Monoids in the fundamental groups of the complement of logarithmic free divisors in \mathbb{C}^3

Kyoji Saito and Tadashi Ishibe

Contents

1	Introduction	1
2	Sekiguchi's Polynomial	4
3	Zariski-van Kampen Presentation	4
4	Positive Homogeneous Presentation	6
5	Non-division property of the monoid πG_X^+	12
6	Fundamental elements of the monoid G_X^+	13
7	Cancellation conditions on the monoid G_X^+	19
8	2×2 -matrix representation of the group G_X	23

Abstract

We study monoids generated by certain Zariski-van Kampen generators in the 17 fundamental groups of the complement of logarithmic free divisors in \mathbb{C}^3 listed by Sekiguchi. They admit positive homogeneous presentations (Theorem 1). Five of them are Artin monoids and eight of them are free abelian monoids. The remaining four monoids are not Gaußian and, hence, are neither Garside nor Artin (Theorem 2). However, we introduce the concept of fundamental elements for positive homogenously presented monoids, and show that all 17 monoids posses fundamental elements (Theorem 3).

1 Introduction

A hypersurface D in \mathbb{C}^l $(l \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0})$ is called a *logarithmic free divisor* ([S1]), if the associated module $Der_{\mathbb{C}^l}(-\log(D))$ of logarithmic vector fields is a free $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{C}^l}$ module. Classical example of logarithmic free divisors is the discriminant loci of a finite reflection group ([S1,2,3,4]). The fundamental group of the complement of the discriminant loci is presented (Brieskorn [B]) by certain positive homogeneous relations, called Artin braid relations. The group (resp. monoid) defined by that presentation is called an *Artin group* (resp. *Artin monoid*) of finite type [B-S], for which the word problem and other problems are solved using a particular element Δ , the *fundamental elements*, in the corresponding monoid ([B-S],[D],[G]).

In [Se1], Sekiguchi listed up 17 weighted homogeneous polynomials, defining logarithmic free divisors in \mathbb{C}^3 , whose weights coincide with those of the discriminant of types A₃, B₃ or H₃. Then, the fundamental groups of the complements of the divisors are presented by Zariski-van Kampen method by [I1] (we recall the result in §3). It turns out that the defining relations can be reformulated by a system of positive homogeneous relations in the sense explained in §4 of the present paper, so that we can introduce monoids defined by them. We show that, among 17 monoids, five are Artin monoids, and eight are free abelian monoids. However, four remaining monoids are not Gaussian, and hence are neither Garside nor Artin (§5). Nevertheless, we show that they carry certain particular elements similar to the fundamental elements in Artin monoids (§6).

Let us explain more details of the contents. The 17 Sekiguchi-polynomials $\Delta_X(x, y, z)$ are labeled by the type $X \in \{A_i, A_{ii}, B_i, B_{ii}, B_{iv}, B_v, B_{vi}, B_{vii}, H_i, H_{ii}, H_{ii}, H_{iv}, H_v, H_v, H_{vi}, H_{vii}\}$ (§2). They are monic polynomials of degree 3 in the variable z. We calculate the fundamental group of the complement of the divisor $D_X := \{\Delta_X(x, y, z) = 0\}$ in \mathbb{C}^3 by choosing Zariski-pencils l in z-coordinate direction, which intersect the divisor D_X at 3 points. Zariski-van Kampen method gives a presentation of the fundamental group $\pi_1(\mathbb{C}^3 \setminus D_X, *)$ with respect to three generators a, b and c presented by a suitable choice of paths in the pencil counterclockwise turning once around each of three intersection points.

We rewrite the Zariski-van Kampen relations into a system of positive homogeneous relations (not unique, §4 Theorem 1), and study the group G_X and the monoid G_X^+ defined by the relations as well as the localization homomorphism $\pi: G_X^+ \to G_X$, where G_X is naturally isomorphic to $\pi_1(\mathbb{C}^3 \setminus D_X, *)$. We denote by πG_X^+ the image of G_X^+ in G_X , that is, the monoid generated by the Zariski-van Kampen generators $\{a, b, c\}$ in $\pi_1(\mathbb{C}^3 \setminus D_X, *)$.¹ The monoid πG_X^+ depends on the choice of generators but not on the choice of homogeneous relations, whereas the monoid G_X^+ does. It turns out that G_X^+ are Artin monoids for the types $X \in \{A_i, B_i, H_i, A_{ii}, B_{iv}\}$, and are free abelian monoids for the types $X \in \{B_{iii}, B_v, B_{vii}, H_{iv}, H_v, H_{vii}, H_{viii}\}$ so that one has the injectivities: $G_X^+ \to G_X$. However, for all the remaining four types $B_{ii}, B_{vi}, H_{ii}, H_{iii}$, the monoids πG_X^+ does not admit the divisibility theory (see [B-S, §5], or §5 Theorem 2 of present paper). That is, their associated groups are not Gaussian groups [D-P, §2], and, hence, they are neither Artin nor Garside groups (actually, we have an isomorphism $G_{B_{vi}}^+ \simeq G_{H_{iii}}^+$ and hence $\pi G_{B_{vi}}^+ \simeq \pi G_{H_{iii}}^+$).

On the other hand, as one main result of the present paper, we show that the monoid G_X^+ carries some distinguished elements, which we call *fundamental* (§6 Theorem 3). Namely, we call an element $\Delta \in G_X^+$ fundamental (see §6) if there exists a permutation σ_{Δ} of the set $\{a, b, c\}/\sim$ (:=the image of the set $\{a, b, c\}$ in G_X^+) such that for any $d \in \{a, b, c\}/\sim$, there exists $\Delta_d \in G_X^+$ such

¹We changed the notation from [S-I1]. Namely, G_X^+ and πG_X^+ in the present paper are denoted by M_X and G_X^+ , respectively, in [S-I1].

that the following relation holds:

$$\Delta = d \cdot \Delta_d = \Delta_d \cdot \sigma_\Delta(d).$$

The set $\mathcal{F}(G_X^+)$ of fundamental elements in G_X^+ form a subsemigroup of G_X^+ such that $\mathcal{QZ}(G_X^+)\mathcal{F}(G_X^+) = \mathcal{F}(G_X^+)\mathcal{QZ}(G_X^+) = \mathcal{F}(G_X^+)$ (see §6 Fact 3.) where $\mathcal{QZ}(G_X^+)$ is the quasi-center of G_X^+ .²

Since the localization homomorphism induces a map $\mathcal{F}(G_X^+) \to \mathcal{F}(\pi G_X^+)$, the fact $\mathcal{F}(G_X^+) \neq \emptyset$ for all 17 monoids (§6 Theorem3) implies $\mathcal{F}(\pi G_X^+) \neq \emptyset$.³

In §7, we discuss the cancellation condition on the monoid G_X^+ . In fact, this condition together with the existence of fundamental elements (shown in §6), imply that the localization homomorphism $\pi: G_X^+ \to G_X$ is injective. An Artin monoid or a free abelian monoid satisfies already the cancellation condition ([B-S]). We show that the monoid $G_{B_{ii}}^+$ satisfies the cancellation condition (Theorem 4). For the remaining three types B_{vi}, H_{ii}, H_{iii} , we do not know whether the localization homomorphism π is injective or not. That is, we don't know whether we have sufficiently many defining relations to assert the cancellation condition or not.

Finally in §8, we construct non-abelian representations of the groups $G_{B_{ii}}, G_{B_{vi}}$, $G_{\mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{ii}}}$ and $G_{\mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{iii}}}$ into $\mathrm{GL}_2(\mathbb{C})$ (Theorem 5). Actually, this result is independent of §5, 6 and 7, and is used in the proof of Theorem 2 in §5.

²An element $\Delta \in G_X^+$ is called quasi-central ([B-S, 7.1]) if $d \cdot \Delta = \Delta \cdot \sigma_\Delta(d)$ for $d \in \{a, b, c\}$. ³We ask, more generally, whether the monoid generated by Zariski-van Kampen generators in the local fundamental group of the complement of a free divisor has always a fundamental element (see §6 Remark 6.4). In the 4 types $B_{ii}, B_{vi}, H_{ii}, H_{iii}$, we observe that $\mathcal{F}(\pi G_X^+)$ is not singly generated. Therefore, we ask, also, whether the set of fundamental elements $\mathcal{F}(\pi G_X^+)$ is finitely generated over $\mathcal{QZ}(\pi G_X^+)$ or not. For an Artin monoid of finite type, $\mathcal{F}(G_X^+)$ is generated by a single element Δ and $\mathcal{F}(G_X^+) = \Delta^{\mathbb{Z} \ge 1}$ ([B-S]).

2 Sekiguchi's Polynomial

J. Sekiguchi [Se1,2] listed the following 17 weighted homogeneous polynomials Δ in three variables (x, y, z) satisfying freeness criterion by K.Saito [S1].

$$\begin{array}{rcl} \Delta_{\mathrm{A}i}(x,y,z) &:= & -4x^3y^2 - 27y^4 + 16x^4z + 144xy^2z - 128x^2z^2 + 256z^3 \\ \Delta_{\mathrm{A}ii}(x,y,z) &:= & 2x^6 - 3x^4z + 18x^3y^2 - 18xy^2z + 27y^4 + z^3 \\ \Delta_{\mathrm{B}i}(x,y,z) &:= & z(x^2y^2 - 4y^3 - 4x^3z + 18xyz - 27z^2) \\ \Delta_{\mathrm{B}ii}(x,y,z) &:= & z(-2y^3 + 4x^3z + 18xyz + 27z^2) \\ \Delta_{\mathrm{B}ii}(x,y,z) &:= & z(-2y^3 + 9xyz + 45z^2) \\ \Delta_{\mathrm{B}iv}(x,y,z) &:= & z(9x^2y^2 - 4y^3 + 18xyz + 9z^2) \\ \Delta_{\mathrm{B}_v}(x,y,z) &:= & xy^4 + y^3z + z^3 \\ \Delta_{\mathrm{B}_{vi}}(x,y,z) &:= & 9xy^4 + 6x^2y^2z - 4y^3z + x^3z^2 - 12xyz^2 + 4z^3 \\ \Delta_{\mathrm{B}_{vi}}(x,y,z) &:= & (1/2)xy^4 - 2x^2y^2z - y^3z + 2x^3z^2 + 2xyz^2 + z^3 \\ \Delta_{\mathrm{H}_i}(x,y,z) &:= & -50z^3 + (4x^5 - 50x^2y)z^2 + (4x^7 + 60x^4y^2 + 225xy^3)z \\ & & -(135/2)y^5 - 115x^3y^4 - 10x^6y^3 - 4x^9y^2 \\ \Delta_{\mathrm{H}_{ii}}(x,y,z) &:= & 100x^3y^4 + y^5 + 40x^4y^2z - 10xy^3z + 4x^5z^2 - 15x^2yz^2 + z^3 \\ \Delta_{\mathrm{H}_{ii}}(x,y,z) &:= & y^5 - 2xy^3z + x^2yz^2 + z^3 \\ \Delta_{\mathrm{H}_{iv}}(x,y,z) &:= & x^3y^4 - y^5 + 3xy^3z + z^3 \\ \Delta_{\mathrm{H}_{vi}}(x,y,z) &:= & x^3y^4 + y^5 - 2x^4y^2z - 4xy^3z + x^5z^2 + 3x^2yz^2 + z^3 \\ \Delta_{\mathrm{H}_{vii}}(x,y,z) &:= & x^3y^4 + y^5 - 2x^4y^2z - 4xy^3z + x^5z^2 + 3x^2yz^2 + z^3 \\ \Delta_{\mathrm{H}_{vii}}(x,y,z) &:= & x^3y^4 + y^5 - 2x^4y^2z - 7xy^3z + 16x^5z^2 + 12x^2yz^2 + z^3. \end{array}$$

Here, the polynomials are classified into three types A, B and H according to whether the numerical data $(\deg(x), \deg(y), \deg(z); \deg(\Delta))$ is equal to (2, 3, 4; 12), (2, 4, 6; 18) or (2, 6, 10; 30), respectively. In each type, the polynomials are numbered by small Roman numerals i, ii,...etc. We remark that, in all cases, the polynomial is a monic polynomial of degree 3 in the variable z.

