Recent studies on supernova nucleosynthesis with X-ray observations of supernova remnants
 Hiroya Yamaguchi (RIKEN) 2009/08/06 Seminar @ IPMU

Self introduction

Affiliation: The Institute of Physical and Chemical Research (RIKEN) Position: Special Postdoctoral Researcher Research interests:

- X-ray studies of supernova remnants
- X-ray instrument development

Talk Plan

Introduction

- Supernova (SN) and nucleosynthesis
- Supernova remnant (SNR)
- X-ray observation
 - What information can we obtain from observation?
 - Current X-ray missions and their characteristics
- Observation results on Type Ia SNRs
 - Layered composition structure of SN ejecta
 - Detection of low-abundance elements (Cr, Mn, and Ni)
- Conclusion

Motivation for observation of SNRs

As origins of heavy elements



Immediately after the beginning of the universe : only H and He

Present universe : various heavy elements exist abundantly Synthesized in stars and ejected by supernova explosion Nucleosynthesis (nuclear fusion) is performed actively just at the moment of SN explosion as well

Details of stellar and SN nucleosynthesis are very important to understand chemical evolution of the universe

Motivation for observation of SNRs

As origins of cosmic-rays



Major component of the Galaxy



sync. X-rays from VHE electrons

SN1006 (X-ray)

- The origin and acceleration mechanism had been unknown since the discovery
- CR (E < 10^{15.5} eV) are believed to be accelerated at shock front of SNRs

Maximum energy? Detailed mechanism of acceleration?

Supernovae and their progenitors

Supernovae

Explosion of stars at the end of their lifetime Occur every ~30yrs in our Galaxy

Classification

Traditionally based on their optical spectra near maximum light and light curves

NGC4526 galaxy

SN1994D



But, not reflect differences in progenitors



Supernovae and their progenitors



Nuclear reaction energy $\sim 10^{51} \, \text{ergs}$

Type Ia SNe

- Observed in all types of galaxies
- Not concentrated in spiral arms

Thermonuclear explosion of C+O white dwarf in binary system (M= $3 \sim 8M_{\odot}$ @main seq.)

- Mass accretion from companion increases the WD mass to Chandrasekhar limit
- Carbon burning is ignited at the core
 Nuclear fusion advances explosively

2 Type II/Ib/Ic SNe

- Observed in arms of spiral galaxies Core-collapse of massive star (M>8M_ $_{\odot}$) with onion-like abundance structure

- Photo-disintegration of Fe-core leads to gravitational collapse
- Stellar remnant (NS or BH) is left

Gravitational energy ~ 10^{53} ergs (99% neutrino, 1% kinetic energy)

Expected nucleosynthesis yield

Abundance ratio of heavy elements has been calculated numerically But a lot of problems still remain since the details of explosion mechanisms have not been solved.



Examples of theoretical calculation Type Ia (W7 model): Nomoto+84 Type II ($25M_{\odot}$): Thielemann+96 Distribution of ejecta?explosion velocity of ejecta?

 Mixing effect due to instability during the explosion or progenitor's metallicity affect the abundance or distribution of ejecta?

Origin of Au, Ag, U ?

Observations would help us to solve these problems!

How can we investigate nucleosynthesis yield?

Optical observation of SN explosion
 Many SN events can be observed

- Inside is optically thick due to a high density
- Have to observe many times to obtain information of deeper region

2 X-ray observation of SN remnant (SNR) Hot ($\sim 10^7$ K) thin (< 1cm⁻³) thermal plasma

- Ejecta in entire SNR can be directly observed in X-ray at one time
- K-shell emissions from major heavy elements are included in X-ray band

Young SNRs

- "SN explosion magnified by microscope"3D information (asymmetry)
- Provide X-rays for $>10^4$ yrs after explosion



Supernova remnants and X-ray emission

Ejecta expand supersonically and form shock wave by interacting with ISM

Shock heating = energy conversion from kinetic energy to thermal energy $(3/16)x(\mu V^2/2) = k_BT$ $kT \sim 10^7 K \sim 1 keV$ for V ~ 1000km/s Forward shock sweeps up ISM Reverse shock compresses ejecta Matter is hot enough to emit X-ray between the two shock waves

Cassiopeia A



Optical (DSS) usually faint

X-ray (Chandra) very bright





Talk Plan

Introduction

- Supernova (SN) and nucleosynthesis
- Supernova remnant (SNR)
- X-ray observation
 - What information can we obtain from observation?
 - Current X-ray missions and their characteristics
- Observation results on Type Ia SNRs
 - Layered composition structure of SN ejecta
 - Detection of low-abundance elements (Cr, Mn, and Ni)
- Conclusion

What can we measure with X-ray?

