
 - ▶ Still true for TMG at generic μ (with central charges shifted)

Brown-Henneaux boundary condition

- ▶ Brown-Henneaux boundary condition: *Brown+Henneaux 1986*

$$h_{\rho\rho}, h_{\rho t}, h_{\rho\phi} \sim \mathcal{O}(e^{-2\rho})$$

$$h_{tt}, h_{t\phi}, h_{\phi\phi} \sim \mathcal{O}(1)$$

- ▶ Allows BTZ and massive graviton
- ▶ Valid for both Einstein gravity **and TMG**

Hotta+Hyakutake+Kubota+Tanida 2008

- ▶ ASG : two copies of Virasoro algebra.
 - ▶ Still true for TMG at generic μ (with central charges shifted)

\implies Critical point at $\mu\ell = 1$

Central charges of 2D CFT in TMG

- ▶ Computing central charges by [anomaly matching](#):

Central charges of 2D CFT in TMG

- ▶ Computing central charges by **anomaly matching**:

- ▶ Weyl Anomaly: $c_L + c_R = \frac{3\ell}{G}$ *Brown+Henneaux 1986*

Central charges of 2D CFT in TMG

- ▶ Computing central charges by **anomaly matching**:

- ▶ Weyl Anomaly: $c_L + c_R = \frac{3\ell}{G}$ *Brown+Henneaux 1986*
- ▶ Gravitational Anomaly: $c_L - c_R = -\frac{3}{\mu G}$ *Kraus+Larsen 2005*

Central charges of 2D CFT in TMG

- ▶ Computing central charges by **anomaly matching**:

- ▶ Weyl Anomaly: $c_L + c_R = \frac{3\ell}{G}$ *Brown+Henneaux 1986*
- ▶ Gravitational Anomaly: $c_L - c_R = -\frac{3}{\mu G}$ *Kraus+Larsen 2005*

- ▶ Central charge of 2D CFT **in TMG**

$$c_L = \frac{3\ell}{2G} \left(1 - \frac{1}{\mu\ell} \right)$$

$$c_R = \frac{3\ell}{2G} \left(1 + \frac{1}{\mu\ell} \right)$$

Central charges of 2D CFT in TMG

- ▶ Computing central charges by **anomaly matching**:

- ▶ Weyl Anomaly: $c_L + c_R = \frac{3\ell}{G}$ *Brown+Henneaux 1986*
- ▶ Gravitational Anomaly: $c_L - c_R = -\frac{3}{\mu G}$ *Kraus+Larsen 2005*

- ▶ Central charge of 2D CFT **in TMG**

$$c_L = \frac{3\ell}{2G} \left(1 - \frac{1}{\mu\ell} \right)$$

$$c_R = \frac{3\ell}{2G} \left(1 + \frac{1}{\mu\ell} \right)$$

1. Unitarity $\implies c \geq 0$

Central charges of 2D CFT in TMG

- ▶ Computing central charges by **anomaly matching**:

- ▶ Weyl Anomaly: $c_L + c_R = \frac{3\ell}{G}$ *Brown+Henneaux 1986*
- ▶ Gravitational Anomaly: $c_L - c_R = -\frac{3}{\mu G}$ *Kraus+Larsen 2005*

- ▶ Central charge of 2D CFT **in TMG**

$$c_L = \frac{3\ell}{2G} \left(1 - \frac{1}{\mu\ell} \right)$$

$$c_R = \frac{3\ell}{2G} \left(1 + \frac{1}{\mu\ell} \right)$$

1. Unitarity $\implies c \geq 0 \implies \mu\ell \geq 1$

Central charges of 2D CFT in TMG

- ▶ Computing central charges by **anomaly matching**:

- ▶ Weyl Anomaly: $c_L + c_R = \frac{3\ell}{G}$ *Brown+Henneaux 1986*
- ▶ Gravitational Anomaly: $c_L - c_R = -\frac{3}{\mu G}$ *Kraus+Larsen 2005*

- ▶ Central charge of 2D CFT **in TMG**

$$c_L = \frac{3\ell}{2G} \left(1 - \frac{1}{\mu\ell} \right)$$

$$c_R = \frac{3\ell}{2G} \left(1 + \frac{1}{\mu\ell} \right)$$

1. Unitarity $\implies c \geq 0 \implies \mu\ell \geq 1$

2. $c_L = 0$ at $\mu\ell = 1$.

BTZ black holes in Einstein gravity

- ▶ The **only** black holes in 3D **pure** Einstein gravity

$$ds^2 = -N(r)^2 dt^2 + \frac{dr^2}{N(r)^2} + r^2(d\phi + N^\phi(r)dt)^2$$

where

$$N(r)^2 = \frac{(r^2 - r_+^2)(r^2 - r_-^2)}{\ell^2 r^2}, \quad N^\phi(r) = \pm \frac{r_+ r_-}{\ell r^2}$$

r_\pm : outer and inner horizon.

BTZ black holes in Einstein gravity

- ▶ The **only** black holes in 3D **pure** Einstein gravity

$$ds^2 = -N(r)^2 dt^2 + \frac{dr^2}{N(r)^2} + r^2(d\phi + N^\phi(r)dt)^2$$

where

$$N(r)^2 = \frac{(r^2 - r_+^2)(r^2 - r_-^2)}{\ell^2 r^2}, \quad N^\phi(r) = \pm \frac{r_+ r_-}{\ell r^2}$$

r_\pm : outer and inner horizon.