3 Zariski-van Kampen Presentation

Let X be one of the 17 types $A_i, A_{ii}, B_i, \ldots, B_{vii}, H_i, \ldots, H_{viii}$. In the present section, we recall in Table 1 from [I1] [S-I1] the result of the calculation of the fundamental group $\pi_1(S_X \setminus D_X, *_X)$ of the complement of the free divisor D_X in the space S_X by Zarisik-van Kampen method (see [Ch],[T-S] for instance), where we put $S_X := \mathbb{C}^3$ and

(3.1)
$$D_X := \{ (x, y, z) \in \mathbb{C}^3 \mid \Delta_X(x, y, z) = 0 \}.$$

Table 1.

$$\begin{aligned} \pi_{1}(S_{A_{i}} \setminus D_{A_{i}}, *_{A_{i}}) &\cong \left\langle a, b, c \right| \begin{array}{l} ab = ba, \\ bcb = cbc, \\ aca = cac \end{array} \right\rangle. \\ \pi_{1}(S_{A_{ii}} \setminus D_{A_{ii}}, *_{A_{ii}}) &\cong \left\langle a, b, c \right| \begin{array}{l} ababab = bababa, \\ aba = bab, \\ b = c \end{array} \right\rangle. \\ \pi_{1}(S_{B_{i}} \setminus D_{B_{i}}, *_{B_{i}}) &\cong \left\langle a, b, c \right| \begin{array}{l} abab = bababa, \\ bc = cb, \\ aca = cac, \\ cbac = baca \end{array} \right\rangle. \\ \pi_{1}(S_{B_{ii}} \setminus D_{B_{ii}}, *_{B_{ii}}) &\cong \left\langle a, b, c \right| \begin{array}{l} ababab = babababa, \\ bc = cb, \\ aca = cac, \\ cbac = baca \end{array} \right\rangle. \\ \pi_{1}(S_{B_{ii}} \setminus D_{B_{ii}}, *_{B_{ii}}) &\cong \left\langle a, b, c \right| \begin{array}{l} ababab = babababa, \\ bc = ab, \\ ac = ca \end{array} \right\rangle. \\ \pi_{1}(S_{B_{iii}} \setminus D_{B_{iii}}, *_{B_{iii}}) &\cong \left\langle a, b, c \right| \begin{array}{l} acbabab = babababa, \\ bc = ab, \\ ac = ca \end{array} \right\rangle. \\ \pi_{1}(S_{B_{iii}} \setminus D_{B_{iii}}, *_{B_{iii}}) &\cong \left\langle a, b, c \right| \begin{array}{l} acbab = bababab, \\ bc = ab, \\ ac = ca \end{array} \right\rangle. \\ \pi_{1}(S_{B_{iv}} \setminus D_{B_{iv}}, *_{B_{iv}}) &\cong \left\langle a, b, c \right| \begin{array}{l} acbab = cba, \\ bcba = cbac, \\ cbac = bcac, \\ cbac = baca, \\ cbac = bacb, \\ ab = ba \end{array} \right\rangle. \\ \pi_{1}(S_{B_{v}} \setminus D_{B_{v}}, *_{B_{v}}) &\cong \left\langle a, b, c \right| \begin{array}{l} acb = cba, \\ bcba = cbac, \\ cbac = bcac, \\ cbac = bacb, \\ ab = ba \end{array} \right\rangle. \\ \pi_{1}(S_{B_{vi}} \setminus D_{B_{vi}}, *_{B_{vi}}) &\cong \left\langle a, b, c \right| \begin{array}{l} acb = bc \\ aca = b, \\ ac = b, \\ cbac = cbac, \\ cbac = bacb, \\ ab = ba \end{array} \right\rangle. \\ \pi_{1}(S_{B_{vi}} \setminus D_{B_{vi}}, *_{B_{vi}}) &\cong \left\langle a, b, c \right| \begin{array}{l} acb = bcab, \\ ac = bcacb, \\ cbac = bacb, \\ cbac = bcacb, \\ cbac = bacb, \\ cbac = bacb, \\ cbac = bacb, \\ cbac = bcacb, \\ cbac = bacb, \\ cbac = bac$$

$$\pi_1(S_{\mathbf{B}_{\mathbf{v}ii}} \setminus D_{\mathbf{B}_{\mathbf{v}ii}}, *_{\mathbf{B}_{\mathbf{v}ii}})$$

$$\cong \left\langle a, b, c \right| \left| \begin{array}{c} a = b^{-1}cbab^{-1}cbab^{-1}cbab^{-1}cba^{-1}b^{-1}c^{-1}ba^{-1}b^{-1}c^{-1}ba^{-1}b^{-1}c^{-1}b, \\ c = bab^{-1}cbab^{-1}cbab^{-1}cbab^{-1}c^{-1}ba^{-1}b^{-1}c^{-1}ba^{-1}b^{-1}c^{-1}ba^{-1}b^{-1}, \\ a = ba^{-1}b^{-1}c^{-1}bab^{-1}cbab^{-1}, \\ cba = bab, cba = bcb, cba = bab^{-1}c^{-1}b^{-1}cbcb \end{array} \right\rangle.$$

$$\pi_{1}(S_{\mathrm{H}_{i}} \setminus D_{\mathrm{H}_{i}}, *_{\mathrm{H}_{i}}) \cong \left\langle \begin{array}{c} a, b, c \\ bc = cb, \\ aca = cac \end{array} \right\rangle$$
$$\pi_{1}(S_{\mathrm{H}_{ii}} \setminus D_{\mathrm{H}_{ii}}, *_{\mathrm{H}_{ii}}) \cong \left\langle \begin{array}{c} a, b, c \\ abab = baba, \\ aca = bac, \\ aca = cacc \end{array} \right\rangle$$

$$\pi_{1}(S_{\mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{iii}}} \setminus D_{\mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{iii}}}, *_{\mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{iii}}}) \cong \left\langle a, b, c \middle| \begin{array}{c} aba = bab, \\ bcba = cbac, \\ cba = acb \end{array} \right\rangle.$$

$$\pi_{1}(S_{\mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{iv}}} \setminus D_{\mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{iv}}}, *_{\mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{iv}}}) \cong \left\langle a, b, c \middle| \begin{array}{c} a = b = c \\ acba = cbac, \\ cba = acb \end{array} \right\rangle.$$

$$\pi_1(S_{\mathrm{H}_{\mathbf{v}}} \setminus D_{\mathrm{H}_{\mathbf{v}}}, *_{\mathrm{H}_{\mathbf{v}}}) \cong \left\langle a, b, c \middle| \begin{array}{c} bcbac = cbacb, \\ bacb = cbac, \\ bc = cb \end{array} \right\rangle.$$

$$\pi_1(S_{\mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{viii}}} \setminus D_{\mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{viii}}}, *_{\mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{viii}}}) \cong \left\langle a, b, c \middle| \begin{array}{c} abababa = bababab, \\ ab = bc, \\ ac = ca \end{array} \right\rangle.$$

4 Positive Homogeneous Presentation

In the present section, we rewrite the presentations of the fundamental groups in section 3 to a positive homogeneous form. We, first, prepare some terminology.

Definition. 1. Let $G = \langle L | R \rangle$ be a presentation of a group G, where L is the set of generators (called letters) and R is the set of relations. We say that the presentation is *positive homogeneous*, if R consists of relations of the form $R_i = S_i$ where R_i and S_i are positive words in L (i.e. words consisting of only non-negative powers of the letters in L) of the same length.

2. If a positive homogeneous presentation $\langle L | R \rangle$ of a group G is given, then we associate a monoid G^+ defined as the quotient of free monoid L^* generated by L by the equivalence relation \simeq defined as follows:

1) two words U and V in L^* are called *elementarily equivalent* if either U = Vor V is obtained from U by substituting a substring R_i of U by S_i where $R_i = S_i$ is a relation of R ($S_i = R_i$ is also a relation if $R_i = S_i$ is a relation), 2) two words U and V in L^* are called *equivalent*, denoted by $U \simeq V$, if there exists a sequence $U = W_0, W_1, \dots, W_n = V$ of words in L^* for $n \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$ such that W_i is elementarily equivalent to W_{i-1} for $i = 1, \dots, n$.

that W_i is elementarily equivalent to W_{i-1} for $i = 1, \dots, n$. 3. The natural homomorphism $\pi : G^+ \to G$ will be called the *localization* homomorphism. The image of the localization homomorphism is denoted by πG^+ .

Note. 1. The monoid πG^+ depends on the choice of the generators for the group G. Even if we choose the same generators for the same group G, the monoid G^+ depends on the choice of the relations R.

2. Due to the homogeneity of the relations, one defines a homomorphism:

 $l \; : \; G \; \longrightarrow \; \mathbb{Z}$

by associating 1 to each letter in L. The restriction of the homomorphism on πG^+ and its pull-back to G^+ by the composition with the localization homomorphism are called *length functions*. Length functions have the additivity: l(UV) = l(U) + l(V) and the conicity: l(U) = 0 implies U = 1 in the monoids. The existence of such length functions implies that the monoids G^+ and πG^+ are *atomic* ([D-P, §2]) and that πG^+ is also a positive homogeneously presented monoid.

Theorem 1. The fundamental group in **Table 1.** of type X is naturally isomorphic to the following positive homogeneously presented group G_X by identifying the generators $\{a, b, c\}$ in both groups.

abab = baba, aca = bac, bcbc = cbcb, acb = cac, bbcaba = abccac,abbbca = baaaac, bbbabb = abbaaa, baaaaba = abbbbab,baabbb = aaabaa, abccc = cccab, bbcbab = cccaac,cccbcaa = bbbccab, bccbbb = cccbcc, bbccab = caaccc,ccaac = bccaa, ccaab = accaa, ccabaac = accbcaa,caaccab = bcaacca, aabaaa = bbbaab, bbbaaa = aaabbb,abaaaab = babbbba, aaabba = bbabbb, baabbaa = aabbaab,baabaabaa = abbabbabb, aabbaac = babbcaa, aaabc = bcaaa,abbaabaac = babbabbca, cccaaa = aaaccc, cccbbb = bbbccc,caacaac = aabccba, bbbcbb = cbbccc, abacbc = cbcaba,cbbbbcb = bccccbc, cabbbc = accccb, bcccccaa = cbbbcaac,ccbccc = bbbccb, cbcaaab = bcccaba, caabcb = baccca,bcbaab = aaccba, baaccbbc = caccabcb, bccabb = accaaa,babcbab = cabcaca, caabbbbcb = bacccccca, cbaacc = bccbab,abcbaa = ccbabb, bcbbaa = ccbbab, caacac = babcca, $R_{\mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{ii}}} := \left\{ \right.$ cbbaaaacc = acacbbcba, caaaacc = aabccca, bcabbcc = aabbcbb,bbcaabc = cccaabb, cbbcaab = bccabba, bbaabba = abbaabb,abaabcc = bbabbcb, bacbcab = cabcaba, cbcabca = bcaacab,caaccbba = bcabcaab, babbcbb = aabccbc, bbcbbb = cccbbc,bcbbbbc = cbccccb, bccbbabbc = abcabccba, bbabcbbab = cbbabbccc,cabaaccc = abbcbbab, bacabc = cbcabb, bcabaab = abcabaa,aaccbcab = bcabaacc, cbaabcc = baccbca, cccbaabc = baaccaba,bccbaabc = cabacbca, abaabcaba = bbaabcabb, ccbbaaa = aaaccbb,ccbbaabca = abcabbaac, baabcabba = abacabaab, bcaabb = aaacca,accbbcc = ccabbcb, bbcabbccc = abbabbccb, bcaaccbc = abcabcca,cabaabcc = babccbca, babccba = cbbabcb, aabccbca = cabaabcb,abcbbaaccb = ccbaaacaba, baaccbca = abacbaac, ccbcabaa = abccbaab,bbcbbabba = ccbccbaab, cbabbcba = accbaacb, aacccbab = cbcaaaba,cbcaaac = aacccca, baaccca = cccaaab, caaaccb = bcccaaa,bbaaccc = cccbbaa, acabbabc = cbbabccb, ccabaaa = aaaccab

Proof. Except for the types B_{ii} , B_{vi} , H_{ii} , H_{viii} , the relations are obtained by elementary reductions of the Zariski-van Kampen relations, and we omit details.