Mechanism of measurement

Converted

• Time \Rightarrow Light curve

Detector (CCD)

Position ⇒ Image

X-ray

photon

Energy ⇒ Spectrum

"Images of specific energies" and/or "Spectra of specific regions" are available

proportional to

Number of electrons is

the incident X-ray energy

Chandra images and spectra of Type II SNR Cassiopeia A (Vink+04)



Current X-ray missions and their performances



Current X-ray missions and their performances



Talk Plan

Introduction

- Supernova (SN) and nucleosynthesis
- Supernova remnant (SNR)
- X-ray observation
 - What information can we obtain from observation?
 - Current X-ray missions and their characteristics
- Observation results on Type Ia SNRs
 - Layered composition structure of SN ejecta
 - Detection of low-abundance elements (Cr, Mn, and Ni)
- Conclusion

X-ray images of Type Ia SNRs



Tycho's SNR (SN 1572)



Chandra image (Warren+06)



Sige Sing.



Discovered by Tycho Brahe Brightness : $m_v = -4.0 \sim -4.5 mag$

Light echo spectroscopy "normal" Type Ia SN Absolute brightness: $M_V \sim -19mag$ (extinction $A_V = 1.9mag$) \Rightarrow Distance = 3.8 (2.9-5.3) kpc

Ejecta distribution in Tycho's SNR

XMM-Newton (Decourchelle+01)

Evidence of layered composition structure Fe (high-Z) is stratified toward SNR center with respect to Si and S (lower-Z element)

W7 model for SN Ia (Iwamoto+99)



Qualitatively consistent with theoretical model although it is derived from an 1D simulation

Color: Si, S Contour: Fe



Decourchelle+01

Relative abundances

Relative abundances determined from X-ray spectrum of entire SNR (Hwang+98):

- O, Ne, Si, S, Ar, Ca are broadly consistent with the theoretical model
- Fe is far below the predicted value



Relative abundance



Solid line: W7 model (Nomoto+84)



This discrepancy (b/w data and model) would be due to the fact that the rev shock has not propagated enough into the SNR to shock all of the Fe

Most of the Fe ejecta are too cool to provide enough X-ray emission

Relative abundances



Relative abundance



Solid line: W7 model (Nomoto+84)



This discrepancy (b/w data and model) would be due to the fact that the rev shock has not propagated enough into the SNR to shock all of the Fe

Most of the Fe ejecta are too cool to provide enough X-ray emission

Abundances in the other Type Ia SNRs

Similar evidence for unshocked Fe ejecta is commonly found in the other young Type Ia SNRs
Fe yield are much low compared to those of Type Ia models



Abundances in the other Type Ia SNRs

Similar evidence for unshocked Fe ejecta is commonly found in the other young Type Ia SNRs
Fe yield are much low compared to those of Type Ia models

0509-67.5 (Age~800yr)



SN1006 (Age~1000yr)



UV observation shows the evidence of cold Fe ejecta

FeII absorption lines were detected in the spectra of bgd stars (e.g., Winkler+05)





Recent Suzaku result on Tycho's SNR

Suzaku (Tamagawa+09)

Good energy resolution and high sensitivity

The first discovery of Cr and Mn lines from Type Ia SNRs



Neutron-rich element in Type la SNRs

Cr: 52 Fe (Z=26) $\rightarrow {}^{52}$ Mn (Z=25) $\rightarrow {}^{52}$ Cr (Z=24) Mn: 55 Co (Z=27) $\rightarrow {}^{55}$ Fe (Z=26) $\rightarrow {}^{55}$ Mn (Z=25)

23	24	25	26	27	28
V	Cr	Mn	Fe	Co	Ni

Parent nuclides synthesized in the explosion

Heavy elements which have been detected from Type Ia SNR so far

		No	Ma	Ci	C	٨٣	Ca	Cr	Mn	Fe
	U	ne	™g	51	3	Ar	Ca	Fe	Со	Ni
Proton	8	10	12	14	16	18	20	26	27	28
Neutron	8	10	12	14	16	18	20	26	28	28

Unequal numbers of protons and neutrons (neutron excess) !

