# **Pulsars:** excellent systems for testing particle acceleration theories 広谷 幸一 Kouicht HIROTANI 高等理論天文物理学研究中心/清華大 TIARA/ASIAA-NTHU, Taiwan **İPMU March 16, 2009** Crab nebula: Composite image of X-ray [blue] and optical [red]

# **§1** Introduction: Why γ-ray?

Observing the Universe in  $\gamma$ -rays allows us to examine the matter-radiation interactions under extreme conditions (high *T*, high density, strong *B*).

e.g., Oinverse-Compton scatterings in extra-galactic jets,
Ourvature radiation from young neutron stars in Our Galaxy.

γ-ray sky (>100 MeV)



# *§*1 *Introduction: Why* γ*-ray*?

Observing the Universe in  $\gamma$ -rays allows us to examine the matter-radiation interactions under extreme conditions (high *T*, high density, strong *B*).

e.g., Oinverse-Compton scatterings in extra-galactic jets,
Ourvature radiation from young neutron stars in Our Galaxy.

In addition, the Universe is largely transparent to  $\gamma$ -rays.

Small interaction cross sections

direct view into high-energy acceleration processes

Since  $\gamma$ -rays cannot transmit the atmosphere, we have to launch balloons or satellites to observe in  $\gamma$ -ray energies.

# **§1** Introduction: Two y-ray telescopes



The Energetic Gamma-Ray Experiment Telescope (EGRET) aboard Compton Gamma-Ray Observatory (CGRO) has detected 271 steady (not transient) point sources above 30 MeV (1991-1996).

The Large Area Telescope (LAT) aboard Fermi Gamma-Ray Space Telescope is detecting more point sources (2008, Abdo+, Science).

## **§1** Introduction: The $\gamma$ -ray sky

Among those (more than 270) point sources, **pulsars** are the most luminous objects in the  $\gamma$ -ray sky.



## **§1** Introduction: The $\gamma$ -ray sky

#### **Pulsars**:

rapidly rotating, highly magnetized neutrons stars (NS)



The *B* and rotation axes are misaligned.

The beam of light sweep around as NS rotates (e.g., lighthouse).

Pulsars turn on and off as the beam sweeps our line of sight.



# *§*1 $\gamma$ -ray Observations of Pulsars

9 pulsars confirmed above 100 MeV.

Doube-peak pulse profile is common.





Broad-band spectra (pulsed)

- Power peaks in  $\gamma$ -rays
- No pulsed emission above 30 GeV
- High-energy turnover

• Spectrum gets harder as the NS ages. E.g., the **Crab** pulsar shows very soft γ-ray spectrum.

• B1951+32 shows the hardest spectrum.



## **Broad-band spectra** (pulsed)

•High-energy (>100MeV) photons are emitted via curvature process by ultra-relativistic (~10 TeV)  $e^{\pm}$ 's accelerated in pulsar magnetosphere.

 $vF_v$ 



## Broad-band spectra (pulsed)

•High-energy (>100MeV) photons are emitted via **curvature** process by ultra-relativistic (~10TeV)  $e^{\pm}$ 's accelerated in pulsar magnetosphere.

Some of such primary
 γ-rays are absorbed in the
 NS magnetosphere and
 reprocessed in lower
 energies via synchrotron
 process.



# **§1** γ-ray Observations of Pulsars

Among ~1800 known rotation-powered pulsars, only nine have been firmly detected above 100 MeV.

Nevertheless, in spite of their paucity,  $\gamma$ -ray observations are a valuable tool for studying particle acceleration in relativistic energies.

#### Structure of this talk

- **§1** Introduction
- **§**2 Basic mechanisms of particle acceleration
- **§**3 Traditional Emission Models
- *§4 New Gap Theory: Analytical Approach* Hirotani (2008, ApJ 688, L25)
- **§**5 New Gap Theory: Numerical Approach Hirotani (2008, submitted to Open Astronomy)

Pulsar emissions result from electro-dynamical extraction of NS rotational energy.

On the spinning NS surface, EMF is exerted (fig).

This EMF induces the global current, which extracts the rotational energy as Poynting flux.

In the case of pulsars, EMF is available only within the so-called 'open zone'.



A rotating NS magnetosphere can be divided into open and closed zones.

Last-open field lines form the boundary of them.