Some new relations for the cases of types B_{ii} , B_{vi} , H_{ii} , H_{iii} are obtained by cancelling common factors from the left or from the right of equivalent expressions of the same fundamental elements (introduced in §6 6.1. See §7 Definition 7.1), where these equivalent expressions of a fundamental element are obtained with the help of Hayashi's computer program (see http://www.kurims.kyoto-u.ac.jp/ saito/SI/). In the following, we sketch how some of them are obtained by hand calculations. In the proof, "the first relation, the second relation, ...", mean "the relation which is at the first place, the second place, ... in Table 1. of Zariski-van Kampen relations in §3".

The case for the type H_{viii} needs to be treated separately because its calculations are non-trivial. Detailed verifications are left to the reader.

B_{ii}: Using ab = bc, rewrite the LHS ababab (resp. RHS bababa) of the first relation to bcabbc (resp. babbca). Then, using the commutativity of a and c, we cancel ba from left and c from right so that we obtain a new relation $cbb \simeq bba$.

B_{vi}: Using the defining relation aca = bac, rewrite the LHS *acaca* of the third relation to *acbac* so that the relation turns to *acbac* \simeq *cacac*. We cancel *ac* from right and obtain a new relation *acb* \simeq *caca*. Using this, one has *bcbac* \simeq *bcaca* \simeq *bacba* \simeq *acaba* \simeq *acaba* \simeq *acaba* \simeq *cacab* \simeq *cbacb* \simeq *cbcac*. Using this, one has *acabc* \simeq *bcaca* \simeq *bacba* \simeq *acaba* \simeq *acaba* \simeq *acaba* \simeq *cbcacb* \simeq *cbcac*. Using this, one has *acabc* \simeq *bcacba* \simeq

We cancel *ac* from right and obtain $bcb \simeq cbc$. Using this, one has $acabc \simeq bacbc \simeq babcb \simeq abacb \simeq abcac$. Cancelling *a* and *c* for left and right, we obtain a new relation $cab \simeq bca$. Using this, one has $cabba \simeq bcaba \simeq bcbab \simeq cbcab \simeq cbbca$. Cancelling *c* and *a* for left and right, we obtain a new relation $abb \simeq bc$. The second relation of length 4 is obtained by cancelling *a* from left of the equality: $abbac \simeq bbcac \simeq bbacb \simeq bcacb \simeq bacab \simeq bacab$.

 H_{ii} : Using the defining relation aca = bac, rewrite the LHS *acaca* of the third relation to *acbac* so that the relation turns to *acbac* \simeq *cacac*. We cancel *ac* from right so that we obtain a new relation *acb* \simeq *caca*.

H_{iii}: Multiply b to the second relation from the right, and rewire the LHS to bcaba (by a use of the defining relation bab = aba and rewrite the RHS to cbcba (by a use of the defining relation acb = cba). Cancelling by ba from right, we obtain a new relation $bca \simeq cbc$. Using the length 3 relations, one has $acabc \simeq acbcb \simeq cbacb \simeq cbcba \simeq$

Using the length 3 relations, one has $acabc \simeq acbcb \simeq cbacb \simeq cbcba \simeq cabca \simeq cacbc$. Cancelling by bc from right, we obtain a new relation $aca \simeq cac$. Using the length 3 relations, one has $bcaac \simeq cbccac \simeq cbaca \simeq acbca \simeq$

 $abcaa \simeq bcbaa$. Cancelling by bc from left, we obtain a new relation $aac \simeq baa$. In the above sequence, the middle term acbca is also equivalent to accbc.

Thus, cancelling c from right, we obtain a new relation $accb \simeq cbca(\simeq bcaa)$.

H_{viii}: From the defining relations, we have $abababa \simeq bcbcbca$, $bababab \simeq bbcbcbcc$, and, hence, $bcbcbca \simeq bbcbcbcb$ (1). Multiplying b from the right, we get $bcbcbcbc \simeq bcbcbcab \simeq bbcbcbcb$ (2). In the equality (2), dividing by b from the left, we get $cbcbcbc \simeq bcbcbcbc$. Dividing (1) by b from the left, we get $cbcbcac \simeq bcbcbcbc$. The left hand side of this equality is equivalent to $cabbca \simeq acbbca$, and the right hand side of the equality is equivalent to abbcbc so that $acbbca \simeq abbcbc$. Dividing by a from the left, we get $bbcbc \simeq cbbcac \simeq cbbcac$. Dividing by a from the left, we get $bbcbc \simeq cbbcac \simeq cbbcac$. Dividing by c from the light, we get $cbba \simeq bbcbccbc$. The left hand side of the equality is equivalent to abbcbc so that $acbbca \simeq abbcbc$. Dividing by a from the left, we get $bbcbc \simeq cbbcac \simeq cbbcac$. Dividing by c from the light, we get $cbba \simeq bbcbcbcb$. The right hand side is equivalent to $cbbacbcb \simeq cbbcacbcb \simeq cbbcabcbc \simeq cbcbacbcc$. Dividing by cb from the left, we get $cbacbcc \simeq cbcbcabcc$. The left hand side is equivalent to $cbbacbcb \simeq cbbcabcb \simeq cbbcabcb \simeq cbbcabcb$. The left hand side is equivalent to $cbacab \simeq cbcbacbc$. Dividing by cb from the left, we get $cbacbc \simeq ababcb$. The left hand side is equivalent to $cbacab \simeq cbacabc$. Dividing by cb from the left, we get $abab \simeq cbaaa$ (3). Mutiplying b from the right, the left hand side is equivalent to $ababb \simeq cbaa$ so that $cbcba \simeq cbaab$. Dividing by cb from the left, we get $cba \simeq cabba \simeq cbcba \approx cabaa$. Dividing by a from the left, we get $bab \simeq cbaa \simeq cabaa$. Dividing by a from the left, we get $bab \simeq cbaa$. Dividing by b from the left, we get $bab \simeq cbaa \simeq cabaa$. Dividing by a from the left, we get $bab \simeq cbaa \simeq cbaa \simeq cabaa$. Dividing by a from the left, we get $bab \simeq cbaa \simeq cbaa \simeq cabaa$. Dividing by a from the left, we get $bab \simeq cbaa \simeq cbaa \simeq cabaa$. Dividing by a from the left, we get $bab \simeq cbaa \simeq cbaa \simeq cabaa$. Dividing by a from the left, we get $bab \simeq cbaa \simeq cabaa$. Dividing by b from the left, we get ab

This completes a proof of Theorem 1.

Notation. For each type $X \in \{A_i, A_{ii}, B_i, B_{ii}, B_{ii}, B_i, B_v, B_v, B_v, B_{vii}, H_i, H_{ii}, H_{iii}, H_{iv}, H_v, H_v, H_{vi}, H_{vii}, H_{viii}\}$, we denote by G_X , G_X^+ and πG_X^+ the group, the monoid and the image of localization $\pi : G_X^+ \to G_X$, respectively, associated with the positive homogeneous relations of type X given in Theorem 1.

From the presentations, we immediately observe the followings.

Corollary 1. i) For the type $X \in \{A_i, A_{ii}, B_i, B_{iv}, H_i\}$, the monoid G_X^+ and the group G_X is an Artin monoid and an Artin group of type A_3 , A_2 , B_3 , $A_1 \times A_2$ and H_3 , respectively. As a consequence, we have the injectivity: $G_X^+ \to G_X$.

ii) For the type $X \in \{B_v, B_{vii}, H_{iv}, H_v, H_{vi}, H_{vii}, H_{viii}\}$, the monoid G_X^+ and the group G_X is the infinite cyclic monoid $\mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$ and group \mathbb{Z} , respectively. The monoid $G_{B_{iii}}^+$ and the group $G_{B_{iii}}$ is a free abelian monoid $(\mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0})^2$ and group \mathbb{Z}^2 of rank 2. As a consequence, we have the injectivity: $G_X^+ \to G_X$.

iii) The correspondence: $\{a \mapsto b, b \mapsto a, c \mapsto c\}$ induces an isomorphism:

$$G^+_{\mathbf{B}_{\mathrm{vi}}} \simeq G^+_{\mathbf{H}_{\mathrm{vi}}}$$

and, hence, also the isomorphisms: $G_{B_{vi}} \simeq G_{H_{iii}}$ and $\pi G_{B_{vi}}^+ \simeq \pi G_{H_{iii}}^+$. Note that the isomorphism does not identify the Coxeter elements (c.f. Proposition 6.5).

Proof. We can show that the Zariski-van Kampen relations of one of the two types can be deduced, up to the transposition of a and b, from that of the other type. \Box

As the consequence of Corollary 1, in the rest of the present paper, we shall focus our attention to the remaining 4 types B_{ii} , B_{vi} , H_{ii} and H_{iii} together with the "constraint $B_{vi} \simeq H_{iii}$ ".

Corollary 2. The groups $G_{B_{vi}}$ and $G_{H_{iii}}$ do not admit Artin group presentation with respect to any Zariski-van Kampen type generator system.

Proof. Due to Theorem 1., both groups have the relations: $a^5 = b^5 = c^5$, which are invariant by the change of generator system by the braid group B(3). \Box

Remark 4.1. The group G_X is naturally isomorphic to the fundamental group, which does not depend on the choice of Zariski-van Kampen generators $\{a, b, c\}$, but the monoid πG_X^+ depends on that choice (see next Remark 4.2).

Furthermore, the monoid G_X^+ , a priori, depends on the choice of relations in Theorem 1. The injectivity in the above corollary follows from cancellation conditions on G_X^+ (see [B-S]). We shall show that, also for $G_{B_{ii}}^+$ in §7, the cancellation condition holds, implying the injectivity $\pi : G_{B_{ii}}^+ \to G_{B_{ii}}$. Thus, for these cases as a consequence of the cancellation condition, G_X^+ does not depend on the choice of relations in Theorem 1. However, for the remaining types B_{vi} , H_{ii} and H_{iii} , it may be still possible that we need more relations in order to obtain the injectivity of the localization homomorphism.

Remark 4.2. Recall that we have chosen Zariski pencils for the calculation of the fundamental group of $\mathbb{C}^3 \setminus D_X$ in the direction of the z-axis, where z is the weighted homogeneous coordinate of the highest weight so that the pencils intersects the divisor D_X at three points and, for a generic choice of a pencil, we get three generators $\{a, b, c\}$ of the fundamental group(see §1 Introduction). However, this does not determine $\{a, b, c\}$ uniquely. It is wellknown that the ambiguity of the choices of the generators is described by the action of the braid group B(3) with three strings on the free group F_3 generated by $\{a, b, c\}$. Here is a remarkable observation for the type B_{ii} .

Assertion. For any choice of Zariski-van Kampen generator system $\{a, b, c\}$ (up to a permutation), the fundamental group admits only one of the following

two positive homogeneous presentations I. and II.