To synthesize such elements, progenitor should be rich with neutron But, Type Ia progenitor consists mainly of ${}^{12}C$ (Z=6) and ${}^{16}O$ (Z=8)

How did the neutron excess in the progenitor originate?

⇒ Found in processes during the progenitor's evolution!!

Neutron excess in Type Ia progenitor

- During the progenitor's main seq.,
 C, N, and O which act as catalysts for CNO cycle pile up into ¹⁴N
- ¹⁴N is converted to ²²Ne in He-burning phase through the reactions ${}^{14}N(\alpha, \gamma){}^{18}F(\beta^+, \nu){}^{18}O(\alpha, \gamma){}^{22}Ne$

Elements in Type Ia progenitor (WD)

	С	Ο	Ne
Proton	6	8	10
Neutron	6	8	12

Increases the neutron excess

- CNO cycle takes place efficiently when C, N, and O are abundant
- ⇒ Neutron excess (abundance of ²²Ne) becomes larger when the progenitor's <u>metallicity</u> (initial CNO abundances) is high

H-burning: ⁴He is eventually synthesized from 4 protons



Slowest reaction



Mn/Cr ratio as an initial metallicity tracer

During Type Ia SN explosion: ⁵²Cr and ⁵⁵Mn are synthesized together (as ⁵²Fe and ⁵⁵Co) in incomplete Si burning layer (e.g., Iwamoto+99) The yield of ⁵⁵Mn (neutron-rich nuclide) would be sensitive to the neutron excess due to ²²Ne ⁵²Cr is NOT sensitive to neutron excess

Mn/Cr ratio is an good tracer of the initial progenitor's metallicity!

Mg Si S Ar Ca Cr Mn Fe Only Mn is sensitive to neutron excess!!



Badenes+08 noted a correlation b/w Mn/Cr mass ratio and metallicity Z

 $M_{Mn}/M_{Cr} = 5.3 \times Z^{0.65}$ For the progenitor of Tycho's SN, $(M_{Mn}/M_{Cr} = 0.74 \pm 0.47)$ yields a supersolar metallicity

- Z = 0.048 (0.012-0.099)
- Large uncertainty, but definitely not subsolar (Z<0.01)

Low-abundance element in the other Type Ia SNRs

Kepler's SNR (Tamagawa+, in prep.)

- Suzaku Detected ⁵²Cr, ⁵⁵Mn, and ⁵⁸Ni, for the first time!
- $M_{Mn}/M_{Cr} = 1.7 \pm 0.9$
- -Z = 0.17 (0.9-2.6)
- Higher metallicity than Tycho's SNR
- ⁵⁸Ni is also neutron-excess element





N103B (Yamaguchi+, in prep.)

- SNR in the LMC
- First detection of Cr emission from an extra-Galactic SNR!
- Marginal detection of Mn
- LMC metallicity is ~ 1/3 of the solar
 - \Rightarrow Low value of Mn/Cr is expected
- Follow up observation is coming soon!

Conclusion

- X-ray observations of SNR is ideal method to study the supernova nucleosynthesis
- In Type Ia SNR, Fe is more concentrated toward SNR center with respect to Si and S, suggesting that heavier elements had been synthesized at deeper region in the progenitor
- Most of Fe ejecta in young Type Ia SNR has not been heated by reverse shock
- Recently, Suzaku has successfully detected low-abundance elements (Mn, Cr, Ni) from several Type Ia SNRs
- Mn/Cr mass ratio is useful as a progenitor's metallicity tracer
 - Tycho: Z = 0.048 (0.012 0.099), higher than the solar
 - Kepler: Z = 0.17 (0.9-2.6), higher than Tycho



Appendix

Classification of supernovae



If a optical spectrum lacks absorption lines of H Balmer series, the SN is classified as Type I. Type I SNe are sub-classified according to the presence or absence of the strong SiII absorption at 6150A. Type Ib spectrum contains He lines, while Type Ic has no He lines. Subclasses of Type II SNe are distinguished by the shape of their LC. Ia progenitor is believed to be Chandrasekhar mass WD. The others are massive stars. Type Ib are thought to be massive stars that have lost their H envelope by stellar wind. Wolf-Rayet stars are the possible progenitors.