In the open zone,  $e^{\pm}$ 's escape through the light cylinder as a pulsar wind.

In the closed zone, on the other hand, an  $E_{\parallel}$  would be very quickly screened by the dense plasmas.



Particle acceleration in pulsar magnetospheres

A rotating NS magnetosphere can be divided into open and closed zones.

Last-open field lines form the boundary of them.

In the open zone,  $e^{\pm}$ 's escape through the light cylinder as a pulsar wind.

In the closed zone, on the other hand, an  $E_{\parallel}$  would be very quickly screened by the dense plasmas.



models, particle acceleration takes place only within the open zone.

For typical high-energy pulsars, open zone occupies only a few degrees from B axis on the PC surface.

Available voltage in the open zone:



For typical high-energy pulsars, open zone occupies only a few degrees from B axis on the PC surface.





In a rotating NS magnetosphere, the Goldreich-Julian charge density is induced for a static observer. Decoupling E into  $E_{\perp}$  and  $E_{\parallel}$ , we obtain the Maxwell eq.,

 $\nabla \cdot \left( \mathbf{E}_{\perp} + \mathbf{E}_{\parallel} \right) = 4\pi\rho,$ 

where  $\rho \equiv e(n_+ - n_-)$ , and  $\mathbf{E}_{\perp} \equiv -c^{-1}(\mathbf{\Omega} \times \mathbf{r}) \times \mathbf{B}$ .

Concentrating on the acceleration term,  $\nabla \cdot \mathbf{E}_{\parallel}$ , one obtains

 $\nabla \cdot \mathbf{E}_{\parallel} = 4\pi(\rho - \rho_{\rm GJ}),$ 

where

$$\rho_{\rm GJ} \equiv \frac{1}{4\pi} \nabla \cdot \mathbf{E}_{\perp} = -\frac{\mathbf{\Omega} \cdot \mathbf{B}}{2\pi c}.$$

That is,  $\rho_{GJ}$  is uniquely determined by B-field geometry.

In a rotating NS magnetosphere, the Goldreich-Julian charge density is induced for a static observer.



Next question:

Where is the particle accelerator, in which  $E_{\parallel}$  arises?

Attempts to model high-energy emissions concentrate on three scenarios: pulsed



Particle acceleration in pulsar magnetospheres

•In traditional IG models, energetics and pair cascade spectrum have had success in reproducing observations.

•However, the predicted beam size is too small to produce the wide pulse profiles that are observed.



•In traditional IG models, energetics and pair cascade spectrum have had success in reproducing observations.

•However, the predicted beam size is too small to produce the wide pulse profiles that are observed.

•Arons (1983) first considered high-altitude acceleration region along the last-open field lines: slot-gap model

(to consider emission from NS surface to the outer magnetosphere).



•In traditional IG models, energetics and pair cascade spectrum have had success in reproducing observations.

•However, the predicted beam size is too small to produce the wide pulse profiles that are observed.

•Arons (1983) first considered high-altitude acceleration region along the last-open field lines: slot-gap model

• Muslimov & Harding ('03; '04) and Dyks, Harding & Rudak ('04) widely OP LW1 P1 7 TW2 OP extended the P2 separated 600 Gamma Rays double peaks slot-gap model 400 to explain the 200 widely separated double peaks.

The OG model provides an alternative possibility.

So far, various properties of high-energy emissions such as double-peak light curves with strong bridges, phaseresolved spectra have been explained with OG models. Cheng et al. 2000, ApJ 537, 964 Romani 1996, ApJ 470, 469 Chiang & Romani 1994, ApJ 436, 754 Zhang & Cheng 1997, ApJ 487, 370

The purpose of this talk is to re-examine the SG ans OG models (from the first principles) both analytically and numerically and compare their properties.

#### *§*4 *New Particle Accelerator Theory*

In § 5, we analytically investigate the expected  $\gamma$ -ray fluxes from an OG and a SG.

Hirotani (2008, ApJ 688, L25)

In §6, we numerically re-examine the two models.