- ▶ Conserved **ADM** charges:

$$m = \frac{1}{8G} \cdot \frac{r_+^2 + r_-^2}{\ell^2}, \quad j = \pm \frac{1}{8G} \cdot \frac{2r_+ r_-}{\ell}$$

BTZ black holes in Einstein gravity

- ▶ The **only** black holes in 3D **pure** Einstein gravity

$$ds^2 = -N(r)^2 dt^2 + \frac{dr^2}{N(r)^2} + r^2(d\phi + N^\phi(r)dt)^2$$

where

$$N(r)^2 = \frac{(r^2 - r_+^2)(r^2 - r_-^2)}{\ell^2 r^2}, \quad N^\phi(r) = \pm \frac{r_+ r_-}{\ell r^2}$$

r_\pm : outer and inner horizon.

- ▶ Conserved **ADM** charges:

$$m = \frac{1}{8G} \cdot \frac{r_+^2 + r_-^2}{\ell^2}, \quad j = \pm \frac{1}{8G} \cdot \frac{2r_+ r_-}{\ell}$$

\implies Upper bound on j : $|j| \leq \ell m$

BTZ black hole in TMG

- ▶ Also solutions of TMG
- ▶ Different conserved charges when measured in TMG (CS term gives additional surface term) Kraus+Larsen 2005

$$M = m + \frac{1}{(\mu\ell)} \frac{j}{\ell}$$
$$J = j + \frac{1}{(\mu\ell)} (\ell m)$$

BTZ black hole in TMG

- ▶ Also solutions of TMG
- ▶ Different conserved charges when measured in TMG (CS term gives additional surface term) Kraus+Larsen 2005

$$M = m + \frac{1}{(\mu\ell)} \frac{j}{\ell}$$
$$J = j + \frac{1}{(\mu\ell)} (\ell m)$$

1. $M \geq 0$ (with $|j| \leq \ell m$)

BTZ black hole in TMG

- ▶ Also solutions of TMG
- ▶ Different conserved charges when measured in TMG (CS term gives additional surface term) Kraus+Larsen 2005

$$M = m + \frac{1}{(\mu\ell)} \frac{j}{\ell}$$
$$J = j + \frac{1}{(\mu\ell)} (\ell m)$$

1. $M \geq 0$ (with $|j| \leq \ell m$) $\implies \mu\ell \geq 1$

BTZ black hole in TMG

- ▶ Also solutions of TMG
- ▶ Different conserved charges when measured in TMG (CS term gives additional surface term) Kraus+Larsen 2005

$$M = m + \frac{1}{(\mu\ell)} \frac{j}{\ell}$$
$$J = j + \frac{1}{(\mu\ell)} (\ell m)$$

1. $M \geq 0$ (with $|j| \leq \ell m$) $\implies \mu\ell \geq 1$
2. $M = J$ (BTZ becomes right moving!) at $\mu\ell = 1$.

Massive graviton

WL+Song+Strominger 2008

- ▶ Linearized excitations around AdS_3 : $g_{\mu\nu} = \bar{g}_{\mu\nu} + h_{\mu\nu}$

► Linearized excitations around AdS_3 : $g_{\mu\nu} = \bar{g}_{\mu\nu} + h_{\mu\nu}$

► Primaries of (L_0, \bar{L}_0)

Left-moving massless : $(h = 2, \bar{h} = 0)$

Right-moving massless : $(h = 0, \bar{h} = 2)$

Massive : $(h = \frac{3 + \mu\ell}{2}, \bar{h} = \frac{-1 + \mu\ell}{2})$

1. Unitarity $\implies h \geq 0$

► Linearized excitations around AdS_3 : $g_{\mu\nu} = \bar{g}_{\mu\nu} + h_{\mu\nu}$

► Primaries of (L_0, \bar{L}_0)

Left-moving massless : $(h = 2, \bar{h} = 0)$

Right-moving massless : $(h = 0, \bar{h} = 2)$

Massive : $(h = \frac{3 + \mu\ell}{2}, \bar{h} = \frac{-1 + \mu\ell}{2})$

1. Unitarity $\implies h \geq 0 \implies \mu\ell \geq 1$

► Linearized excitations around AdS_3 : $g_{\mu\nu} = \bar{g}_{\mu\nu} + h_{\mu\nu}$

► Primaries of (L_0, \bar{L}_0)

Left-moving massless : $(h = 2, \bar{h} = 0)$

Right-moving massless : $(h = 0, \bar{h} = 2)$

Massive : $(h = \frac{3 + \mu\ell}{2}, \bar{h} = \frac{-1 + \mu\ell}{2})$

1. Unitarity $\implies h \geq 0 \implies \mu\ell \geq 1$

2. Massive graviton **degenerates** with left-moving massless one at $\mu\ell = 1$.

► Linearized excitations around AdS_3 : $g_{\mu\nu} = \bar{g}_{\mu\nu} + h_{\mu\nu}$

► Primaries of (L_0, \bar{L}_0)

Left-moving massless : $(h = 2, \bar{h} = 0)$

Right-moving massless : $(h = 0, \bar{h} = 2)$

Massive : $(h = \frac{3 + \mu\ell}{2}, \bar{h} = \frac{-1 + \mu\ell}{2})$

1. Unitarity $\implies h \geq 0 \implies \mu\ell \geq 1$

2. Massive graviton **degenerates** with left-moving massless one at $\mu\ell = 1$.

\implies Massive graviton becomes pure gauge in the bulk.