Shock wave in young SNRs



In the transition phase b/w free exp. and adiabatic phases, interaction with ISM cannot be ignored. The swept-up ISM pushes back on the ejecta. Thus ejecta are decelerated. It causes another shock wave to propagate inward through the ejecta, called as "reverse shock". The ejecta are compressed and heated by the rev shock. The boundary b/w the ISM and ejecta is called "contact discontinuity". Only b/w the two shock waves, the material is hot enough to emit X-rays, and this forms the bright shell of young SNRs. In the central region, ejecta is not hot and still freely expanding. Such ejecta cannot emit X-rays, and

hence its information cannot been received directly.

Shock wave in young SNRs



As shown the figure, not only fwd shock but also rev shock initially propagate out ward (as seen by an outside observer). After M_{ISM} becomes greater than M_{Ei} , the reverse shock propagates back to the centre.

Mn/Cr ratio as an initial metallicity tracer

The yield of 55 Mn (neutron-rich nuclide) is sensitive to the neutron excess 52 Cr and 55 Mn are synthesized together (as 52 Fe and 55 Co)

W7 model for SN Ia (Iwamoto+99)



⁵⁵Co is synthesized at deeper layer. In this region, electron capture can take place during the explosion. (neutron-rich NSE: Brachwitz+00) But, in the most of young Ia SNR, rev shock have not reached into this deeper region. Electron captures are too slow to change the original neutron excess except in the innermost <0.3Mo.</p>

The relation by Badenes+08 removes the inner neutron-rich NSE material. It is also important that the relation is not sensitive to C/O mass ratio of the progenitor.

CNO cycle

- During the progenitor's main seq., C, N, and O act as catalysts for CNO cycle
- The slowest step in the hydrogen-burning CNO cycle is proton capture on to 14N
- This results in all the CNO catalysts piling up into 14N when H-burning is completed



The rate of CNO cycle depends on the temperature and CNO abundances.

Because 14N is the nucleus most resistant to destruction, it will become most abundant as the cycle proceed. If the CNO cycle is in a steady state, the rate of energy release is

 $ε_{CNO} ∝ ρ X_H X_{CNO} T_6^{-2/3} exp (-152/T_6^{1/3}),$ where X_{CNO} is the mass fraction of all isotopes of C,N,O (Arnett 1973)

- The beta+ decay of 18F during He-burning increases the neutron excess of the WD material, definded as $\eta = 1 - \langle Z_A/A \rangle$, resulting in a linear scaling of η with metallicity: $\eta = 0.101 \times Z$ (Timmes+03).

Production of ²²Ne

- During the progenitor's main seq.,
 C, N, and O which act as catalysts for CNO cycle pile up into ¹⁴N
- ¹⁴N is converted to ²²Ne in He-burning phase through the reactions ${}^{14}N(\alpha, \gamma){}^{18}F(\beta^+, \nu){}^{18}O(\alpha, \gamma){}^{22}Ne,$ which increase the neutron excess of WD

Main processes in He-burning phase $\begin{array}{c} 3\ ^{4}He \ \rightarrow \ ^{12}C \\ ^{12}C+\ ^{4}He \ \rightarrow \ ^{16}O \end{array}$

H-burning: ⁴He is eventually synthesized from 4 protons



Slowest step



Emission line from ²²Ne in planetary nebula BD+30 3639 (Murashima+06)



Spectrum



Energy resolution is limited...

Width of Gauss is determined by Poisson distribution (statistical) and electric noise (systematic)





¹⁴N is converted to ²²Ne in He burning phase through the reaction ${}^{14}N(\alpha, \gamma){}^{18}F(\beta^+, \nu){}^{18}O(\alpha, \gamma){}^{22}Ne$



- 超新星残骸からのX線放射過程はとても単純です
 - ・熱的プラズマ (optically thin)
 - ・非熱的(non-Maxwellian)電子

制動輻射(連続スペクトル) 特性X線(輝線スペクトル) シンクロトロンX線(連続)

熱的でない(非熱的な)

現象のX線スペクトル

X線のエネルギ

連続スペクトル 熱的 or 非熱的電子の エネルギー分布がわかる





- 超新星残骸からのX線放射過程はとても単純です
 - ・熱的プラズマ (optically thin)
 - ・非熱的(non-Maxwellian)電子

制動輻射(連続スペクトル) 特性X線(輝線スペクトル) シンクロトロンX線(連続)





輝線スペクトル プラズマに含まれる元素の 種類と量がわかる





ほとんどのエネルギーを可視光で放射

Ia型超新星:明るさ(絶対光度)はどれもほぼ同じ ⁵⁶Ni = 0.6-0.8 M_☉(重力崩壊型より多量)