Hirotani (2008, Open Astronomy, submitted)

Since photons are emitted along l.o.s. from interval  $2b\rho_c$ , the radiative transfer eq. gives the specific intensity,

$$I_{v} \approx 2b\rho_{c} j_{v} \approx \frac{2}{\pi} \frac{\rho_{c}}{b} N \frac{dP}{dv}$$

$$j_{v}: \text{ emission coefficient}$$

$$N: e^{+}/e^{-} \text{ # density}$$

$$b \sim \gamma^{-1}: \text{ beaming angle}$$

$$dP/dv: \text{ rad. power}/e^{-}$$

$$\rho_{c}: \text{ curvature radius of } B$$

$$O = \frac{2b\rho_{c}}{b}$$

$$O = \frac{2b\rho_{c}}{b}$$

$$O = \frac{b}{b}$$

$$O = \frac{b}{b}$$

$$O = \frac{b}{b}$$

Since photons are emitted along l.o.s. from interval  $2b\rho_c$ , the radiative transfer eq. gives the specific intensity,

$$I_{v} \approx 2b\rho_{c}j_{v} \approx \frac{2}{\pi}\frac{\rho_{c}}{b}N\frac{dP}{dv}$$

For curvature radiation, electrostatic force balance gives

$$v\frac{dP}{dv} = \frac{9\sqrt{3}}{8\pi} ceE_{\parallel} \left(\frac{v}{v_c}\right)^2 \int_{v/v_c}^{\infty} K_{5/3}(\xi) d\xi$$

 $v_c \equiv \frac{3}{4\pi} \frac{c\gamma^3}{\rho_c}$ : characteristic freq. of curvature rad.

Since photons are emitted along l.o.s. from interval  $2b\rho_c$ , the radiative transfer eq. gives the specific intensity,

$$I_{v} \approx 2b\rho_{c}j_{v} \approx \frac{2}{\pi}\frac{\rho_{c}}{b}N\frac{dP}{dv}$$

For curvature radiation, electrostatic force balance gives

$$v\frac{dP}{dv} = \frac{9\sqrt{3}}{8\pi} ce E_{\parallel} \left(\frac{v}{v_c}\right)^2 \int_{v/v_c}^{\infty} K_{5/3}(\xi) d\xi.$$

In a meridionally thin gap ( $f \langle \langle 1 \rangle$ ,

$$E_{\parallel} \approx \frac{f^2}{4} \left( \frac{r_*}{\varpi_L} \right)^3 B_* \frac{\partial (-\kappa B_z / B)}{\partial (s / \varpi_L)} \qquad B_* : \text{ NS surface } B_* : \text{ NS surface } B_* : \text{ NS radius}$$

f parameterizes the gap trans-field thickness.

Using  $I_v$ , we can compute the photon energy flux by

$$vF_v \approx vI_v \frac{\Delta A}{d^2}$$
  
solid

 $\Delta A$ : area from which photons are emitted toward the observer d: distance to the emission point



![](_page_33_Figure_0.jpeg)

We finally obtain the photon energy flux at the spectral peak,  $2 \circ 4$ 

$$(\nu F_{\nu})_{\text{peak}} \approx 0.0450 f^3 \kappa \frac{\mu^2 \Omega^4}{c^3} \frac{1}{d^2}, \quad \kappa \sim 1.$$
  
 $\propto E/d^2$ : spin-down flux

f: fractional gap width  $(f \ll 1 \text{ denotes a thin gap})$ 

It was, therefore, natural that only the highest-spin-down pulsars have been detected with EGRET.

The difference between OG and SG models appears through f,  $\kappa$ , and assumed  $\mu$  (magnetic moment).

$$(\nu F_{\nu})_{\text{peak}} \approx 0.0450 f^3 \kappa \frac{\mu^2 \Omega^4}{c^3} \frac{1}{d^2}$$

Apply this general result to the Crab pulsar ( $\Omega$ =190 rad s<sup>-1</sup>). Hirotani (2008) ApJ 688, L25

(I) For OG model ( $f \sim 0.14$ ,  $\kappa \sim 0.3$ ,  $\mu = 4 \times 10^{30}$  G cm<sup>3</sup>), ( $\nu F_{\nu}$ )<sub>peak</sub> ~  $4 \times 10^{-4}$  MeV s<sup>-1</sup> cm<sup>-2</sup> ~ EGRET flux.

(II) For SG model ( $f \sim 0.04$ ,  $\kappa \sim 0.2$ ), even with a large  $\mu$ ,  $(\nu F_{\nu})_{\text{peak}} \sim 3 \times 10^{-5} (\mu/8 \times 10^{30})^2 \text{ MeV s}^{-1} \text{ cm}^{-2}$ < 0.1 EGRET flux.