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathrm{I:} & \left\langle a,b,c \right| & \begin{matrix} cbb=bba, \\ bc=ab, \\ ac=ca \\ ababab=bababa, \\ b=c, \\ aabab=baaba \end{matrix} \right\rangle.$$

5 Non-division property of the monoid πG_X^+

In the present section, we show that none of the monoids πG_X^+ of the four types B_{ii}, B_{vi}, H_{ii} and H_{iii} does admit the divisibility theory ([B-S, §4]), and therefore the monoid is neither Gaussian, Garside nor Artin.

We first recall some terminologies and concepts on the monoid πG^+ .

An element $U \in \pi G^+$ is said to *divide* $V \in \pi G^+$ from the left (resp. right), denoted by $U|_l V$ (resp. $U|_r V$), if there exists $W \in \pi G^+$ such that V = UW(resp. V = WU). We also say V is *left-divisible* by U, or V is a *right-multiple* of U.

We say that πG^+ admits the left (resp. right) divisibility theory, if for any two elements U, V of πG_X^+ , there always exists a left (resp. right) least common multiple, i.e. a left (resp. right) common multiple which divides any other left (resp. right) common multiple. Since πG_X^+ can be positive homogeneously presented, the only invertible elements in the monoid is the unit element, so that we have the unique left (resp. right) least common multiple, denoted by $\operatorname{lcm}_l(U, V)$ (resp. $\operatorname{lcm}_r(U, V)$).

Theorem 2. The monoids $\pi G_{B_{ii}}^+, \pi G_{B_{vi}}^+, \pi G_{H_{ii}}^+, \pi G_{H_{iii}}^+$ admits neither the leftdivisibility theory nor the right divisibility theory.

Proof. We claim a fact, which shall be proven in $\S 8$ Theorem 5 ii) independent of the results of $\S 5$, 6 and 7.

Fact 5.1. None of the groups $G_{B_{ii}}, G_{B_{vi}}, G_{H_{ii}}$ and $G_{H_{iii}}$ is abelian.

Assuming that the monoid πG_X^+ admits the right divisibility theory, we show that G_X becomes an abelian group: a contradiction! to Fact 5.1. The case for the left divisibility theory can be shown similarly.

1) $\pi G_{\mathrm{B}_{\mathrm{ii}}}^+$: It is immediate to see $l(\mathrm{lcm}_r(b,c)) > 2$ from the defining relations in Theorem 1. Then, bba = cbb is a common multiple of b and c of the shortest length 3, and, hence, should be equal to $\mathrm{lcm}_r(b,c)$. On the other hand, we have the following sequence of elementary equivalent words: bcba, abba, acbb, cabb. That is, bcba = cabb in $\pi G_{\mathrm{B}_{\mathrm{ii}}}^+$ is another common right-multiple of b and c. If bba = cbb divides bcba = cabb from the left, there exists $d \in \{a, b, c\}$ such that bcba = bbad. So, in $\pi G_{\mathrm{B}_{\mathrm{ii}}}^+$, we have cba = bad which is again a common rightmultiple of b and c. Thus, we have the equality: cba = cbb in $\pi G_{\mathrm{B}_{\mathrm{ii}}}^+$. That is, a = b in $\pi G_{\mathrm{B}_{\mathrm{ii}}}^+$. By adding this relation a = b to the set of the defining relations of the group $G_{\mathrm{B}_{\mathrm{ii}}}$, we get $G_{\mathrm{B}_{\mathrm{ii}}} \simeq \mathbb{Z}$. A contradiction! 2) $\pi G_{B_{vi}}^+$: Due to the first defining relation in Theorem 1., we have $l(\operatorname{lcm}_r(a, b))$

 \leq 3. Let us consider 3 cases: i) $l(\operatorname{lcm}_r(a,b)) = 1$. This means $l(\operatorname{lcm}_r(a,b)) = a = b$. By adding this relation to the defining relation of the group $G_{B_{vi}}$, we get $G_{B_{vi}} \simeq \mathbb{Z}$. A contradiction!

ii) $l(\operatorname{lcm}_r(a, b)) = 2$. This means that there exists $u, v \in \{a, b, c\}$ such that $l(\operatorname{lcm}_r(a,b)) = au = bv$. Depending on each choice of u and v, one can show that this assumption leads to a contradictory conclusion $G_{B_{vi}} \simeq \mathbb{Z}$. Details are left to the reader

iii) $l(\operatorname{lcm}_r(a,b)) = 3$. In view of the first two defining relations in Theorem 1., one has aba = bab = aca = bac. By adding this relation to the set of the defining relations of the group $G_{B_{vi}}$, we get $G_{B_{vi}} \simeq \mathbb{Z}$. A contradiction!.

3) $\pi G_{\mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{i}i}}^+$: Due to the second defining relation in Theorem 1., we have $l(\operatorname{lcm}_r(a, b)) \leq 3$. Let us consider 3 cases:

i) $l(\operatorname{lcm}_{l}(a, b)) = 1$. This means $l(\operatorname{lcm}_{r}(a, b)) = a = b$. By adding this relation to the defining relation of the group $G_{\mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{ii}}}$, we get a contradiction $G_{\mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{ii}}} \simeq \mathbb{Z}$.

ii) $l(\operatorname{lcm}_r(a,b)) = 2$. This means that there exists $u, v \in \{a, b, c\}$ such that $l(\operatorname{lcm}_r(a,b)) = au = bv$. Depending on each choice of u and v, one can show that this assumption leads to a contradictory conclusion $G_{H_{ii}} \simeq \mathbb{Z}$. Details are left to the reader.

iii) $l(\operatorname{lcm}_r(a, b)) = 3$. In view of the first two defining relations, one has $\operatorname{lcm}_r(a,b) = aca = bac$, and it divides abab = baba (from left). This means that there exists $d \in \{a, b, c\}$ such that cd = ba in $G_{H_{ii}}$. For each case d = a, b or cseparately, one can show that $G_{\mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{ij}}} \simeq \mathbb{Z}$. A contradiction!.

4) $\pi G_{\mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{iii}}}^+$: Due to the isomorphism $\pi G_{\mathrm{B}_{\mathrm{vi}}} \simeq \pi G_{\mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{iii}}}^+$ (Corollary 1,iii) of Theorem 1), we can reduce this case to the case 2).

These complete the proof of Theorem 2.

Corollary 5.2. The monoids $\pi G_{B_{ii}}^+, \pi G_{B_{vi}}^+, \pi G_{H_{iii}}^+, \pi G_{H_{iii}}^+$ are not Gaussian and hence are niether Gaussian nor Garside (a monoid is Gaussian ([D-P, §2]) if it is atomic, satisfies the cancellation condition and admits divisibility theory).

Fundamental elements of the monoid G_X^+ 6

An Artin monoid of finite type has a particular element, denoted by Δ and called the fundamental element ([B-S] §6). In this section, we generalize the concept for positive homogeneously presented monoids.

In view of Theorem 2, we do not naively employ the original definition: the left and right least common multiple of the generators. Instead of that, analyzing equivalent defining properties of the fundamental element for Artin monoid case, we consider two classes of elements in the monoid G^+ : quasi-central elements and fundamental elements, forming subsemigroups $\mathcal{QZ}(\overline{G^+})$ and $\mathcal{F}(G^+)$ in $\overline{G^+}$. respectively, with $\mathcal{F}(G^+) \subset \mathcal{QZ}(G^+)$. The goal of the present section is to show $\mathcal{F}(G_X^+) \neq \emptyset$ for all types X, implying also $\mathcal{F}(\pi G_X^+) \neq \emptyset$ for all types X.

Let G^+ be a monoid given in §4, i.e. defined by a positive homogeneous relations on a generator set L. Let us denote by L/\sim the quotient set of L divided by the equivalence relation generated by the equalities between two letters (in the relation set R). An element $\Delta \in G^+$ is called *quasi-central* ([B-S] 7.1), if there exists a permutation σ_{Δ} of L/\sim such that

$$a \cdot \Delta \simeq \Delta \cdot \sigma_{\Delta}(a)$$

holds for all generators $a \in L/\sim$. The set of all quasi-central elements is denoted by $\mathcal{QZ}(G^+)$. The following is an immediate consequence of the definition.

Fact 2. The $\mathcal{QZ}(G^+)$ is closed under the product. For two elements $\Delta_1, \Delta_2 \in \mathcal{QZ}(G^+)$, we have $\sigma_{\Delta_1 \cdot \Delta_2} = \sigma_{\Delta_2} \cdot \sigma_{\Delta_1}$.

According to Fact 2, we introduce an anti-homomorphism:

$$\sigma : \mathcal{QZ}(G^+) \longrightarrow \mathfrak{S}(L/\sim), \quad \Delta \mapsto \sigma_\Delta.$$

The kernel of σ is the center $\mathcal{Z}(G^+)$ of the monoid G^+ .

Next, we introduce the concept of a fundamental element.

Definition 6.1. An element $\Delta \in G^+$ is called *fundamental* if there exists a permutation σ_{Δ} of L/\sim such that, for any $a \in L/\sim$, there exists $\Delta_a \in \pi G_X^+$ satisfying the following relation:

$$\Delta \simeq a \cdot \Delta_a \simeq \Delta_a \cdot \sigma_\Delta(a).$$

We denote by $\mathcal{F}(G^+)$ the set of all fundamental elements of G^+ . Note that $1 \in \mathcal{QZ}(G^+)$ but $1 \notin \mathcal{F}(G^+)$

Fact 3. The $\mathcal{F}(G^+)$ has the following two properties.

i) A fundamental element is a quasi-central element: $\mathcal{F}(G^+) \subset \mathcal{QZ}(G^+)$. The associated permutation of L/\sim as a fundamental element coincides with that as a quasi-central element.

ii) Products $\Delta \cdot \Delta'$ and $\Delta' \cdot \Delta$ of a fundamental element Δ and a quasi-central element Δ' are again fundamental elements whose permutation of L/\sim is given in Fact 2. We have $(\Delta \Delta')_a = \Delta_a \Delta'$, and $(\Delta' \Delta)_a = \Delta' \Delta_{\sigma_{\Lambda'}(a)}$.

$$\mathcal{F}(G^+)\mathcal{QZ}(G^+) = \mathcal{QZ}(G^+)\mathcal{F}(G^+) = \mathcal{F}(G^+).$$

Proof. i) We have $a \cdot \Delta \simeq a \cdot \Delta_a \cdot \sigma_\Delta(a) \simeq \Delta \cdot \sigma_\Delta(a)$ for all $a \in L/\sim$.

ii) We prove only the case $\Delta \cdot \Delta'$.

On one side, one has:

$$\Delta \cdot \Delta' \simeq (a \cdot \Delta_a) \cdot \Delta' \simeq a \cdot (\Delta_a \cdot \Delta').$$

On the other side, one has:

$$\Delta \cdot \Delta' \simeq (\Delta_a \cdot \sigma_{\Delta}(a)) \cdot \Delta' \simeq \Delta_a \cdot (\sigma_{\Delta}(a) \cdot \Delta') \simeq \Delta_a \cdot (\Delta' \cdot \sigma_{\Delta'}(\sigma_{\Delta}(a)))$$

$$\simeq (\Delta_a \cdot \Delta') \cdot \sigma_{\Delta'}(\sigma_{\Delta}(a)) \simeq (\Delta_a \cdot \Delta') \cdot \sigma_{\Delta\Delta'}(a)).$$

One basic property of a fundamental element is that it can be a universal denominator for the localization homomorphism (c.f. §7 Lemma 7.2.2).

Fact 4. Let Δ be a fundamental element of G^+ . Then, for any $U \in G^+$, U divides $\Delta^{l(U)}$ from left and from right.

