



Dark Freedom, Early Universe Bounds, and Sky Surveys



Eric Linder

KASI

LBNL & UC Berkeley





STScI

Describing Our Universe





95% of the universe is unknown!





Cosmic acceleration – in the early universe and at present – are fundamental mysteries in understanding our universe.

Copernican Principle / Cosmic Modesty:

- Our galaxy is not the center of the universe.
- Our particles are not the matter/energy of the universe.
- Is our vacuum the vacuum of the universe?
- Is our gravity the gravity of the universe?



Role of Observations





But A, what big teeth you have!

Before we jump into bed with Λ , we should be sure it is not something more beastly.





Cosmic Microwave Background (CMB) radiation gives direct access to high energy physics.

Inflation may happen at the GUT scale ~10¹⁵ GeV, leaving its signatures in Shape of the scalar (density) power spectrum, Amplitude of the tensor (primordial GW) power spectrum, Non-Gaussianity.

The CMB also sees the linear transfer function of density perturbations modes entering the horizon from $z\sim10^5$ to z=0, probing Dark matter.

CMB tests the growth of cosmic structure, probing Dark energy and Neutrino free streaming, through e.g. CMB lensing.





Large scale structure complements the CMB through late time measurements of the growth of clustering.

LSS also has access to smaller scales

- Long lever arm
- Nonlinear amplification of growth
- Within a neutrino free streaming length

CMB + LSS (and CMB x LSS) give strong constraints on inflation and neutrino mass.





We focus first on inflationary parameters in terms of

- Scalar tilt n_s $\Delta_{\mathcal{R}}^{2}(k) = \Delta_{\mathcal{R}}^{2}(k_{0}) \left(\frac{k}{k_{0}}\right)^{n_{s}-1+(\alpha_{s}/2)\ln(k/k_{0})}$
- Running α_s
- Shape of scalar primordial power spectrum
- Tensor/scalar power ratio r

And neutrino parameters

- sum of neutrino masses m_v
- Number of effective relativistic species N_{v,eff}



Is the primordial spectrum a power law, or does inflationary physics have a scale, teaching new high energy physics? e.g. axion monodromy Silverstein & Westphal 2008 BICEP2+Planck disfavor power law.

Plus, late time parameter estimation is affected by assumptions for primordial power spectrum.



Black curves have power law PPS.

Red curves have free PPS.

Dashed curves have m_v=2.5 eV.

Easily seen if assume power law PPS.





Free spline fit to primordial power spectrum has improvement $\Delta\chi^2$ =34, for 18 more parameters.





CMB plus Large Scale Structure work together well to constrain the sum of neutrino masses, probing above and below the neutrino free streaming scale.





Primordial power spectrum (inflation) is convolved with later time transfer function (m_v). Can't separate with single measurement! (CMB has TT, EE, etc.)

Any quantity (dark energy, gravity, neutrino mass) affecting growth will be affected by PPS.

CMB+LSS has excellent complementarity.

Each redshift slice sees the same PPS, convolved with given transfer function scaled by the redshift z.

Tomographic survey (SuMIRE, DESI) will be powerful probe of inflation, as well as "late time" cosmology!







CMB+galaxy measurement improves by ~2 over CMB.

Multiple z measurements (such as H₀) helps further, immunizing vs primordial variation.

uc I utici, Linuci, Misini a 2017	de	Putter,	Linder,	Mishra	2014
-----------------------------------	----	---------	---------	--------	------

PPS	CMB	CMB+BOSS	$\mathrm{CMB}{+}\mathrm{BOSS}{+}H_0$
Power law	$\Sigma m_{\nu} < 0.63$	$\Sigma m_{\nu} < 0.34$	$\Sigma m_{\nu} < 0.19$
Spline	$\Sigma m_{\nu} < 1.9$	$\Sigma m_{\nu} < 0.72$	$\Sigma m_{\nu} < 0.18$

PPS	$CMB+BOSS+H_0$ for N_{eff} free
Power law	$\Sigma m_{\nu} < 0.26, N_{\text{eff}} = 3.59 \pm 0.25$
Spline	$\Sigma m_{\nu} < 0.43, N_{\text{eff}} = 3.92 \pm 0.42$

Since direct H_0 in tension with CMB, get tight constraint, else 0.18 \rightarrow 0.27 (still immunizes).