Energy of massive graviton

- ▶ Energy of massive graviton:

$$E_M \sim -\frac{1}{\mu} \left(\mu^2 - \frac{1}{\ell^2} \right)$$

Branch	$\mu\ell < 1$	$\mu\ell = 1$	$\mu\ell > 1$
Massive	+	0	-

- ▶ $E_M \geq 0 \implies \mu\ell \leq 1$

TMG summary

- Summary of TMG so far

	$\mu\ell < 1$	$\mu\ell = 1$	$\mu\ell > 1$
(c_L, c_R) of CFT	$(-, +)$	$(0, \frac{3\ell}{G})$	$(+, +)$
(h, \bar{h}) of massive graviton	$(+, -)$	$(2, 0)$	$(+, +)$
Energy of BTZ BH	- or +	0 or +	+
Energy of massive graviton	+	0	-

TMG summary

- Summary of TMG so far

	$\mu\ell < 1$	$\mu\ell = 1$	$\mu\ell > 1$
(c_L, c_R) of CFT	(-,+)	$(0, \frac{3\ell}{G})$	(+,+)
(h, \bar{h}) of massive graviton	(+,-)	(2,0)	(+,+)
Energy of BTZ BH	- or +	0 or +	+
Energy of massive graviton	+	0	-

- TMG with $\Lambda = -1/\ell^2$ is **unstable** for generic μ .

TMG summary

- ▶ Summary of TMG so far

	$\mu\ell < 1$	$\mu\ell = 1$	$\mu\ell > 1$
(c_L, c_R) of CFT	(-,+)	$(0, \frac{3\ell}{G})$	(+,+)
(h, \bar{h}) of massive graviton	(+,-)	(2,0)	(+,+)
Energy of BTZ BH	- or +	0 or +	+
Energy of massive graviton	+	0	-

- ▶ TMG with $\Lambda = -1/\ell^2$ is **unstable** for generic μ .
- ▶ **Exception** : $\mu\ell = 1$.

- ▶ ASG for **Brown-Henneaux** b.c.

$$\begin{aligned}\zeta &= [\epsilon^+ + \frac{e^{-2\rho}}{2}\partial_-^2\epsilon^- + \mathcal{O}(e^{-4\rho})]\partial_+ \\ &+ [\epsilon^- + \frac{e^{-2\rho}}{2}\partial_+^2\epsilon^+ + \mathcal{O}(e^{-4\rho})]\partial_- \\ &+ [\partial_+\epsilon^+ + \partial_-\epsilon^- + \mathcal{O}(e^{-2\rho})]\partial_\rho\end{aligned}$$

- ▶ $\epsilon^-(x^-)$ and $\epsilon^+(x^+)$ parameterize the **left** and **right** diffeomorphism.

- ▶ ASG for **Brown-Henneaux** b.c.

$$\begin{aligned}\zeta &= [\epsilon^+ + \frac{e^{-2\rho}}{2}\partial_-^2\epsilon^- + \mathcal{O}(e^{-4\rho})]\partial_+ \\ &+ [\epsilon^- + \frac{e^{-2\rho}}{2}\partial_+^2\epsilon^+ + \mathcal{O}(e^{-4\rho})]\partial_- \\ &+ [\partial_+\epsilon^+ + \partial_-\epsilon^- + \mathcal{O}(e^{-2\rho})]\partial_\rho\end{aligned}$$

- ▶ $\epsilon^-(x^-)$ and $\epsilon^+(x^+)$ parameterize the **left** and **right** diffeomorphism.

- ▶ Diffeomorphism generator:

$$Q[\zeta] = \int_{\partial\Sigma} \sqrt{\sigma} u^i T_{ij} \zeta^j$$

T_{ij} : **boundary stress tensor.**

Proof of chiral gravity at $\mu\ell = 1$, cont.

- ▶ Boundary stress tensor:

$$T = \frac{1}{8\pi G\ell} \begin{pmatrix} (1 + \frac{1}{\mu\ell})h_{++} & -h_{+-} \\ -h_{+-} & (1 - \frac{1}{\mu\ell})h_{--} \end{pmatrix}$$

Proof of chiral gravity at $\mu\ell = 1$, cont.

- ▶ Boundary stress tensor:

$$T = \frac{1}{8\pi G\ell} \begin{pmatrix} (1 + \frac{1}{\mu\ell})h_{++} & -h_{+-} \\ -h_{+-} & (1 - \frac{1}{\mu\ell})h_{--} \end{pmatrix}$$

1. Remove h_{+-} using constraint eqs.

Proof of chiral gravity at $\mu\ell = 1$, cont.

- ▶ Boundary stress tensor:

$$T = \frac{1}{8\pi G\ell} \begin{pmatrix} (1 + \frac{1}{\mu\ell})h_{++} & -h_{+-} \\ -h_{+-} & (1 - \frac{1}{\mu\ell})h_{--} \end{pmatrix}$$

1. Remove h_{+-} using constraint eqs.
2. Take $\mu\ell = 1$

Proof of chiral gravity at $\mu\ell = 1$, cont.