Proof. We prove only for the left division. Right division can be shown similarly. We show the statement by induction on l(U), where the case l(U) = 1 follows from the definition of a fundamental element. Let l(U) > 1 and $U \simeq U' \cdot a$. By induction hypothesis, we have $\Delta^{l(U)-1} \simeq U' \cdot V$ for some V. Then, multiplying Δ from right, we have $\Delta^{l(U)} \simeq U' \cdot V \cdot \Delta \simeq U' \cdot \Delta \cdot \sigma_{\Delta}(V) \simeq U' \cdot a \cdot \Delta_a \cdot \sigma_{\Delta}(V)$. Here, if V is the word $v_1 \cdots v_n$ then $\sigma_{\Delta}(V)$ is a word $\sigma_{\Delta}(v_1) \cdots \sigma_{\Delta}(v_n)$

Remark 6.2. If G^+ is an indecomposable Artin monoid (of finite type), then any non-trivial quasi-central element is fundamental ([B-S] 5.2 and 7.1). That is, one has the "opposite" inclusion: $(\mathcal{QZ}(G^+) \setminus \{1\}) \subset \mathcal{F}(G^+)$.

Remark 6.3. By definition, any fundamental element is divisible from both left and right by all generators in L. However, a (non-trivial) quasi-central element in general may not have this property.

(i) $b^3 \in \mathcal{QZ}(G^+_{B_{ii}})$ is central. However, it is not divisible by a and c from the left and right.

(ii) $ababa \in G_{B_{ii}}^+$ is divisible by all generators from both sides, but it does not belong to $\mathcal{QZ}(G_{B_{ii}}^+)$.

Definition 6.4 A fundamental element Δ is called a *minimal fundamental* element if any fundamental element dividing Δ from right or left coincides with Δ itself.

Remark 6.5 A fundamental element is called *prime*, if it does not decompose into a product of two nontrivial quasi-central elements. In general, a minimal fundamental element may not be prime (see [I2]).

We state the second main result of the present paper.

Theorem 3. The following elements are minimal fundamental elements in G_X^+ for any type X. Except for the types B_{vi} , H_{ii} and H_{iii} , they are the complete list of minimal fundamental elements.

B_{vii} :	$\Delta_{B_{\rm vii}}$:= a	$\sigma:\binom{a=b=c}{a=b=c}$
H_i :	$\Delta_{H_{\rm i}}$	$:= (cba)^5$	$\sigma: \begin{pmatrix} a, b, c \\ a, b, c \end{pmatrix}$
H_{ii} :	$\Delta_{H_{\rm ii}1}$	$:= (acaca)^2 \simeq (ac)^5$	$\sigma: \begin{pmatrix} a, b, c \\ a, b, c \end{pmatrix}$
	$\Delta_{H_{\rm ii}2}$	$:= (babac)^3 \simeq (cba)^5$	$\sigma:\binom{a,\ b,\ c}{a,\ b,\ c}$
H_{iii} :	$\Delta_{H_{\rm iii}1}$	$:=a^5\simeq b^5\simeq c^5$	$\sigma:\binom{a, b, c}{a, b, c}$
	$\Delta_{H_{\rm iii}2}$	$:= (aba)^2$	$\sigma:\binom{a, b, c}{a, b, c}$
	$\Delta_{H_{\rm iii}3}$:= accbaca	$\sigma:\binom{a, b, c}{a, b, c}$
	$\Delta_{H_{\rm iii}4}$	$:= (bcba)^2$	$\sigma:\binom{a, b, c}{a, b, c}$
	$\Delta_{H_{\rm iii}5}$	$:= \ (bcbcb)^2 \simeq (bc)^5$	$\sigma:\binom{a, b, c}{a, b, c}$
	$\Delta_{H_{\rm iii}6}$	$:= (abc)^3$	$\sigma:\binom{a, b, c}{a, b, c}$
H_{iv} :	$\Delta_{H_{\rm iv}}$:= a	$\sigma: \begin{pmatrix} a=b=c\\a=b=c \end{pmatrix}$
H_v :	$\Delta_{H_{\rm v}}$:= a	$\sigma: \binom{\tilde{a}=\tilde{b}=\tilde{c}}{a=b=c}$
H_{vi} :	$\Delta_{H_{\rm vi}}$:= a	$\sigma:\binom{a=b=c}{a=b=c}$
$H_{\rm vii}$:	$\Delta_{H_{\rm vii}}$:= a	$\sigma:\binom{a=b=c}{a=b=c}$
H_{viii} :	$\Delta_{H_{\rm viii}}$:= a	$\sigma:\binom{a=b=c}{a=b=c}$

Proof. Since the cases for an Artin monoid or a free abelian monoid are classical, we show only the 4 exceptional cases.

 B_{ii} : For the proof of this case , it is sufficient to show that $\Delta_{B_{ii},k}$ are quasi central elements which are divisible by the generators a, b and c (see Proposition 7.4). Actually, it is easy to show the following:

$$(a^k b)^3 \simeq (ba^k)^3 \simeq (bc^k)^3 \simeq (c^k b)^3.$$

For the proof of the facts that they are quasi-central and they form the complete list of minimal fundamental elements, one is referred to [I2].

 $B_{\rm vi}$: Since the monoids of types $B_{\rm vi}$ and $H_{\rm iii}$ are isomorphic to each other (see Remark after Theorem 1 in $\S4$), we may reduce the proof to the case H_{iii} .

 H_{ii} : First, let us show a relation: $acaca \simeq cacac$ ($acaca \simeq acbac \simeq cacac$), which shall be used in the sequel.

 $\Delta_{\mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{ij}}1} := acacaacaca.$

 $\Delta_{\mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{ii}}1} = a(cacaacaca) \simeq cacaacaca \simeq (cacaacaca)a.$

 $\Delta_{\mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{ii}1}} \simeq c(acacacaca) \simeq acacaacaca \simeq (acacacaca)c.$

 $\Delta_{\mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{ii}}1} \simeq acacaacaca \simeq b(accaacaca) \simeq acacaacaca \simeq acacaacaca$ $\simeq accacaccac \simeq accaacbcac \simeq accaacbacb \simeq (accaacaca)b,$ $\Delta_{\mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{ij}}2} := babacbabacbabac \simeq ababcbabacbabac.$

 $\Delta_{\mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{ii}}2} = a(babcbabacbabac) \simeq bababcbabacbabac \simeq babcacabacbabac$ $\begin{array}{l} \simeq babcbacbacbabac \simeq babcbacacababac \simeq babcbaacbababac \\ \simeq babcbaacababbac \simeq babcbabacbabbac \simeq (babcbabacbabac)a. \end{array}$

 $\Delta_{\mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{ii}}2} = b(abacbabacbabac) \simeq ababcbabacbabac \simeq ababcababcbabac$ $\stackrel{\sim}{\simeq} ababcababcbaaca \stackrel{\simeq}{\simeq} ababcbabacbaaca \stackrel{\simeq}{\simeq} ababcbaacabaaca \stackrel{\simeq}{\simeq} ababcbaacababac \stackrel{\simeq}{\simeq} ababcbaacababac \stackrel{\simeq}{\simeq} ababcbaacababac$

 $\Delta_{\mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{ii}2}} = abacbabacbabacb \simeq aacababacbabacb \simeq aacbabaacbabacb$ \simeq acacabaacbabacb \simeq acbacbaacbabacb \simeq c(acacbaacbabacb) $\begin{array}{l} \simeq accacabaacbabacb \simeq acacabaacababcb \simeq acacababacbabcb \\ \simeq acacbabaacbabcb \simeq acacbabacacabcb \simeq acacbabacacabcb \\ \simeq acacbabaacbabcb \simeq acacbabacacabcb \\ \simeq acacbabaacbabcb \\ \simeq acacbabacacabcb \\ \simeq acacbabacbabcb \\ \simeq acacbabacacabcb \\ \simeq acacbabacacababcb \\ \simeq acacbabacacabcb \\ \simeq acacbabacacabcb \\ \simeq acacbabacbabcb \\ \simeq acacbabacacabcb \\ \simeq acacbabacbabcb \\ \simeq acacbabacbabcbabcb \\ \simeq acacbabacbabcb \\ \simeq acacbabacbabcbabcb \\ \simeq acacbabacbab$ \simeq acacbaacabacbcb \simeq acacbaacababcbc \simeq (acacbaacbabacb)c.

 H_{iii} :

 $\Delta_{\mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{iii}2}} := (aba)^2.$ $\Delta_{\mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{iii}2}} = a(baaba) \simeq bababa \simeq (baaba)a.$ $\Delta_{\mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{iii}}2} = b(ababa) \simeq abaaba \simeq (ababa)b.$ $\Delta_{\mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{iii}2}} = abaaba \simeq aaacba \simeq aacbaa \simeq aacaac$ $\Delta_{\mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{iii}3}} := accbaca.$ $\Delta_{\mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{iii}}3} = a(ccbaca) \simeq cbcaaca \simeq ccbcaca \simeq (ccbaca)a.$ $\Delta_{\mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{iii}}3} = accbaca \simeq cbcaaca \simeq b(caaaca).$ $\Delta_{\mathrm{Hiii}3} = accbaca \simeq cbcaaca \simeq ccbcaca \simeq caccbcaa \simeq caccbcaa$ $\simeq caaccba \simeq caacacb \simeq (caaaca)b.$ $\Delta_{\mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{iii}}3} = accbaca \simeq c(bcaaca).$ $\Delta_{\mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{iii}}3} = accbaca \simeq cbcaaca \simeq bcaaaca \simeq (bcaaca)c.$ $\Delta_{\mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{iii}}4} := bcbabcba.$ $\Delta_{\mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{iii}}4} \simeq a(bcabcba) \simeq bcabacba \simeq (bcabcba)a.$ $\Delta_{\mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{iii}4}} = b(cbabcba) \simeq bcabacba \simeq cbcbacba \simeq cbacbcba$ $\simeq cbabcaba \simeq (cbabcba)b.$ $\Delta_{\mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{iii}4}} \simeq bcabacba \simeq c(bcbacba) \simeq bcbaabca \simeq bcbaacbc \simeq bcbacbac.$ $\Delta_{\mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{iii}5}} := bcbcbbcbcb.$ $\Delta_{\mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{iii}5}} \simeq abccbbcbcb \simeq abccbcbcbc \simeq a(bcbcbcbbc),$ $\Delta_{\mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{iii}5}} \simeq bcbcbcbcbc \simeq (bcbcbbc)a.$ $\Delta_{\mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{iii}5}} = b(cbcbbcbcb) \simeq cbcbcbcbcb \simeq (cbcbbcbcb)b.$ $\Delta_{\mathrm{Him5}} \simeq c(bcbcbcbcb) \simeq (bcbcbcbcb)c.$ $\Delta_{\mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{iii}6}} := (abc)^3$ $\Delta_{\mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{iii}}6} = a(bcabcabc) \simeq bcbabcab \simeq bcabacabc \simeq bcabcacbc \simeq (bcabcabc)a$ $\Delta_{\mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{iii}6}} \simeq (abcabcab)c \simeq abcabcbcb \simeq abcabbcab \simeq acbcbbcab$ $\simeq a cabcbcab \simeq cacbcbcab \simeq c(abcabcab).$ These complete the proof of Theorem 3.

Let us state some observations related to the fundamental elements.

Let G^+ be a monoid defined by positive homogeneous relations. Recall (§4) Definition) that πG^+ is the image of G^+ in the group G by the localization homomorphism π . We define quasi-central elements and fundamental elements of πG^+ exactly by the same defining relations for G^+ . Let us denote by $\mathcal{QZ}(\pi G^+)$ and $\mathcal{F}(\pi G^+)$ the set of quasi-central elements and fundamental elements in πG^+ , respectively. Then, the localization homomorphism induces homomorphisms: $\mathcal{QZ}(G^+) \to \mathcal{QZ}(\pi G^+)$ and $\mathcal{F}(G^+) \to \mathcal{F}(\pi G^+)$, which may be neither injective nor surjective. However, Theorem 3 implies the following fact.

