Modular Symmetry in Flavors

Morimitsu Tanimoto

Niigata University

November 15, 2018 Kavli IPMU seminar

Collaborated with T. Kobayashi, N. Omoto, Y. Shimizu K. Takagi and T. Tatsuishi

1

Outline of my talk

- I Introduction
- 2 Modular Group
- **3** Modular A_4 invariance in Flavor
- 4 Modular S₃ and S₄ Symmetries
- 5 Summary

1 Introduction

We have a big question since the discovery of Muon

"Who orderd that ?" 1937 Isidor Issac Rabi

What is the principle to control flavors of quarks/leptons?

The precise measurements of CKM mixing angles and CP violating phase of quarks established the SM (3 families).

Now, the neutrino oscillation experiments are going on observation of lepton mixing angles precisely. Furthremore, CP violation of lepton sector is within reach @T2K and Nova experiments T2HK, DUNE.

It may be an important clue for Beyond SM (flavor).

Symmetry Approach

One of the few approaches to flavors, but with several obstacles

Predictivity ? Introduce gauge singlet scalars (flavons) High number of free parameters in effective Lagrangian ☆Lowest order Lagrangian + higher dimensional operators ☆spontaneous breaking in scalar sector

Vacuum alignment of flavons (Direction of breaking symmetry)?



Feruglio FLASY2018

Prototype of A₄ Flavor model



C1: 1	h=1
C3: S, T^2ST , TST^2	h=2
C4: T, ST, TS, STS	h=3
$C4': T^2 ST^2 T^2 S ST^2 S$	h=3

	h	χ_1	$\chi_{1'}$	$\chi_{1''}$	χ_3
C_1	1	1	1	1	3
C_3	2	1	1	1	-1
C_4	3	1	ω	ω^2	0
$C_{4'}$	3	1	ω^2	ω	0

Irreducible representations: 1, 1', 1", 3 The minimum group containing triplet without doublet.

Multiplication rule of A_4 group

Irreducible representations: 1, 1', 1", 3

$$S = \frac{1}{3} \begin{pmatrix} -1 & 2 & 2\\ 2 & -1 & 2\\ 2 & 2 & -1 \end{pmatrix}, \quad T = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0\\ 0 & \omega^2 & 0\\ 0 & 0 & \omega \end{pmatrix}; \quad \omega = e^{2\pi i/3} \quad \text{for triplet}$$

$$\begin{pmatrix} a_1 \\ a_2 \\ a_3 \end{pmatrix}_{\mathbf{3}} \otimes \begin{pmatrix} b_1 \\ b_2 \\ b_3 \end{pmatrix}_{\mathbf{3}} = \underbrace{(a_1b_1 + a_2b_3 + a_3b_2)_{\mathbf{1}}}_{\mathbf{3}} \oplus (a_3b_3 + a_1b_2 + a_2b_1)_{\mathbf{1}'} \\ \oplus (a_2b_2 + a_1b_3 + a_3b_1)_{\mathbf{1}''} \\ \oplus \frac{1}{3} \begin{pmatrix} 2a_1b_1 - a_2b_3 - a_3b_2 \\ 2a_3b_3 - a_1b_2 - a_2b_1 \\ 2a_2b_2 - a_1b_3 - a_3b_1 \end{pmatrix}_{\mathbf{3}} \oplus \frac{1}{2} \begin{pmatrix} a_2b_3 - a_3b_2 \\ a_1b_2 - a_2b_1 \\ a_3b_1 - a_1b_3 \end{pmatrix}_{\mathbf{3}}$$

A₄ invariant Majorana neutrino mass term

$$(LL)_{1} = L_{1}L_{1} + L_{2}L_{3} + L_{3}L_{2}$$

3 x 3



 A_4 invariant

Effective Lagrangian with A_4 flavor symmetry

Flavor symmetry A₄ is broken by flavon (SU₂ singlet scalors) VEV's.
Flavor symmetry A₄ controls Yukaw couplings
among leptons and flavons with special vacuum alignments.

Consider the minimal number of flavons in A_4 model

G. Altarelli, F. Feruglio, Nucl.Phys. B720 (2005) 64

A4 triplets $L(L_e,L_\mu,L_ au)$

7

flavons

$$\phi_
u(\phi_{
u1},\phi_{
u2},\phi_{
u3}) \ \phi_E(\phi_{E1},\phi_{E2},\phi_{E3})$$

couples to neutrino sector

couples to charged lepton sector

A₄ singlets $e_R: \mathbf{1}$ $\mu_R: \mathbf{1}$ " $\tau_R: \mathbf{1}'$

Leptons

Mass matrices are given by A_4 invariant Yukawa couplings with flavons $L = \gamma LL \phi_v H_u H_u / \Lambda^2 + y_e Le^c \phi_E H_d / \Lambda + y_\mu L\mu^c \phi_E H_d / \Lambda + y_\tau L\tau^c \phi_E H_d / \Lambda$ $3_L \times 3_L \times 3_{flavon} \rightarrow 1$, $3_L \times 1_R^{(')(")} \times 3_{flavon} \rightarrow 1$ Majoran neutrino Charged lepton