- Boundary stress tensor:

$$T = \frac{1}{8\pi G\ell} \begin{pmatrix} (1 + \frac{1}{\mu\ell})h_{++} & -h_{+-} \\ -h_{+-} & (1 - \frac{1}{\mu\ell})h_{--} \end{pmatrix}$$

1. Remove h_{+-} using constraint eqs.
2. Take $\mu\ell = 1$

$$\Rightarrow T = \frac{1}{4\pi G\ell} \begin{pmatrix} h_{++} & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

Proof of chiral gravity at $\mu\ell = 1$, cont.

- Boundary stress tensor:

$$T = \frac{1}{8\pi G\ell} \begin{pmatrix} (1 + \frac{1}{\mu\ell})h_{++} & -h_{+-} \\ -h_{+-} & (1 - \frac{1}{\mu\ell})h_{--} \end{pmatrix}$$

1. Remove h_{+-} using constraint eqs.
2. Take $\mu\ell = 1$

$$\Rightarrow T = \frac{1}{4\pi G\ell} \begin{pmatrix} h_{++} & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

- At $\mu\ell = 1$, only **right diffeo** remains:

$$Q[\zeta] = \frac{1}{4\pi G\ell} \int_{\partial\Sigma} dx^+ T_{ij} \epsilon^+$$

Proof of chiral gravity at $\mu\ell = 1$, cont.

- ▶ Boundary stress tensor:

$$T = \frac{1}{8\pi G\ell} \begin{pmatrix} (1 + \frac{1}{\mu\ell})h_{++} & -h_{+-} \\ -h_{+-} & (1 - \frac{1}{\mu\ell})h_{--} \end{pmatrix}$$

1. Remove h_{+-} using constraint eqs.
2. Take $\mu\ell = 1$

$$\Rightarrow T = \frac{1}{4\pi G\ell} \begin{pmatrix} h_{++} & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

- ▶ At $\mu\ell = 1$, only **right diffeo** remains:

$$Q[\zeta] = \frac{1}{4\pi G\ell} \int_{\partial\Sigma} dx^+ T_{ij} \epsilon^+$$

- ▶ **All left-moving diffeo become trivial.**
- ▶ **Left-moving DOF become pure gauge.**

- ▶ At $\mu l = 1$, massive graviton degenerates into left-moving massless graviton and becomes a pure gauge.

- ▶ At $\mu\ell = 1$, massive graviton degenerates into left-moving massless graviton and becomes a pure gauge.

But, a new mode emerges at $\mu\ell = 1$:

$$\psi^{\text{new}} \equiv \lim_{\mu\ell \rightarrow 1} \frac{h^M - h^L}{\mu\ell - 1} = \log\left(\frac{e^{-i\tau}}{\cosh \rho}\right) h^L$$

- ▶ At $\mu\ell = 1$, massive graviton degenerates into left-moving massless graviton and becomes a pure gauge.

But, a new mode emerges at $\mu\ell = 1$:

$$\psi^{\text{new}} \equiv \lim_{\mu\ell \rightarrow 1} \frac{h^M - h^L}{\mu\ell - 1} = \log\left(\frac{e^{-i\tau}}{\cosh \rho}\right) h^L$$

1. **Cannot** be gauged away.

- ▶ At $\mu\ell = 1$, massive graviton degenerates into left-moving massless graviton and becomes a pure gauge.

But, a new mode emerges at $\mu\ell = 1$:

$$\psi^{\text{new}} \equiv \lim_{\mu\ell \rightarrow 1} \frac{h^M - h^L}{\mu\ell - 1} = \log\left(\frac{e^{-i\tau}}{\cosh \rho}\right) h^L$$

1. **Cannot** be gauged away.
2. Violates the Brown-Henneaux boundary conditions **logarithmically**.

New boundary condition?

Strominger, Grumiller+Johansson 2008

- ▶ Brown-Henneaux b.c. of AdS_3 :

$$\begin{aligned}h_{\rho\rho}, h_{\rho+}, h_{\rho-} &\sim \mathcal{O}(e^{-2\rho}) \\ h_{++}, h_{+-}, h_{--} &\sim \mathcal{O}(1)\end{aligned}$$

New boundary condition?

Strominger, Grumiller+Johansson 2008

- ▶ Brown-Henneaux b.c. of AdS_3 :

$$\begin{aligned}h_{\rho\rho}, h_{\rho+}, h_{\rho-} &\sim \mathcal{O}(e^{-2\rho}) \\ h_{++}, h_{+-}, h_{--} &\sim \mathcal{O}(1)\end{aligned}$$

- ▶ To accommodate ψ^{new} , relaxed b.c. **logarithmically** (*but only for left components*):

$$\begin{aligned}h_{\rho-} &\sim \mathcal{O}(\rho e^{-2\rho}) \\ h_{--} &\sim \mathcal{O}(\rho)\end{aligned}$$

- ▶ Brown-Henneaux b.c. of AdS_3 :

$$\begin{aligned}h_{\rho\rho}, h_{\rho+}, h_{\rho-} &\sim \mathcal{O}(e^{-2\rho}) \\ h_{++}, h_{+-}, h_{--} &\sim \mathcal{O}(1)\end{aligned}$$

- ▶ To accommodate ψ^{new} , relaxed b.c. **logarithmically** (*but only for left components*):

$$\begin{aligned}h_{\rho-} &\sim \mathcal{O}(\rho e^{-2\rho}) \\ h_{--} &\sim \mathcal{O}(\rho)\end{aligned}$$

- ▶ **Important:** cannot relax entire b.c. logarithmically, stress tensor would diverge for generic solutions.