Unfortunately, Harding + ('08, ApJ 680, 1378) overestimated the flux more than 5 times because of a programming bug.

We will confirm these analytical conclusions by numerical computations in the next section.

Apply this general result to the Crab pulsar ( $\Omega$ =190 rad s<sup>-1</sup>). Hirotani (2008) ApJ 688, L25

(I) For OG model ( $f \sim 0.14$ ,  $\kappa \sim 0.3$ ,  $\mu = 4 \times 10^{30}$  G cm<sup>3</sup>), ( $\nu F_{\nu}$ )<sub>peak</sub> ~  $4 \times 10^{-4}$  MeV s<sup>-1</sup> cm<sup>-2</sup> ~ EGRET flux.

(II) For SG model ( $f \sim 0.04$ ,  $\kappa \sim 0.2$ ), even with a large  $\mu$ ,  $(\nu F_{\nu})_{\text{peak}} \sim 3 \times 10^{-5} (\mu/8 \times 10^{30})^2 \text{ MeV s}^{-1} \text{ cm}^{-2}$ < 0.1 EGRET flux.

A stationary, self-sustained pair-production cascade in a rotating NS magnetosphere:

![](_page_37_Figure_2.jpeg)

The Poisson equation for the electrostatic potential  $\psi$  is given by

$$-\nabla^2 \psi = 4\pi (\rho - \rho_{\rm GJ}) ,$$
  
$$\partial \Psi$$

where 
$$E_{\parallel} \equiv -\frac{\partial T}{\partial x}$$
,

![](_page_38_Picture_4.jpeg)

$$\rho \equiv e \int_{0}^{\infty} d\Gamma \left[ N_{+}(x,z,\Gamma) - N_{-}(x,z,\Gamma) \right] + \rho_{\text{ion}} ,$$

$$\rho_{\rm GJ} \equiv -\frac{\mathbf{\Omega} \cdot \mathbf{B}}{2\pi c} \quad N_{+}/N_{-}: \text{ distrib. func. of } e^{+}/e^{-}$$
$$\Gamma: \text{ Lorentz factor of } e^{+}/e^{-}$$

Assuming 
$$\partial_t + \Omega \partial_{\phi} = 0$$
, we solve the  $e^{\pm}$ 's Boltzmann eqs.

$$\frac{\partial N_{\pm}}{\partial t} + \vec{v} \cdot \nabla N_{\pm} + \left( e\vec{E}_{\parallel} + \frac{\vec{v}}{c} \times \vec{B} \right) \cdot \frac{\partial N_{\pm}}{\partial \vec{p}} = S_{IC} + \int \alpha_{v} dv \int \frac{I_{v}}{hv} d\omega$$

together with the radiative transfer equation,

$$\frac{dI_{v}}{dl} = -\alpha_{v}I_{v} + j_{v}$$

 $N_{\pm}$ : positronic/electronic spatial # density, $E_{\parallel}$ : mangnetic-field-aligned electric field, $S_{\rm IC}$ : ICS re-distribution function,  $d\omega$ . solid angle element, $I_{\rm v}$ : specific intensity,l: path length along the ray $\alpha_{\rm v}$ : absorption coefficient, $j_{\rm v}$ : emission coefficient

Specify the three parameters: (period, P, is known.)

- magnetic inclination (e.g.,  $\alpha_{inc}=45^{\circ}, 75^{\circ}$ ),
- magnetic dipole moment of NS (e.g.,  $\mu = 4 \times 10^{30} \text{G cm}^3$ )
- neutron-star surface temperature (e.g.,  $kT_{NS}$ =50 eV)

Solve Poisson eq. + Boltzmann eqs. in 6-D phase space (i.e., 3-D config. + 3-D mom. space) + RTE.

I first solved (Hirotani '08, Open Astron., submitted)

- gap geometry,
- acceleration electric field distribution,
- particle density and energy spectrum,
- $\gamma$ -ray flux and energy spectrum,
- by specifying these three parameters.

#### I applied the theory to the Crab pulsar.

3-D distribution of the particle accelerator (i.e., highenergy emission zone) is solved from the Poisson eq.