Corollary 6.4 For any type X, the set of fundamental elements $\mathcal{F}(\pi G_X^+)$ is non-empty.

We note that $\mathcal{F}(\pi G_X^+)$ may not be singly generated. Evenmore, it is infinitely generated for the type B_{ii} (see details [I2]).

Next, we state an observation that a power of the Coxeter element yields a fundamental element.

Proposition 6.5 Except for the types when the monoid decomposes into direct products or when we have a nontrivial relation ~ on L (explicitly, except for types $A_{ii}, B_{iii}, B_{iv}, B_v, B_{vii}, H_{iv}, H_v, H_{vi}, H_{vii}, H_{viii})$, $\deg(z)$ -th power of the Coxeter element C := cba (= a homotopy class which turns once around all the three points $C_X \cap l_{*1,\mathbb{C}}$ counterclockwise) is a fundamental element.

Proof. Except for the type H_{iii} , the statement is true due to Theorem 3. In the case of type H_{iii} , we have:

$$(cba)^{5} \simeq \Delta_{\mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{iii}}1} \Delta_{\mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{iii}}5} \simeq \Delta_{\mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{iii}}2} \Delta_{\mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{iii}}6} \simeq \Delta_{\mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{iii}}3} \Delta_{\mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{iii}}4}.$$

Let us give further exaples of local fundamental groups, where the Coxeter element plays a similar role as in the 17 cases treated in the present paper. In order to state the result, we introduce a property:

(P): The local fundamental group of the complement of a logarithmic-free $in-decomposable^4$ local divisor admits a positive homogeneous presentation by a suitable choice of Zariski-van Kampen generators such that a power of the Coxeter element, defined as a suitable product of the generators whose realizing path has no self-intersecting point, gives a fundamental element of the monoid generated by them in the fundamental group.

1. The discriminant of a finite irreducible reflection group satisfies the property (P) ([B-S, S2, S3]).

2. The discriminant of a finite irreducible well-generated complex reflection group ([B-M-R, Be]) satisfies the property (P) if their generators are identified with certain Zariski-van Kampen generators.

3. The zero-loci of Sekiguchi polynomials define divisors satisfying (P) (Theorems 1. and 3. of the present paper).

4. A plane curve is locally logarithmic free ([S1]), and, conjecturally, satisfies (P) (c.f. [K]).

5. The discriminant of elliptic Weyl group is a free divisor ([S4]II), which satisfies, conjecturally, the property (P), where the hyperbolic Coxeter element in the elliptic Weyl group ([S4]I,III) can be lifted in the fundamental group to an element whose power of order m_{Γ} is a fundamental element.

Question. We ask whether the property (P) holds for any indecomposable logarithmic free local divisor or not.

⁴A local divisor D in (\mathbb{C}^n, O) at the origin is called *decomposable* if there exist local divisors D_i in (\mathbb{C}^{n_i}, O) (i = 1, 2) and a local analytic isomorphism $(\mathbb{C}^n, O) \simeq (\mathbb{C}^{n_1}, O) \times (\mathbb{C}^{n_2}, O)$ which induces a local isomorphism $D \simeq (D_1 \times \mathbb{C}^{n_2}) \cup (\mathbb{C}^{n_1} \times D_2)$. A local divisor D in (\mathbb{C}^n, O) is called *indecomposable* if it is not decomposable.

7 Cancellation conditions on the monoid G_X^+

In the present section, we study the *cancellation condition* on a monoid G^+ . In the first half, we show some general consequences on the monoid G^+ under the cancellation condition, or under its weaker version: a *weak cancellation condition*. In the latter half, we prove that the monoid $G^+_{\rm B_{ii}}$ satisfies the cancellation condition, however, we do not know whether the monoids $G^+_{\rm B_{vi}}$, $G^+_{\rm H_{ii}}$ and $G^+_{\rm H_{iii}}$ satisfy it or not.

Definition 7.1. A monoid G^+ is said to satisfy the cancellation condition, if an equality AXB = AYB for $A, B, X, Y \in M$ implies X = Y.

It is well-known that an Artin monoid satisfies the cancellation condition [B-S, Prop.2.3]. Let us state some important consequences of the cancellation condition on a monoid defined by positive homogeneous relations.

Lemma 7.2. Let G^+ be a monoid defined by positive homogeneous relations. Suppose it satisfies the cancellation condition. Then, we have the following.

1. For any $\Delta \in QZ(G^+)$, the associated permutation σ_{Δ} of L/\sim extends to an isomorphism, denoted also σ_{Δ} , of G^+ . The correspondence: $\Delta \mapsto \sigma_{\Delta}$ induces an anti-homomorphism:

$$\mathcal{QZ}(G^+) \longrightarrow Aut(G^+).$$

2. If $\mathcal{F}(G^+) \neq \emptyset$, then the localization homomorphism π is injective.

3. For any element $A \in G$ and any $\Delta \in \mathcal{F}(G^+)$, there exist $B \in \pi G^+$ and $n \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$ such that, in G, one has equalities:

$$A = B \cdot (\Delta)^{-n} = (\Delta^{-n}) \cdot \sigma_{\Delta}^{-n}(B).$$

Proof. 1. First, we note that the permutation σ_{Δ} induces an isomorphism of the free monoid $(L/\sim)^*$, denoted also σ_{Δ} . Let U and V be words in $(L/\sim)^*$ which are equivalent by the relations R (i.e. give the same element in G^+). Then, by definition, $U\Delta \simeq \Delta \sigma_{\Delta}(U)$ and $V\Delta \simeq \Delta \sigma_{\Delta}(V)$ are equivalent. That is, $\Delta \sigma_{\Delta}(U)$ and $\Delta \sigma_{\Delta}(V)$ give the same element in G^+ . Then, cancelling Δ from the left, we see that $\sigma_{\Delta}(U)$ and $\sigma_{\Delta}(V)$ give the same element in G^+ . Thus σ_{Δ} induces a homomorphism from G^+ to G^+ . The homomorphism is invertible, since a finite power of it is an identity. By definition, for any $U \in G^+$ and $\Delta_1, \Delta_2 \in \mathcal{QZ}(G^+)$, one has:

$$U \cdot \Delta_1 \Delta_2 \simeq \Delta_1 \cdot \sigma_{\Delta_1}(U) \cdot \Delta_2 \simeq \Delta_1 \Delta_2 \cdot \sigma_{\Delta_2}(\sigma_{\Delta_1}(U)).$$

2. For a localization homomorphism to be injective, it is sufficient to show that the monoid satisfies the cancellation condition and that any two elements of the monoid have (at least) one (left and right) common multiple (Öre's condition, see [C-P]). In view of Fact 4. in §6, for any two elements $U, V \in G^+$ and $\Delta \in \mathcal{F}(G^+), \Delta^{\max\{l(U), l(V)\}}$ is a common multiple of U and V from both sides.

3. Owing to the previous **2.**, it is sufficient to show that, for any element $A \in G$ and any $\Delta \in \mathcal{F}(G^+)$, there exists $k \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$ such that $\Delta^k \cdot A \in \pi G^+$. This can be easily shown by an induction on $k(A) \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$ where k(A) is the (minimal) number of letters of negative power in a word expression of A in $(L \cup L^{-1})^*$. Details are left to the reader.

Next, we formulate a weak cancellation condition and its consequences.

Definition 7.2. An element $\Delta \in G^+$ is called left (resp. right) weakly cancellative, if an equality $\Delta = U \cdot V = U \cdot W$ (resp. $\Delta = V \cdot U = W \cdot U$) in G^+ for some $U, V, W \in G^+$, implies V = W in G^+ .

Using the concept of weakly cancellativity, we give a proposition characterizing fundamental elements.

Proposition 7.4. Let G^+ be a monoid defined by positive homogeneous relations. A quasi-central element Δ is a fundamental element if, for any $s \in L$, $s\Delta$ is left weakly cancellative and s divides Δ from the left.

Proof. Since Δ is divisible by any $s \in L/\sim$ from the left, we put $\Delta = s\Delta_s$ for a suitable Δ_s . Multiply, $\sigma_{\Delta}(s)$ from the right so that we obtain $\Delta \sigma_{\Delta}(s) = s\Delta_s \sigma_{\Delta}(s)$, where the left hand side is equal to $s\Delta = ss\Delta_s$. Therefore, using the weakly cancellativity of $s\Delta$, dividing by s from the left, we obtain $s\Delta_s = \Delta_s \sigma(s)$. This implies the statemnt.

Notation. For an element $\Delta \in G^+$, we put $Div_l(\Delta) := \{ U \in G^+ : U \mid_l \Delta \}$ and $Div_r(\Delta) := \{ U \in G^+ : U \mid_r \Delta \}.$

Proposition 7.5. Let a fundamental element $\Delta \in \mathcal{F}(G^+)$ be left weakly cancellative. Then the following i), ii), iii) and iv) hold.

- i) For any element U ∈ Div_l(Δ), let Ũ ∈ (L/~)* be a lifting to a word. Then, the class of σ_Δ(Ũ) in G⁺ depends only on the class U but not on the lifting Ũ. Let us denote the class in G⁺ by σ_Δ(U).
- ii) The divisor set $Div_l(\Delta)$ is invariant under the action of σ_{Δ} . In particular, the unique longest element Δ is fixed by σ_{Δ} .
- iii) The fundamental element Δ is right weakly cancellative.
- iv) We have the equality: $Div_l(\Delta) = Div_r(\Delta)$.

Proof. i) Suppose one has a decomposition $\Delta \simeq U \cdot V$ for $U, V \in G^+$, and let \tilde{U} be a lifting of U into a word in $(L/\sim)^*$. Then, $\sigma_{\Delta}(\tilde{U})$ is well-defined as a word and hence induce an element in G^+ , which we denote by the same $\sigma_{\Delta}(\tilde{U})$. We claim that Δ is equivalent to $V \cdot \sigma_{\Delta}(\tilde{U})$. This is shown by induction on l(U). If l(U) = 1, this is the definition of fundamental elements. Let l(U) > 1, $\tilde{U} = \tilde{U}' \cdot a$ and $\Delta \simeq \tilde{U}' \cdot a \cdot V$. By induction hypothesis, we have $\Delta \simeq a \cdot V \cdot \sigma_{\Delta}(\tilde{U}')$. Due to the weak cancellativity, $V \cdot \sigma_{\Delta}(\tilde{U}')$ is equivalent to Δ_a . Then, by definition of fundamental elements, Δ is equivalent to $V \cdot \sigma_{\Delta}(\tilde{U}') \cdot \sigma_{\Delta}(a) \simeq V \cdot \sigma_{\Delta}(\tilde{U})$.

Let \tilde{U}_1 and \tilde{U}_2 be liftings of U. Then, applying the above result, we see that Δ is equal to $V \cdot \sigma_{\Delta}(\tilde{U}_1)$ and $V \cdot \sigma_{\Delta}(\tilde{U}_2)$. Then, applying the weak cancellativity of Δ , we see that $\sigma_{\Delta}(\tilde{U}_1)$ and $\sigma_{\Delta}(\tilde{U}_2)$ define the same element in G^+ , which we shall denote by $\sigma_{\Delta}(U)$.

ii) In the proof of i), taking $U = \Delta$ and V = 1, we obtain $\Delta = \sigma_{\Delta}(\Delta)$. Then, since σ_{Δ} is of finite order, we obtain $\sigma_{\Delta}(Div_l(\Delta)) = Div_l(\sigma_{\Delta}(\Delta)) = Div_l(\Delta)$.

iii) Suppose $\Delta = V \cdot U = W \cdot U$. Then according to i), we have $\Delta = U \cdot \sigma_{\Delta}(V) = U \cdot \sigma_{\Delta}(W)$. Then the left cancellation condition implies $\sigma_{\Delta}(V) =$

 $\sigma_{\Delta}(W)$. On the other hand, according to ii), $\sigma_{\Delta}(V) = \sigma_{\Delta}(W)$ are again elements of $Div_l(\Delta)$ so that we can apply σ_{Δ} to the equality. Since σ_{Δ} is of finite order, after repeating this several times, we obtain the equality V = W.

iv) Δ is left divisible by U if and only if Δ is right divisible by $\sigma_{\Delta}(U)$. That is, the set $Div_r(\Delta)$ of right divisors of Δ is equal to $\sigma_{\Delta}(Div_l(\Delta)) = Div_l(\Delta)$. \Box

Conjecture. Let C^k of the element in §6 Proposition 6.5. If $C^{k \cdot \operatorname{ord}(\sigma_{C^k})}$ is weakly cancellative, then G^+ satisfies the cancellation condition.