TMG with $\mu\ell = 1$ remains chiral with new boundary condition

- ▶ Stress tensor remains **finite and chiral**
 - ▶ New relaxed boundary condition causes a log divergence in T_{--} **only** (get projected out at $\mu\ell = 1$)

TMG with $\mu\ell = 1$ remains chiral with new boundary condition

- ▶ Stress tensor remains **finite and chiral**
 - ▶ New relaxed boundary condition causes a log divergence in T_{--} **only** (get projected out at $\mu\ell = 1$)
- ▶ Any **additional symmetry** with relaxed boundary condition?
 - ▶ Needs to check whether it is finite and chiral.

TMG with $\mu\ell = 1$ remains chiral with new boundary condition

- ▶ Stress tensor remains **finite and chiral**
 - ▶ New relaxed boundary condition causes a log divergence in T_{--} **only** (get projected out at $\mu\ell = 1$)
- ▶ Any **additional symmetry** with relaxed boundary condition?
 - ▶ Needs to check whether it is finite and chiral.
- ▶ Possible to relax b.c. even more? (with ϵ^- trivial)

New mode within Brown-Henneaux boundary condition

- ▶ \exists a new mode that *preserves Brown-Henneaux b.c.*

Giribet+Kleban+Poratti 2008

New mode within Brown-Henneaux boundary condition

- ▶ \exists a new mode that *preserves Brown-Henneaux b.c.*

Giribet+Kleban+Porrati 2008

1. Descendant of Log mode ($\bar{L}_{-1}\psi^{new}$) breaks Brown-Henneaux less severely:

$$h_{\rho-} \sim \mathcal{O}(\rho e^{-2\rho})$$

New mode within Brown-Henneaux boundary condition

- ▶ \exists a new mode that *preserves Brown-Henneaux b.c.*

Giribet+Kleban+Porrati 2008

1. Descendant of Log mode ($\bar{L}_{-1}\psi^{new}$) breaks Brown-Henneaux **less severely**:

$$h_{\rho-} \sim \mathcal{O}(\rho e^{-2\rho})$$

2. Remove remaining log-divergence by a “trivial” gauge transformation $\tilde{\zeta}$:

$$X_{\mu\nu} \equiv \bar{L}_{-1}\psi^{new} + \mathcal{L}_{\tilde{\zeta}}g_{\mu\nu} \quad \text{preserves Brown-Henneaux}$$

- ▶ **Note:** both $\bar{L}_{-1}\psi^{new}$ and $\tilde{\zeta}$ sit in log-relaxed BHbc.

New mode within Brown-Henneaux boundary condition

- ▶ \exists a new mode that *preserves Brown-Henneaux b.c.*

Giribet+Kleban+Porrati 2008

1. Descendant of Log mode ($\bar{L}_{-1}\psi^{new}$) breaks Brown-Henneaux **less severely**:

$$h_{\rho-} \sim \mathcal{O}(\rho e^{-2\rho})$$

2. Remove remaining log-divergence by a “trivial” gauge transformation $\tilde{\zeta}$:

$$X_{\mu\nu} \equiv \bar{L}_{-1}\psi^{new} + \mathcal{L}_{\tilde{\zeta}}g_{\mu\nu} \quad \text{preserves Brown-Henneaux}$$

- ▶ **Note:** both $\bar{L}_{-1}\psi^{new}$ and $\tilde{\zeta}$ sit in log-relaxed BHbc.

- ▶ A new spin-1 field?

1. Naively it is a $(2, 1)$ primary.
2. Left Virasoro is trivial \rightarrow actually a $(0, 1)$ primary.

New mode within Brown-Henneaux boundary condition

- ▶ \exists a new mode that *preserves Brown-Henneaux b.c.*

Giribet+Kleban+Porrati 2008

1. Descendant of Log mode ($\bar{L}_{-1}\psi^{new}$) breaks Brown-Henneaux **less severely**:

$$h_{\rho-} \sim \mathcal{O}(\rho e^{-2\rho})$$

2. Remove remaining log-divergence by a “trivial” gauge transformation $\tilde{\zeta}$:

$$X_{\mu\nu} \equiv \bar{L}_{-1}\psi^{new} + \mathcal{L}_{\tilde{\zeta}}g_{\mu\nu} \quad \text{preserves Brown-Henneaux}$$

- ▶ **Note:** both $\bar{L}_{-1}\psi^{new}$ and $\tilde{\zeta}$ sit in log-relaxed BHbc.

- ▶ A new spin-1 field?

1. Naively it is a $(2, 1)$ primary.
2. Left Virasoro is trivial \rightarrow actually a $(0, 1)$ primary.

- ▶ Is it **chiral** ($E - J = 0$) ?

Open problems

1. \exists other consistent AdS_3 boundary condition?
 - ▶ Different AdS_3 boundary conditions define inequivalent theories.

Open problems

1. \exists other consistent AdS_3 boundary condition?
 - ▶ Different AdS_3 boundary conditions define inequivalent theories.
2. ASG enhancement at chiral point?

Outline

Overview

3D pure Einstein gravity

ECFT dual

Topologically Massive Gravity (with negative Λ)

Instability at generic $\mu\ell$

Chiral gravity at $\mu\ell = 1$

Symmetry enhancement?

New Vacua of TMG

Warped AdS_3 vacua

Warped black holes

Black hole thermodynamics and conjecture for CFT

Summary.