Flavor symmetry A_4 is broken by VEV of flavons

$$3_{L} \times 3_{L} \times 3_{flavon} \to 1 \qquad \qquad 3_{L} \times 1_{R}(1_{R}', 1_{R}'') \times 3_{flavon} \to 1 \\ m_{\nu LL} \sim (y) \begin{pmatrix} 2\langle \phi_{\nu 1} \rangle & -\langle \phi_{\nu 3} \rangle & -\langle \phi_{\nu 2} \rangle \\ -\langle \phi_{\nu 3} \rangle & 2\langle \phi_{\nu 2} \rangle & -\langle \phi_{\nu 1} \rangle \\ -\langle \phi_{\nu 2} \rangle & -\langle \phi_{\nu 1} \rangle & 2\langle \phi_{\nu 3} \rangle \end{pmatrix} \qquad m_{E} \sim \begin{pmatrix} y_{e} \langle \phi_{E1} \rangle & y_{e} \langle \phi_{E3} \rangle & y_{e} \langle \phi_{E2} \rangle \\ y_{\mu} \langle \phi_{E1} \rangle & y_{\mu} \langle \phi_{E3} \rangle \\ y_{\tau} \langle \phi_{E3} \rangle & y_{\tau} \langle \phi_{E2} \rangle & y_{\tau} \langle \phi_{E1} \rangle \end{pmatrix}$$

Residual symmetries lead to specific Vacuum Alingnments $Z_{2} (1, S) \text{ in neutrinos} \qquad \langle \phi_{\nu 1} \rangle = \langle \phi_{\nu 2} \rangle = \langle \phi_{\nu 3} \rangle$ $Z_{3} (1, T, T^{2}) \text{ in charged leptons} \qquad \langle \phi_{E2} \rangle = \langle \phi_{E3} \rangle = 0$ $\Rightarrow \langle \phi_{\nu} \rangle \sim (1, 1, 1)^{T}, \qquad \langle \phi_{E} \rangle \sim (1, 0, 0)^{T} \qquad S \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 1 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 1 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix}, \qquad T \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}$

 m_E is a diagonal matrix, on the other hand, m_{vLL} is

$$m_{\nu LL} \sim 3y \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} - y \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$$

Rank 2

two generated masses and one massless neutrinos ! (0, 3y, 3y) Flavor mixing is not fixed !

Z_2 (1,S) is preserved

Adding A_4 singlet flavon $\xi : 1 \implies$ flavor mixing matrix is fixed. $\mathbf{3}_{\mathrm{L}} \times \mathbf{3}_{\mathrm{L}} \times \mathbf{1}_{\mathrm{flavon}} \rightarrow \mathbf{1}$ G. Altarelli, F. Feruglio, Nucl. Phys. B720 (2005) 64 $m_{\nu LL} \sim y_1 \begin{pmatrix} 2\langle \phi_{\nu 1} \rangle & -\langle \phi_{\nu 3} \rangle & -\langle \phi_{\nu 2} \rangle \\ -\langle \phi_{\nu 3} \rangle & 2\langle \phi_{\nu 2} \rangle & -\langle \phi_{\nu 1} \rangle \\ -\langle \phi_{\nu 2} \rangle & -\langle \phi_{\nu 1} \rangle & 2\langle \phi_{\nu 2} \rangle \end{pmatrix} + y_2 \langle \xi \rangle \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$ $\langle \phi_{\nu 1} \rangle = \langle \phi_{\nu 2} \rangle = \langle \phi_{\nu 3} \rangle$, which preserves S symmetry. $m_{\nu LL} = 3a \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} - a \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 & 1 \end{pmatrix} + b \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$ Flavor mixing is determined: Tri-bimaximal mixing

This is a minimal framework of A_4 symmetry predicting mixing angles and masses.

Prototype A_4 flavor model should be modified !