The following theorem shows that we have already enough relations for type B_{ii} .

Theorem 4. The monoid $G^+_{B_{ii}}$ satisfies the cancellation condition.

Proof. We, first, remark the following.

Proposition 7.6. The left cancellation condition on $G^+_{B_{ii}}$ implies the right cancellation condition.

Proof. Consider a map $\varphi : \mathcal{G}^+_{\mathcal{B}_{\mathrm{ii}}} \to \mathcal{G}^+_{\mathcal{B}_{\mathrm{ii}}}, W \mapsto \varphi(W) := \sigma(rev(W))$, where σ is a permutation $\binom{a \ b \ c}{c \ b \ a}$ and rev(W) is the reverse of the word $W = x_1 x_2 \cdots x_t$ $(x_i \text{ is a letter or an inverse of a letter})$ given by the word $x_t \cdots x_2 x_1$. In view of the defining relation of $G_{B_{ii}}^+$ in Theorem 1., φ is well defined and is an antiisomorphism. If $\beta \alpha \simeq \gamma \alpha$, then $\varphi(\beta \alpha) \simeq \varphi(\gamma \alpha)$, i.e., $\varphi(\alpha)\varphi(\beta) \simeq \varphi(\alpha)\varphi(\gamma)$. Using left cancellation condition, we obtain $\varphi(\beta) = \varphi(\gamma)$ and, hence, $\beta \simeq \gamma$. \Box

The following is sufficient to show the left cancellation condition on $G_{B_{H}}^+$.

Proposition 7.7. Let X and Y be positive words in $G^+_{B_{ii}}$ of length $r \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$.

- (i) If $uX \simeq uY$ for some $u \in \{a, b, c\}$, then $X \simeq Y$.
- (ii) If $aX \simeq bY$, then $X \simeq bZ$, $Y \simeq cZ$ for some positive word Z.
- (iii) If $aX \simeq cY$, then $X \simeq cZ$, $Y \simeq aZ$ for some positive word Z. (iv) If $bX \simeq cY$, then there exist an integer $k \ (0 \le k < r-1)$ and a word Z such that $X \simeq c^k baZ$ and $Y \simeq a^k bbZ$.

Proof. Let us denote by H(r,t) the statement in Proposition 7.7 for all pairs of words X and Y such that their word-lengths are r and for all $u, v \in \{a, b, c\}$ such that $uX \simeq vY$ and the number of elementary transformations to bring uXto vY is less or equal than t. It is easy to see that H(r,t) is true if $r \leq 1$ or $t \leq 1.$

For $r, t \in \mathbb{Z}_{>1}$, we prove H(r, t) under the induction hypothesis that H(s, u)holds for (s, u) such that either s < r and arbitrary u or s = r and u < t. Let X, Y be of word-length r, and let $u_1 X \simeq u_2 W_2 \simeq \cdots \simeq u_t W_t \simeq u_{t+1} Y$

be a sequence of elementary transformations of t steps, where $u_1, \dots, u_{t+1} \in \{a, b, c\}$ and W_2, \dots, W_t are positive words of length r. By assumption t > 1, there exists an index $i \in \{2, ..., t\}$ so that we decompose the sequence into two steps $u_1 X \simeq u_i W_i \simeq u_{t+1} Y$, where each step satisfies the induction hypothesis.

If there exists i such that u_i is equal either to u_1 or u_{t+1} , then by induction hypothesis, W_i is equivalent either to X or to Y. Then, again, applying the induction hypothesis to the remaining step, we obtain the statement for the $u_1 X \simeq u_{t+1} Y$. Thus, we assume from now on $u_i \neq u_1, u_{t+1}$ for $1 < i \le t$.

Suppose $u_1 = u_{t+1}$. If there exists *i* such that $\{u_1 = u_{t+1}, u_i\} \neq \{b, c\}$, then each of the equivalence says the existence of $\alpha, \beta \in \{a, b, c\}$ and words Z_1, Z_2 such that $X \simeq \alpha Z_1, W_i \simeq \beta Z_1 \simeq \beta Z_2$ and $Y \simeq \alpha Z_2$. Applying the induction hypothesis for *r* to $\beta Z_1 \simeq \beta Z_2$, we get $Z_1 \simeq Z_2$ and, hence, we obtained the statement $X \simeq \alpha Z_1 \simeq \alpha Z_2 \simeq Y$. Thus, we exclude these cases from our considerations. Next, we consider the case $\{u_1 = u_{t+1}, u_i\} = \{b, c\}$. However, due to the above consideration, we have only the case $u_2 = u_3 = \cdots = u_t$. Then, by induction hypothesis, we have $W_2 \simeq \cdots \simeq W_t$. On the other hand, since the equivalences $u_1X \simeq u_2W_2$ and $u_{t+1}Y \simeq u_tW_t$ are the elementary transformations at the beginning of the words, there exist again $\alpha, \beta \in \{bb, ba\}$ and words Z_1, Z_2 with the similar descriptions as above hold, implying again $X \simeq Y$.

To complete the proof, we have to examine three more cases $(u_1, u_2, u_3) = (a, b, c), (a, c, b)$ and (b, a, c) for t = 2, where we shall put $W := W_2$.

(I) Case (a, b, c). We have $aX \simeq bW \simeq cY$.

Since the equivalences are single elementary transformations, there exist words Z_1 and Z_2 such that $X \simeq bZ_1$, $W \simeq cZ_1 \simeq baZ_2$ and $Y \simeq bbZ_2$. Applying the induction hypothesis for r to the two equivalent expressions of W, we see that there exist k and a word Z_3 such that $0 \leq k < r-2$, $Z_1 \simeq a^k bbZ_3$ and $aZ_2 \simeq c^k baZ_3$. We can apply k-times the induction hypothesis to the last two equivalent expressions and we see that there exists a word Z_4 such that $Z_2 \simeq c^k Z_4$ and $baZ_3 \simeq aZ_4$. Applying again the induction hypothesis to the last equivalence relation, there exists a word Z_5 such that $Z_4 \simeq bZ_5$ and $aZ_3 \simeq cZ_5$. Once again applying the induction hypothesis to the last equivalence relation, we finally obtain $Z_3 \simeq cZ_6$ and $Z_5 \simeq aZ_6$ for a word Z_6 . Reversing the procedure, obtain the descriptions:

$$\begin{array}{lll} X &\simeq & bZ_1 \simeq ba^k bbZ_3 \simeq ba^k bbcZ_6, \\ Y &\simeq & bbZ_2 \simeq bbc^k Z_4 \simeq bbc^k bZ_5 \simeq bbc^k baZ_6. \end{array}$$

By using the relations of $G_{B_{ii}}^+$, we can show $ba^k bbc \simeq cbbc^k b$ and $bbc^k ba \simeq abbc^k b$. So, we conclude that $X \simeq cZ, Y \simeq aZ$ for $Z \simeq bbc^k bZ_6$.

(II) Case (a, c, b). We have $aX \simeq cW \simeq bY$.

Since the equivalences are single elementary transformations, there exist words Z_1 and Z_2 such that $X \simeq cZ_1$, $W \simeq aZ_1 \simeq bbZ_2$ and $Y \simeq baZ_2$. Applying the induction hypothesis for r to the two equivalent expressions of W, we see that there exists a word Z_3 such that $Z_1 \simeq bZ_3$ and $bZ_2 \simeq cZ_3$. Again applying the induction hypothesis to the last two equivalent expressions, we see that there exist an integer k with $0 \le k < r-3$ and a word Z_4 such that $Z_2 \simeq c^k baZ_4$ and $Z_3 \simeq a^k bbZ_4$. Reversing the procedure, obtain the descriptions:

 $X \simeq cZ_1 \simeq cbZ_3 \simeq cba^k bbZ_4$ and $Y \simeq baZ_2 \simeq bac^k baZ_4$.

It is not hard to show the equivalences $cba^kbb \simeq bbac^kb$ and $bac^kba \simeq cbac^kb$. Thus, we obtain $X \simeq bZ, Y \simeq cZ$ for $Z := bac^k bZ_4$.

(III) Case (b, a, c). We have $bX \simeq aW \simeq cY$.

By induction hypothesis, there exist words Z_1 and Z_2 such that $X \simeq cZ_1$, $W \simeq bZ_1 \simeq cZ_2$ and $Y \simeq aZ_2$. Applying the induction hypothesis for r to the two equivalent expressions of W, we see that there exist k and a word Z_3 such that $0 \le k < r-2$, $Z_1 \simeq c^k baZ_3$ and $Z_2 \simeq a^k bbZ_3$. Thus, we obtain the descriptions:

 $X \simeq cZ_1 \simeq cc^k baZ_3$ and $Y \simeq aZ_2 \simeq aa^k bbZ_3$.

This is the conclusion in Proposition 7.7 (iv) with $0 \le k + 1 < r - 1$, which we looked for.

This completes the proof of Proposition.

This completes the proof of Theorem 4.

Remark 7.3. The sufficient criterion for the cancellation condition given in [D-P, Prop. 3.6] is not satisfied by the monoid $G_{\text{B}_{\text{ii}}}^+$.

2×2 -matrix representation of the group G_X 8

We construct non-abelian representations of the groups $G_{B_{ii}}, G_{B_{vi}}, G_{H_{iii}}, G_{H_{iii}}$

Theorem 5. For each type $X \in \{B_{ii}, B_{vi}, H_{ii}, H_{iii}\}$, consider matrices A, B, C $\in GL(2,\mathbb{C})$ listed below. Then we have the following i) and ii).

i) The correspondence $a \mapsto A, b \mapsto B, c \mapsto C$ induces representations $\rho : G_X \longrightarrow \mathrm{GL}(2,\mathbb{C}).$

ii) The image $\rho(G_X)$ is not an abelian group if $l^2 \neq 1$.

1. Type B_{ii}:

$$A = u \begin{pmatrix} 1 & l^2 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}, \quad B = v \begin{pmatrix} l & 0 \\ 0 & l^{-1} \end{pmatrix}, \quad C = u \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix},$$

where $l^6 = 1$ and $u, v \in \mathbb{C}^{\times}$.