Motivation

- ▶ 3D TMG with $\Lambda < 0$ admits AdS_3 vacuum for generic μ .

Motivation

- ▶ 3D TMG with $\Lambda < 0$ admits AdS_3 vacuum for generic μ .
 - ▶ All are perturbatively **unstable** — except at $\mu\ell = 1$.

Motivation

- ▶ 3D TMG with $\Lambda < 0$ admits AdS_3 vacuum for generic μ .
 - ▶ All are perturbatively **unstable** — except at $\mu\ell = 1$.
- ▶ **Question:** \exists **stable** vacua at generic μ ?

AdS_3 isometry

1. AdS_3 is a $SL(2, R)$ group manifold.

AdS_3 isometry

1. AdS_3 is a $SL(2, R)$ group manifold.
2. Isometry is $SL(2, \mathbb{R})_L \times SL(2, \mathbb{R})_R$

AdS_3 isometry

1. AdS_3 is a $SL(2, \mathbb{R})$ group manifold.
2. Isometry is $SL(2, \mathbb{R})_L \times SL(2, \mathbb{R})_R$
3. AdS_3 is S^1 fibered over AdS_2 :

$$ds_{AdS_3}^2 = \frac{\ell^2}{4} (ds_{AdS_2}^2 + ds_{S^1}^2)$$

AdS_3 isometry

1. AdS_3 is a $SL(2, R)$ group manifold.
2. Isometry is $SL(2, \mathbb{R})_L \times SL(2, \mathbb{R})_R$
3. AdS_3 is S^1 fibered over AdS_2 :

$$ds_{AdS_3}^2 = \frac{\ell^2}{4} (ds_{AdS_2}^2 + ds_{S^1}^2)$$

☞ S^1 and AdS_2 have same radii.

AdS_3 isometry

1. AdS_3 is a $SL(2, R)$ group manifold.
2. Isometry is $SL(2, \mathbb{R})_L \times SL(2, \mathbb{R})_R$
3. AdS_3 is S^1 fibered over AdS_2 :

$$ds_{AdS_3}^2 = \frac{\ell^2}{4} (ds_{AdS_2}^2 + ds_{S^1}^2)$$

☞ S^1 and AdS_2 have same radii.

- ▶ Spacelike fibration
- ▶ Timelike fibration

Constructing warped AdS_3 , cont.

- ▶ Varying size of S^1 fiber gives warped AdS_3 .

$$ds_{WAdS_3}^2 = \frac{L^2}{4} (ds_{AdS_2}^2 + \alpha^2 \cdot ds_{S^1}^2)$$

Constructing warped AdS_3 , cont.

- ▶ Varying size of S^1 fiber gives warped AdS_3 .

$$ds_{WAdS_3}^2 = \frac{L^2}{4} (ds_{AdS_2}^2 + \alpha^2 \cdot ds_{S^1}^2)$$

👉 preserving $U(1) \times SL(2, \mathbb{R})$

- ▶ Spacelike warping
- ▶ Timelike warping

Constructing warped AdS_3 , cont.

- ▶ Varying size of S^1 fiber gives warped AdS_3 .

$$ds_{WAdS_3}^2 = \frac{L^2}{4} (ds_{AdS_2}^2 + \alpha^2 \cdot ds_{S^1}^2)$$

👉 preserving $U(1) \times SL(2, \mathbb{R})$

- ▶ Spacelike warping
- ▶ Timelike warping

- ▶ New scale and warping factor

1. TMG modified length $L = \ell \cdot \frac{6}{\sqrt{\mu^2 \ell^2 + 27}}$ → ℓ at $\mu \ell = 3$.
2. Warping factor $\alpha = \frac{\mu L}{3}$ → 1 at $\mu \ell = 3$.

Constructing warped AdS_3 , cont.

- ▶ Varying size of S^1 fiber gives warped AdS_3 .

$$ds_{WAdS_3}^2 = \frac{L^2}{4} (ds_{AdS_2}^2 + \alpha^2 \cdot ds_{S^1}^2)$$

👉 preserving $U(1) \times SL(2, \mathbb{R})$

- ▶ Spacelike warping
- ▶ Timelike warping

- ▶ New scale and warping factor

1. TMG modified length $L = \ell \cdot \frac{6}{\sqrt{\mu^2 \ell^2 + 27}}$ → ℓ at $\mu\ell = 3$.
2. Warping factor $\alpha = \frac{\mu L}{3}$ → 1 at $\mu\ell = 3$.

👉 Reduce to AdS_3 at $\mu\ell = 3!!!$

Constructing warped AdS_3 , cont.

- ▶ Varying size of S^1 fiber gives warped AdS_3 .

$$ds_{WAdS_3}^2 = \frac{L^2}{4} (ds_{AdS_2}^2 + \alpha^2 \cdot ds_{S^1}^2)$$

👉 preserving $U(1) \times SL(2, \mathbb{R})$

- ▶ Spacelike warping
- ▶ Timelike warping

- ▶ New scale and warping factor

1. TMG modified length $L = \ell \cdot \frac{6}{\sqrt{\mu^2 \ell^2 + 27}}$ → ℓ at $\mu\ell = 3$.

2. Warping factor $\alpha = \frac{\mu L}{3}$ → 1 at $\mu\ell = 3$.

👉 Reduce to AdS_3 at $\mu\ell = 3!!!$

- ▶ Any warped AdS_3 at $\mu\ell = 3$?