Further freedom requires further z measurements: Euclid tomography!

BERKELEY LAD

Fit real Planck data (+WP) to *any* deviation from Λ CDM in bins of log a = [-5,-4], [-4,-3.6], [-3.6,-3.2], [-3.2,-2.8], [-2.8,0].

Basically testing standard radiation/matter domination in a model independent way.



Planck will have some sub-1%, model independent constraints on expansion.



BERKELEY CENTER for

10 bands/decade

.....

2 bands/decade

Marginalized over vanilla cosmology parameters





Planck+ bound of <0.9% (95% CL) early dark energy only applies to easiest case.



PCA of Planck data will easily separate the 3 classes into distinct Aether 0.03 Doran-Robbers regions of eigenspace. 0.02 0.01 **PC** 7 pc Dark Radiation 0.00 -2 -0.01 1σ contours for pc -0.02 log a full Planck data -0.03-0.014-0.012-0.010-000 -0.004-0.002 0.000

Samsing, Linder, Smith 2012

14



CMB Lensing



CMB lensing is a noise for primordial B-mode detections, but also a cosmological signal. CMB is a source pattern for weak lensing. Probes z~1-5 effects, e.g. neutrino masses.





15



Ground based experiments (ACTpol, Polarbear, SPTpol) are doing CMB lensing *now*. They strongly improve Planck constraints: m, by 2.6, DE FOM by 6.6





B-mode Lensing



B-mode lensing carries more information than TT smearing. **B-mode lensing detected**



Likelihood

-2

0.5

100

-1.0

0

1.0

А

2.0

3.0

500

1000

17

2000

Neutrino mass effect on deflection field



Strong constraints by combining CMB (early), CMB lensing (mid), and late time probes.

	ωь	ω_c	ω_{ν}	Ω_{de}	n_s	τ	σ_8	w_0	w_a	γ
Fiducial	0.02258	0.1093	0.001596	0.734	0.963	0.086	0.8	-1	0	0.55
$\sigma(\text{Planck})$	0.000137	0.00117	0.00175	0.124	0.00337	0.00426	d	1.10	2.48	d
$\sigma(\text{Planck}+10\text{k})$	0.0000492	0.000682	0.000666	0.042	0.00207	0.00297	d	0.305	0.642	d
Gain	2.78	1.72	2.63	2.95	1.63	1.43	d	3.61	3.86	d

Improve m_v constraint by 2.6, DE FOM by 6.6, m_v - σ_8 FOM (fixing GR) by 5.2.

Large scale structure probes, and distance probes, each add to dark energy knowledge.







Galaxy clustering contains information on:

- Growth evolving amplitude
- Matter/radiation density, H peak turnover
- Distances baryon acoustic oscillations
- Growth rate redshift space distortions
- Neutrino mass, non-Gaussianity, gravity, etc. (and not just CMB+LSS but CMB x LSS)









Near term: CMB+BOSS+DES/SN



Also see Wu+1402.4108 for CMB-S4.



DESI Survey



21



3D map of 50 (Gpc/h)³ volume with 4M Luminous Red Galaxies, 14 M Emission Line Galaxies, 2M Quasars Tomographic surveys of density/velocity field.



Redshift space distortions (RSD) map velocity field along line of sight. Gets at growth rate f, one less integral than growth factor (like H vs d).



 $f = \frac{d \ln D}{d \ln a} \sim \Omega_m(a)^{\gamma}$ Linder 2005

gravitational growth index γ

Hume Feldman





BOSS DR11 galaxy clustering along/transverse to line of sight measures D_A , 1/H, growth rate.