![](_page_42_Figure_2.jpeg)

The gap activity is controlled by  $f^3$ . meridional thickness,  $f = f(s, \phi)$ . [ $\phi$ : magnetic azimuth]

Previous models: assume or estimate f by dim. analysis. ■ This work: solve *f* from the basic eqs. in 3-D mag. sphere.

surface

![](_page_43_Figure_3.jpeg)

s, distance along field line / light cylinder rad.

Intrinsic quantities (e.g., gap 3-D geometry,  $f, E_{\parallel}, e^{\pm}$  distribution functions, specific intensity at each point) of an OG is self-consistently solved if we give <u>*B*</u> inclination, <u>NS magnetic moment, NS surface temperature</u>, without introducing any artificial assumptions.

If we additionally give the <u>distance</u> and observer's <u>viewing angle</u>, we can predict the luminosity, pulse profiles, and the photon spectrum in each pulse phase.

Photons are emitted along the local B field lines (in the co-rotating frame) by relativistic beaming and propagate

in a hollow cone.

The hollow cone emission is projected on the 2-D propagation directional plane.

![](_page_45_Figure_4.jpeg)

![](_page_45_Figure_5.jpeg)

one NS rotation

Photons emitted at smaller azimuth arrives earlier.

Photons are emitted along the local B field lines (in the co-rotating frame) by relativistic beaming and propagate

in a hollow cone.

The hollow cone emission is projected on the 2-D propagation directional plane.

If we specify the observer's viewing angle, we obtain the pulse profile.

![](_page_46_Figure_5.jpeg)

Predicted spectra reproduce observations, if we assume appropriate viewing angle (e.g.,  $\sim 100^{\circ}$ ).

Fig.) OG prediction of Crab  $VF_{\nu}$  spectra solid: un-abs. primary dashed: un-abs. 2ndary red: to be observed, prim.+2<sup>nd</sup>+3<sup>rd</sup>

![](_page_47_Figure_3.jpeg)

Another example: the case of the CTA 1 pulsar

J0007+7303

About 3 times smaller flux than Fermi's.

ICS component may be detected above TeV.

![](_page_48_Figure_5.jpeg)

Fig. Phase-averaged spectrum of CTA1 pulsar at viewing angles=100°.

In short, the solution (coincidentally) corresponds to a quantitative extension of the previous OG model. The solution is roughly consistent with observations.

How about the **SG** model?

To obtain the solution that corresponds to the SG model, we must introduce two additional assumptions:

(1) give *f* (e.g., ~0.04), instead of solve it.
(2) modify the Goldreich-Julian charge density by hand

geometrically defined from the first principles; thus, cannot be modified conveniently...

The Slot-gap model reproduces the pulse profile, as predicted by Harding + (2008).

Double peaks are also formed in the SG model.

![](_page_50_Figure_3.jpeg)

The Slot-gap model reproduces the pulse profile, as predicted by Harding + (2008).

However, the predicted photon flux is too small.

![](_page_51_Figure_3.jpeg)

photon energy [MeV]

Note that this result is consistent with analytic one (§ 5),  $2 \times 10^{-5}$  MeV s<sup>-1</sup> cm<sup>-2</sup>.

Fig. Phase-averaged SG spectrum for four discrete viewing angles, 90°, 100°, 110°, and 120°.

#### Summary

High-energy emissions from pulsar magnetospheres are first solved from the set of Maxwell (div $E=4\pi\rho$  only) and Boltzmann eqs., if we specify *P*, *dP/dt*,  $\alpha_{incl}$ ,  $kT_{NS}$ . We no longer have to assume the gap geometry,  $E_{\parallel}$ ,  $e^{\pm}$  distribution functions. (*B* field  $\leftarrow$  vacuum rotating dipole solution)

The obtained solution for the Crab pulsar corresponds to a quantitative extension of the previous, phenomenological OG models, and qualitatively reproduces the observations in IR-VHE.

SG model can account for less than 10% of the observed Crab  $\gamma$ -ray flux.

The same scheme can be applied for arbitrary rotationpowered pulsars.