Null warped AdS_3

- ▶ \exists null warped AdS_3 at $\mu\ell = 3$.

- ▶ Null warping: $ds_{null}^2 = \ell^2 \left[\frac{du^2}{u^2} + \frac{dx^+ dx^-}{u^2} \pm \underbrace{\left(\frac{dx^-}{u^2} \right)^2}_{S^1} \right]$

\implies preserving $U(1)_{Null} \times SL(2, \mathbb{R})$.

Null warped AdS_3

- ▶ \exists null warped AdS_3 at $\mu\ell = 3$.

- ▶ Null warping: $ds_{null}^2 = \ell^2 \left[\frac{du^2}{u^2} + \frac{dx^+ dx^-}{u^2} \pm \underbrace{\left(\frac{dx^-}{u^2} \right)^2}_{S^1} \right]$

\implies preserving $U(1)_{Null} \times SL(2, \mathbb{R})$.

- ▶ \pm : two different orientations of S^1 .

Null warped AdS_3

- ▶ \exists null warped AdS_3 at $\mu\ell = 3$.

- ▶ Null warping: $ds_{null}^2 = \ell^2 \left[\frac{du^2}{u^2} + \frac{dx^+ dx^-}{u^2} \pm \underbrace{\left(\frac{dx^-}{u^2} \right)^2}_{S^1} \right]$

\implies preserving $U(1)_{Null} \times SL(2, \mathbb{R})$.

- ▶ \pm : two different orientations of S^1 .

- 👉 Solution of TMG *only at* $\mu\ell = 3$.

A summary of results

Anninos+WL+Padi+Song+Strominger 2008

- ▶ \exists Six types of warped AdS_3 as TMG vacua (two for every value of μ):

	Timelike	Null	Spacelike
$\mu\ell > 3$	Timelike Stretched	–	Spacelike Stretched
$\mu\ell = 3$	AdS_3	Null warped	AdS_3
$\mu\ell < 3$	Timelike squashed	–	Spacelike squashed

A summary of results

Anninos+WL+Padi+Song+Strominger 2008

- ▶ \exists Six types of warped AdS_3 as TMG vacua (two for every value of μ):

	Timelike	Null	Spacelike
$\mu\ell > 3$	Timelike Stretched	–	Spacelike Stretched
$\mu\ell = 3$	AdS_3	Null warped	AdS_3
$\mu\ell < 3$	Timelike squashed	–	Spacelike squashed

👉 Critical point: $\mu\ell = 3$

Stability of warped AdS_3

- ▶ To understand the **stability** of the warped AdS_3 vacua, need to
 1. Determine appropriate boundary conditions for warped AdS_3
 2. Solve for the linearized spectrum

Stability of warped AdS_3

- ▶ To understand the **stability** of the warped AdS_3 vacua, need to
 1. Determine appropriate boundary conditions for warped AdS_3
 2. Solve for the linearized spectrum
 - ✎ Reduced isometry group ($SL(2, \mathbb{R}) \times U(1)$) \rightarrow difficult to solve the spectrum.

Stability of warped AdS_3

- ▶ To understand the **stability** of the warped AdS_3 vacua, need to
 1. Determine appropriate boundary conditions for warped AdS_3
 2. Solve for the linearized spectrum
 - ☞ Reduced isometry group ($SL(2, \mathbb{R}) \times U(1)$) \rightarrow difficult to solve the spectrum.
- ▶ Do not know whether or when warped AdS_3 are perturbatively stable — yet.

Quotienting procedure

- ▶ BTZ black holes are quotients of AdS_3 :
 - ▶ Identifying points \mathcal{P} under action of $\xi = T_L J_L + T_R J_R$:

$$\mathcal{P} \sim e^{2\pi k \xi} \mathcal{P}, \quad k = 0, 1, 2, \dots$$

Quotienting procedure

- ▶ BTZ black holes are quotients of AdS_3 :
 - ▶ Identifying points \mathcal{P} under action of $\xi = T_L J_L + T_R J_R$:

$$\mathcal{P} \sim e^{2\pi k \xi} \mathcal{P}, \quad k = 0, 1, 2, \dots$$

- ▶ Self-dual solution for $\xi = T_{L/R} J_{L/R}$.

Quotienting procedure

- ▶ BTZ black holes are quotients of AdS_3 :
 - ▶ Identifying points \mathcal{P} under action of $\xi = T_L J_L + T_R J_R$:

$$\mathcal{P} \sim e^{2\pi k \xi} \mathcal{P}, \quad k = 0, 1, 2, \dots$$

- ▶ Self-dual solution for $\xi = T_{L/R} J_{L/R}$.
- ▶ Quotienting warped AdS_3 gives warped black holes.

Summary of warped black holes

Anninos+WL+Padi+Song+Strominger 2008

- ▶ Quotienting warped AdS_3 gives warped black holes

	Timelike	Null	Spacelike
$\mu\ell > 3$	self-dual solutions	–	Spacelike stretched BHs self-dual solutions
$\mu\ell = 3$	BTZ	Null warped BHs	BTZ
$\mu\ell < 3$	self-dual solutions	–	self-dual solutions

Summary of warped black holes

Anninos+WL+Padi+Song+Strominger 2008

- ▶ Quotienting warped AdS_3 gives warped black holes

	Timelike	Null	Spacelike
$\mu\ell > 3$	self-dual solutions	–	Spacelike stretched BHs self-dual solutions
$\mu\ell = 3$	BTZ	Null warped BHs	BTZ
$\mu\ell < 3$	self-dual solutions	–	self-dual solutions

1. Spacelike stretched black holes.

Bouchareb+Clement 2007

↳ Reduces to BTZ at $\mu\ell = 3$.