2. Type B_{vi}:

$$A = u \begin{pmatrix} l & 0 \\ 0 & l^{-1} \end{pmatrix}, \quad B = u \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix}, \quad C = u \begin{pmatrix} p & q \\ r & s \end{pmatrix},$$
$$A = u \begin{pmatrix} 1 & l^2 \end{pmatrix}, \quad B = u \begin{pmatrix} l & 0 \\ r & s \end{pmatrix}, \quad C = u \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ r & s \end{pmatrix},$$

$$A = u \begin{pmatrix} 1 & l \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}, \quad B = v \begin{pmatrix} l & 0 \\ 0 & l^{-1} \end{pmatrix}, \quad C = u \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix},$$
$$= 1 \ (l^2 \neq 1) \ and \ u \in \mathbb{C}^{\times}$$

where $l^{10} = 1$ $(l^2 \neq 1)$ and $u \in \mathbb{C}^{\times}$

$$a = -\frac{1}{l(l^2 - 1)}, \quad bc = \frac{-l^4 + l^2 - 1}{(1 - l^2)^2}, \quad d = \frac{l^3}{l^2 - 1}$$
$$p = -l^4 a, \quad q = -\frac{b}{l^4}, \quad r = -l^4 c, \quad s = -\frac{d}{l^4}$$

3. Type H_{ii}:

$$A = u \left(\begin{array}{cc} l & 0 \\ 0 & l^{-1} \end{array} \right), \quad B = u \left(\begin{array}{cc} a & b \\ c & d \end{array} \right), \quad C = u \left(\begin{array}{cc} p & q \\ r & s \end{array} \right)$$

where $u \in \mathbb{C}^{\times}$ and one of the following two cases holds. i) $l^2 + l + 1 = 0$ and $3p^2 + 3p + 2 = 0$

$$a = \frac{l-1}{3}, \ d = \frac{-l-2}{3}, \ bc = -\frac{2}{3}, \ q = \frac{-b(l+2)}{3p}, \ r = \frac{p(1-l)}{3b}, \ s = \frac{2}{3p}$$

ii)
$$l^2 - l + 1 = 0$$
 and $3p^2 - 3p + 2 = 0$.
 $a = \frac{l+1}{3}, \ d = \frac{-l+2}{3}, \ bc = -\frac{2}{3}, \ q = \frac{b(-l+2)}{3p}, \ r = \frac{-p(l+1)}{3b}, \ s = \frac{2}{3p}$.

4. Type H_{iii}:

$$A = u \left(\begin{array}{cc} l & 0 \\ 0 & l^{-1} \end{array} \right), \quad B = u \left(\begin{array}{cc} a & b \\ c & d \end{array} \right), \quad C = u \left(\begin{array}{cc} p & q \\ r & s \end{array} \right),$$

where $l^{10} = 1$ $(l^2 \neq 1)$ and $u \in \mathbb{C}^{\times}$

$$a = -\frac{1}{l(l^2 - 1)}, \quad bc = \frac{-l^4 + l^2 - 1}{(l^2 - 1)^2}, \quad d = \frac{l^3}{l^2 - 1}$$
$$p = a, \quad q = \frac{b}{l^4}, \quad r = l^4c, \quad s = d$$

Proof. It is sufficient to prove only for the case u = v = 1.

We present the matrices A, B and C by the indeterminates a, b, c, d, p, q, r, sand l as in the statement, and then solve the polynomial equation on them defined by the relations listed in Theorem 1. It is unnecessary to check all relations, since some relations are included in the list because of the cancellation condition, whereas $\operatorname{GL}(2,\mathbb{C})$ is a group where the cancellation condition is automatically satisfied. However, as we shall see, it is sometimes convenient to take these "superfluous" relations in account. Detailed calculations are left to the reader.

1. Type B_{ii} : We need to show CBB = BBA, BC = AB, AC = CA, whose verifications are left to the reader. We have $\det(A) = \det(C) = u^2 \neq 0$, $\det(B) = u^2 \neq 0$, $\det(B) = u^2 \neq 0$. Since $ABA^{-1}B^{-1} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & l^2(1-l^2) \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$ and $BCB^{-1}C^{-1} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & l^2-1 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$, $\rho(G_{\text{Bii}})$ is abelian if and only if $l^2 = 1$. 2. Type B_{vi} : We need to show ABA = BAB, ACA = BAC, ACB = CAC.

Actually, solving the (1,1) entry of the equation ABA = BAB, tr(A) = tr(B)and det(B) = 1, w obtain the expressions for a, b, c, d. Then, using the relation $C = ABA^{-2}B$, we obtain the expressions for p, q, r, s. Furthermore, comparing the (1, 1)-entry of $A^5 = B^5$, we get $l^8 + l^6 + l^4 + l^2 + 1 = 0$. 3. Type H_{ii}: We need to show ABAB = BABA, ACA = BAC, ACB = CAC.

$$ABAB = \begin{pmatrix} bc + a^2l^2 & bd + abl^2 \\ ac + cd/l^2 & bc + d^2/l^2 \end{pmatrix}, BABA = \begin{pmatrix} bc + a^2l^2 & ab + bd/l^2 \\ cd + acl^2 & bc + d^2/l^2 \end{pmatrix}$$

By these calculations, we have $d + al^2 = 0$. By TrA = TrB = TrC and det $A = \det B = \det C$, we have $a + d = l + l^{-1} = p + s$, ad - bc = ps - qr = 1.

$$a = \frac{l^2 + 1}{l(1 - l^2)}, d = \frac{l(l^2 + 1)}{l^2 - 1}, bc = \frac{-2(l^4 + 1)}{(l^2 - 1)^2}$$
$$ACA = \begin{pmatrix} l^2p & q \\ r & s/l^2 \end{pmatrix}, BAC = \begin{pmatrix} alp + br/l & alq + bs/l \\ clp + dr/l & clq + ds/l \end{pmatrix}$$

$$q = \frac{b}{lp(1-l^2)}, r = \frac{l(l^4+1)p}{b(l^2-1)}, s = \frac{-2l^2}{(1-l^2)^2p}$$

 $ACB = CAC \Leftrightarrow (1-l+l^2) = 0$ and $3p^2-3p+2 = 0$, or $, (1+l+l^2) = 0$ and $3p^2+3p+2 = 0$ We calculate each cases separately and obtain the result.

4. Type H_{iii}: We need to show ABA = BAB, CBA = ACB, BCA = CBC. As in case of B_{vi}, already the first relation ABA = BAB (in particular tr(A) = tr(B) and det(B) = 1) implies the expressions for a, b, c, d. Using further the relation ACA = CAC, we obtain a = p, d = s and bc = qr. Then applying the relation $A^2C = BA^2$, we get $q = l^4b$ and $r = l^{-4}c$. Further, using the relation $CA^3 = A^3B$, we obtain $l^{10} = 1$.

Corollary. For $X \in \{B_{ii}, B_{vi}, H_{ii}, H_{iii}\}, \sigma(\mathcal{QZ}(\pi G_X^+))$ consists only of the identity.

Sketch of Proof. For $\sigma \in \mathfrak{S}(L)$, we consider a matrix $X \in \operatorname{Mat}(2, \mathbb{C})$ satisfying the equations: $AX = X\sigma(A)$, $BX = X\sigma(B)$, $CX = X\sigma(C)$. If $\sigma = 1$, then the solutions are *constant* × id. If $\sigma \neq 1$, then X = 0.

Remark. J.Sekiguchi constructed the following 3×3 -matrices representation: $a \mapsto A, b \mapsto B, c \mapsto C$ of the group of type B_{ii} .

$$A = \begin{pmatrix} a_1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & a_2 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & a_3 \end{pmatrix}, \quad B = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & b_1 \\ b_2 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & b_3 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad C = \begin{pmatrix} a_2 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & a_3 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & a_1 \end{pmatrix},$$

for $a_1, a_2, a_3, b_1, b_2, b_3 \in \mathbb{C}^{\times}$.

Acknowledgement. We thank David Bessis for pointing out that the group $G_{\mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{vi}}}$ is an infinite cyclic group, and Masaki Kashiwara for interesting discussions.

References

- [Be] Bessis, David: Finite complex reflection Arrangements are $K(\pi, 1)$, arXiv:math/0610777v3.
- [B] Brieskorn, Egbert: Die Fundamentalgruppe des Raumes der regulären Orbits einer endlichen komplexen Spiegelungsgruppe, Inventiones Math. 12 (1971) 57-61.
- [B-S] Brieskorn, Egbert & Saito, Kyoji: Artin-Gruppen und Coxeter-Gruppen, Inventiones Math. 17 (1972) 245-271, English translation by C. Coleman, R. Corran, J. Crisp, D. Easdown, R. Howlett, D. Jackson and A. Ram at the University of Sydney, 1996..
- [Ch] Cheniot, Dennis: Une démonstration du théorème de Zariski sur les sectionshyperplanes d'une hypersurface projective et du théorème de van Kampen sur le groupe fondamental du complémentaire d'une courbe projective plane, *Compositio Math.* 27 (1973) 141-158.
- [B-M-R] Broué, Michel & Malle, Günter & Rouquier, Raphael, Complex reflection groups, braid groups, Hecke algebras, J. reine angew. Math. 500 (1998), 127-190.

- [C-P] Clifford, A.H. & Preston, G.B.: The algebraic theory of semigroups, Mathematical Surveys and Monographs 7 (American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI,1961).
- [D] Deligne, Pierre: Les immeubles des tresses généralizé, Inventiones Math. 17 (1972) 273-302.
- [D-P] Dehornoy, Patric & Paris, Luis: Gaussian groups and Garside groups, two generalization of Artin groups, Proc. London Math. Soc.(3) 79 (1999) 569-604.
- [G] Garside, F.A.: The braid groups and other groups, Quart. J. Math. Oxford, 2 Ser. 20 (1969), 235-254.
- [H-L] Hamm, Helmute & Lê Dũng Tráng: Un théorème de Zariski du type de Lefschetz, Ann. Sci. École Norm. Sup. 6 (1973) 317-366.
- [I1] Ishibe, Tadashi: The fundamental groups of the complements of free divisors in three variables, Master thesis (in japanese), 2007 March, RIMS, Kyoto university.
- [I2] Ishibe, Tadashi: On the monoid in the fundamental group of type B_{ii}, in preparation.
- [K] Kashiwara, Masaki: Quasi-unipotent constructible sheaves, Jour. of the faculty of Science, The university of Tokyo, Sec. IA. Vol. 28, No. 3 (1982), pp. 757-773.
- [S1] Saito, Kyoji: Theory of logarithmic differential forms and logarithmic vector fields, J. Fac. Sci. Univ. Tokyo Sect. IA Math. 27(1981), 265-291.
- [S2] Saito, Kyoji: On a Linear Structure of the Quotient Variety by a Finite Reflexion Group, Publ. Res. Inst. Math. Sci. 29 (1993) no. 4, 535-579.
- [S3] Saito, Kyoji: Uniformization of Orbifold of a Finite Reflection Group, Frobenius Manifolds Quantum Cohomology and Singularities, A Publication of the Max-Planck-Institute f040010137109-1p.jpgor Mathematics, Bonn, 265-320.
- [S4] Saito, Kyoji: Extended affine root systems I (Coxeter transformations), Publ. RIMS, Kyoto univ., Vol. 21, 1985, pp. 75-179, II (Flat invariants), Publ. RIMS, Kyoto Univ., Vol. 26, 1990, 15-78, III (Elliptic Weyl groups), Publ. RIMS, Kyoto Univ., Vol. 33, 1997, pp. 301-329.
- [S-I1] Saito, Kyoji & Ishibe, Tadashi: Monoids in the fundamental groups of the complement of lgarithmic free divisor in C³, RIMS Kokyu-roku, 2009, arXiv: math.GR/0911.3305v1.
- [S-I2] Saito, Kyoji & Ishibe, Tadashi: Fundamental groups of the complement of free divisors, in preparation.
- [Se1] Sekiguchi, Jiro: A Classification of Weighted Homogeneous Saito Free Divisors, J. Math.Soc. of Japan vol.61, 2009, pp.1071-1095.
- [Se2] Sekiguchi, Jiro: Three Dimensional Saito Free Divisors and Singular Curves, Journal of Siberian Federal University. Mathematics & Physics 1 (2008) 33-41.
- [T-S] Tokunaga, Hiroo and Shimada, Ichiro: Algebraic curves and Singularities (Part I: Fundamental Groups and Singularities), Kyoritsu, 2001. Published in Japanese.