#### Problematic assumption in SG model

The Goldreich-Julian charge density is uniquely given by the B field geometry, and not allowed to change it artificially.

$$\rho_{\rm GJ} \approx -\frac{\mathbf{\Omega} \cdot \mathbf{B}}{2\pi c} \quad \text{(Newtonian)}$$

$$\rho_{\rm GJ} = \frac{c^2}{4\pi \sqrt{-g}} \partial_{\mu} \left( \frac{\sqrt{-g}}{\rho_w^2} g^{\mu\nu} g_{\varphi\varphi} \left( \mathbf{\Omega} - \omega \right) F_{\varphi\nu} \right) \quad \text{(GR)}$$

It is derived from the first principle, div $E=4\pi\rho$  and the assumption,  $F_{\mu t} + \Omega F_{\mu \varphi} = -\partial_{\mu} \Psi(r, \theta, \varphi - \Omega t)$ .

![](_page_54_Figure_0.jpeg)

#### Problematic assumption in SG model

The Goldreich-Julian charge density is uniquely given by the B field geometry, and not allowed to change it artificially.

$$\rho_{\rm GJ} \approx -\frac{\mathbf{\Omega} \cdot \mathbf{B}}{2\pi c} \quad \text{(Newtonian)}$$

$$\rho_{\rm GJ} = \frac{c^2}{4\pi \sqrt{-g}} \partial_{\mu} \left( \frac{\sqrt{-g}}{\rho_w^2} g^{\mu\nu} g_{\varphi\varphi} \left( \mathbf{\Omega} - \boldsymbol{\omega} \right) F_{\varphi\nu} \right) \quad \text{(GR)}$$

However, in the SG model, they modify  $\rho_{\rm GJ}$ /B by hand.

## **§1** Introduction: The $\gamma$ -ray sky

The *Large Area Telescope* (20 MeV – 300 GeV) aboard the *Fermi Gamma-Ray Space Telescope*.

![](_page_56_Figure_2.jpeg)

![](_page_56_Picture_3.jpeg)

LAT PSF ~ 0.1° @ 1 GeV FOV ~ 2.5 ster sensitivity ~ 30\*EGRET

## **§1** Introduction: The γ-ray sky

The *Large Area Telescope* (20 MeV – 300 GeV) aboard the *Fermi Gamma-Ray Space Telescope*.

![](_page_57_Picture_2.jpeg)

![](_page_57_Picture_3.jpeg)

![](_page_58_Picture_0.jpeg)

**Pulsars** are the most luminous objects in the  $\gamma$ -ray sky.

![](_page_58_Figure_2.jpeg)

## **§1** Introduction: CGRO observations

 $\gamma$ -ray pulsars emit radiation in a wide frequency range:

![](_page_59_Figure_2.jpeg)

Thompson 2003, astro-ph/0312272

## Compton Gamma Ray Observatory

![](_page_60_Picture_1.jpeg)

# Light curves: total vs. hard γ-rays

![](_page_61_Figure_1.jpeg)

#### *§*3 High-energy emission from pulsars

However, their inner-slot-gap model (outward extension of the IG model) predicts a negative  $E_{\parallel}$  when  $\Omega \cdot \mu > 0$  $E_{\parallel} < 0$  induces an opposite gap current from the global current flow patterns. magnetic field lines /

![](_page_62_Figure_2.jpeg)

**§3** High-energy emission from pulsars

Inner gap: near the magnetic axis Outer-slot gap: near the last-open field line

![](_page_63_Figure_2.jpeg)

To solve the set of Maxwell & Boltzmann equations, we must impose appropriate BCs.

![](_page_64_Figure_2.jpeg)

Assume that...

inner boundary
= stellar surface

lower boundary = last-open field line

![](_page_65_Figure_1.jpeg)

To solve the Poisson eq. for electrostatic potential  $\Psi$ , we impose

$$\Psi = 0$$
 at inner, lower, upper BDs  
 $\frac{\partial \Psi}{\partial x} = 0$  at outer BD

gap

To solve particle/ $\gamma$ -ray Boltzmann eqs., we assume that e<sup>-</sup>/e<sup>+</sup>/ $\gamma$ -rays are not injected across the **inner boundary** 

 $N_{\perp}(x^{\text{in}}, z, \Gamma) = 0$ 

$$G(x^{\text{in}}, z, E_{\gamma}, \theta_{\gamma}) = 0$$
, where  $0 < \theta_{\gamma} < \pi/2$  (outgoing)

![](_page_67_Figure_1.jpeg)

That is, no particle/ $\gamma$ -ray injection across the BD.