2. Null warped Black holes
3. Self-dual solutions

Thermodynamics of spacelike-stretched black holes

1. $T_{L/R}$ are given by coefficients of quotienting direction ξ

$$2\pi\xi = \partial_\theta = \pi\ell(T_L J_L^2 - T_R J_R^2)$$

Thermodynamics of spacelike-stretched black holes

1. $T_{L/R}$ are given by coefficients of quotienting direction ξ

$$2\pi\xi = \partial_\theta = \pi\ell(T_L J_L^2 - T_R J_R^2)$$

2. Rewrite entropy as

$$S = \frac{\pi^2\ell}{3}(c_L T_L + c_R T_R)$$

Thermodynamics of spacelike-stretched black holes

1. $T_{L/R}$ are given by coefficients of quotienting direction ξ

$$2\pi\xi = \partial_\theta = \pi\ell(T_L J_L^2 - T_R J_R^2)$$

2. Rewrite entropy as

$$S = \frac{\pi^2\ell}{3}(c_L T_L + c_R T_R)$$

3. $c_{L/R}$ are independent of r_\pm

$$\Rightarrow \begin{cases} c_L = \frac{L}{G} \cdot \alpha \\ c_R = \frac{L}{G} \cdot \left(\alpha + \frac{1}{\alpha}\right) \end{cases}$$

Conjecture for CFT

- ▶ Bulk isometry $U(1)_L \times SL(2, \mathbb{R})_R$ is enhanced at the boundary into $\text{Vir} \times \overline{\text{Vir}}$ with $(c_L = \frac{l}{G} \cdot \alpha, c_R = \frac{l}{G} \cdot (\alpha + \frac{1}{\alpha}))$

Conjecture for CFT

- ▶ Bulk isometry $U(1)_L \times SL(2, \mathbb{R})_R$ is enhanced at the boundary into $\text{Vir} \times \overline{\text{Vir}}$ with $(c_L = \frac{l}{G} \cdot \alpha, c_R = \frac{l}{G} \cdot (\alpha + \frac{1}{\alpha}))$
- ▶ Open problems
 1. Derive ASG

Conjecture for CFT

- ▶ Bulk isometry $U(1)_L \times SL(2, \mathbb{R})_R$ is enhanced at the boundary into $\text{Vir} \times \overline{\text{Vir}}$ with ($c_L = \frac{\ell}{G} \cdot \alpha$, $c_R = \frac{\ell}{G} \cdot (\alpha + \frac{1}{\alpha})$)
- ▶ Open problems
 1. Derive ASG
 2. Compute conserved charges microscopically.

- ▶ Conserved charges (as related to $E_{L/R} = \frac{\pi^2 \ell}{6} c_{L/R} T_{L/R}^2$):

$$\mathcal{M}^{ADT} = \frac{1}{G} \sqrt{\frac{2\ell E_L}{3c_L}} \quad \mathcal{J}^{ADT} = \ell(E_L - E_R)$$

- ☞ Require knowing more than just $c_{L/R}$.

Connection to other systems

1. Self-dual quotient of spacelike-warped AdS_3 appears as constant- θ -slice of extremal Kerr.

Guica+Hartman+Song+Strominger, in progress

☞ Left CFT counts Kerr entropy

2. Null-warped AdS_3 as dual to cold atom.

Son 2008

Maldacena+Martelli+Tachikawa, Adams+Balasubramanian+McGreevy 2008

Open problems

- ▶ Embed warped black holes into string theory, find dual CFT.

Outline

Overview

3D pure Einstein gravity

ECFT dual

Topologically Massive Gravity (with negative Λ)

Instability at generic $\mu\ell$

Chiral gravity at $\mu\ell = 1$

Symmetry enhancement?

New Vacua of TMG

Warped AdS_3 vacua

Warped black holes

Black hole thermodynamics and conjecture for CFT

Summary.

Summary

1. Quantizing even **3D pure** gravity is non-trivial.
2. TMG with $\mu\ell = 1$ is chiral
3. New vacua and black holes in TMG need microscopic description.

Open problems

- ▶ 3D pure Einstein gravity
 - ▶ Holomorphic factorization?
 - ▶ If yes, how to explain non-geometric states?
 - ▶ If not, what is the CFT dual?

Open problems

- ▶ 3D pure Einstein gravity
 - 👉 Holomorphic factorization?
 - ▶ If yes, how to explain non-geometric states?
 - ▶ If not, what is the CFT dual?

- ▶ Chiral gravity
 - 👉 Any other consistent AdS_3 boundary condition?
 - 👉 Symmetry enhancement at chiral point?

Open problems

- ▶ 3D pure Einstein gravity
 - 👉 Holomorphic factorization?
 - ▶ If yes, how to explain non-geometric states?
 - ▶ If not, what is the CFT dual?
- ▶ Chiral gravity
 - 👉 Any other consistent AdS_3 boundary condition?
 - 👉 Symmetry enhancement at chiral point?
- ▶ Warped AdS_3 and black holes.
 - 👉 Is warped AdS_3 stable?
 - 👉 Find dual CFT of various warped black holes.

THANK YOU!