Not just parameters but tests of the framework.

FRW: D_A vs 1/H

General relativity: D_A vs growth

Neutrino mass: suppression of growth

Song et al 2014





Small scale structure (e.g. FOG) is beyond PT form and biases results if uncut.



Results converge for line of sight separations σ_{cut} >40 Mpc/h. Bias enters if keep smaller scales.



Linear theory (Kaiser form) is inaccurate. Simulations allow calibration of RSD into nonlinear regime, where most of the information is.

Analytic form for mapping P^{true}(k,μ)=F(kμ) P^{lin}(k,μ)

$$F(k\mu) = \frac{A}{1+Bk^2\mu^2} + Ck^2\mu^2 \quad \mbox{Kwan, Lewis, Linder 2012}$$



Use simulations to calibrate A, B, C(k,z).





KLL analytic reconstruction form is accurate to ~2% out to k=0.5 h/Mpc for z=0-2.



Kwan, Linder, Lukic 2014 extending to higher precision with suite of 2 Gpc/h, 4096³ simulations.

Vallinotto, Linder, Lukic 2013





Key parameter is a distance ratio, the time delay distance $d_1 d_2$

$$D_{\Delta t} \equiv \frac{a_l a_s}{d_{ls}} \left(1 + z_l\right)$$





The Dark Energy Survey (DES) is underway at CTIO. It covers 5000 sq deg in 5 years. Output: ~800 lensed AGN.

Large Synoptic Survey Telescope (LSST) will start in ~2020 at Cerro Pachon, Chile, covering 20,000 sq deg repeatedly in 10 years. Output: ~8000 lensed AGN.





HSC?





One of the challenges is measuring time delays between images in the presence of 1) noise, 2) gaps, 3) variability, 4) microlensing.





Microlensing is fit simultaneously with the time delay and teaches us about dark matter substructure in the lens galaxy. Strong lensing probes DE and DM.



We use Gaussian Process statistics to find a family of light curves fitting the data, with correlations. Factor of 2 improvement over previous literature.

Real data: accurate and more precise than literature.

Kernel	Δt_{AB}	Δt_{AC}	Δt_{AD}	Δt_{BC}	Δt_{BD}	Δt_{CD}
HE 0435-1223 GP-DRW	-9.5 ± 0.3	-1.9 ± 0.4	-15.6 ± 0.3	8.1 ± 0.3	-6.0 ± 0.3	-13.6 ± 0.4
HE 0435-1223 Lit(1) [3]	-8.4 ± 2.1	-0.6 ± 2.3	-14.9 ± 2.1	7.8 ± 0.8	-6.5 ± 0.7	-14.3 ± 0.8
HE 0435-1223 Lit(2) [27]	-8.8 ± 2.4	-2.0 ± 2.7	-14.7 ± 2.0	6.8 ± 2.7	-5.9 ± 1.7	-12.7 ± 2.5

Hojjati, Kim, Linder 2013



Currently participating in blinded LSST Data Challenge to reach next generation accuracy. Achieved 1% accuracy on first step!





Redshift Drift (seeing the universe expand in our lives: dz/dt₀) known 50 years ago, but is very very challenging. Direct, kinematic probe of acceleration.

New theory + hardware developments.

Use ELGs at low z. Very sensitive in combo with CMB (DE FOM > 1000 for 1%).

Externally Dispersed Interferometers (EDI = FTS +dispersion) can reach dz=10⁻⁹ in 8 hours on Keck.







The CMB is a high energy physics experiment in the sky, capable of reaching GUT or even Planck scale.

We can test for physics scales within inflation PPS by CMB+LSS. Assuming power law PPS has strong influence/bias on late time cosmology.

CMB+LSS has excellent complementarity for robust late time cosmology estimation.

CMB lensing is a maturing probe of Σm_{v} , N_{eff}, DE.

Redshift space distortions, calibrated by simulations, probe growth into nonlinear regime.

Exciting new probes: strong lensing and redshift drift direct acceleration.