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Triplet flavon} & 1 \text{ flavon} \quad \text{Additional 1' flavon} \\ M_{\nu} &= a \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} + b \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 & 1 \end{pmatrix} + c \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} + d \underbrace{\begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}}_{1 & 0 & 0} \\ a &= \frac{y_{\phi_{\nu}}^{\nu} \alpha_{\nu} v_{u}^{2}}{\Lambda}, \quad b &= -\frac{y_{\phi_{\nu}}^{\nu} \alpha_{\nu} v_{u}^{2}}{3\Lambda}, \quad c &= \frac{y_{\xi}^{\nu} \alpha_{\xi} v_{u}^{2}}{\Lambda}, \quad d &= \frac{y_{\xi'}^{\nu} \alpha_{\xi'} v_{u}^{2}}{\Lambda} \quad a &= -3b \end{aligned}$$
$$\begin{aligned} M_{\nu} &= V_{\text{tri-bi}} \begin{pmatrix} a + c - \frac{d}{2} & 0 & \frac{\sqrt{3}}{2}d \\ 0 & a + 3b + c + d & 0 \\ \frac{\sqrt{3}}{2}d & 0 & a - c + \frac{d}{2} \end{pmatrix} V_{\text{tri-bi}}^{T} \end{aligned} \end{aligned} \qquad V_{\text{tri-bi}} = \begin{pmatrix} \frac{2}{\sqrt{6}} & \frac{1}{\sqrt{3}} & 0 \\ -\frac{1}{\sqrt{6}} & \frac{1}{\sqrt{3}} & -\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \\ -\frac{1}{\sqrt{6}} & \frac{1}{\sqrt{3}} & \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \end{pmatrix} \end{aligned}$$

Predictions are consistent with the data of mixing angles for both normal and inverted mass hierarchies.

Predictability is reduced because of additional parameters.



Prediction CP violating phase by using sum rules.

Another aspect of A_4 model building

New Approach

Flavor symmetry comes from a finite subgroup of the modular group.

Flavor symmetry acts non-linealy (Modular function).

Lepton masses and mixing depend on a modulus T, which is selected by some unknown mechanism.

2 Modular Group

What is the origin of finite groups ?

It is well known that the superstring theory on certain compactifications lead to non-Abelian finite groups.

Indeed, torus compactification leads to Modular symmetery, which includes S_3 , A_4 , S_4 , A_5 as its subgroup.

R.Toorop, F.Feruglio, C.Hagedorn, arXiv:1112.1340; F.Feruglio, arXiv:1706.08749; A₄ J.C.Criado, F.Feruglio, arXiv:1807.01125; A₄ J.T.Penedo, S.T.Petcov, arXiv:1806.11040; S₄ T.Kobayashi, K.Tanaka, T.H.Tatsuishi, arXiv:1803.10391; S₃ T.Kobayashi, N.Omoto, Y.Shimizu, K.Takagi, M.T, T.H.Tatsuishi, arXiv:1808.03012; A₄

P.P. Novichkov, J. T. Penedo, S. T. Petcov, A. V. Titov, arXiv : 1811.04933: S₄



We get 4D effective Lagrangian by integrating out over 6D.

$$S = \int d^4x d^6y \, \mathcal{L}_{10D} \to \int d^4x \, \mathcal{L}_{eff}$$

$$\mathcal{L}_{eff} \text{ depends on the structure of}$$

 $\geq 4D$ effective theory depends on internal space

2D torus (T^2) is equivalent to parallelogram with identification of confronted sides.



Two-dimensional torus T^2 is obtained as $T^2 = \mathbb{R}^2 / \Lambda$ Λ is two-dimensional lattice

The shape of torus is represented by a modulus $\tau \in \mathbb{C}$.

$$\overbrace{\tau = \tau_1}^{\bullet} \qquad \overbrace{\tau = \tau_2}^{\bullet}$$

The different value of au realize the different shape of T^2

$$\mathcal{L}_{eff} \text{ depends on } \tau. \quad e.g. \mathcal{L}_{eff} \supset Y(\tau)_{ij} \phi \overline{\psi_i} \psi_j + \cdots$$

> 4D effective theory depends on a modulus τ

The different value of τ realize the different shape of T^2



However,

there are specific transformations of τ which don't change T^2

Modular transformation

The shape of a torus $T^2 \simeq$ The shape of a lattice on \mathbb{C} -plane



 $(\mathbf{x},\mathbf{y}) \sim (\mathbf{x},\mathbf{y}) + n_1 \alpha_1 + n_2 \alpha_2$

 $\mathcal{T} = \alpha_2 / \alpha_1$ is a modulus parameter (complex).

The same lattice is spanned by other bases under the transformation

$$\left(\begin{array}{c} \alpha_2'\\ \alpha_1' \end{array}\right) = \left(\begin{array}{cc} a & b\\ c & d \end{array}\right) \left(\begin{array}{c} \alpha_2\\ \alpha_1 \end{array}\right)$$

ad-bc=1 a,b,c,d are integer SL(2,Z)

17

$$\begin{pmatrix} \alpha'_{2} \\ \alpha'_{1} \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \alpha_{2} \\ \alpha_{1} \end{pmatrix}$$

$$\mathbf{\tau} = \mathbf{\alpha}_{2} / \mathbf{\alpha}_{1} \qquad \mathbf{\tau} \longrightarrow \tau' = \frac{a\tau + b}{c\tau + d} \qquad \text{Modular transformation}$$

Modular transf. does not change the lattice (torus)



4D effective theory (depends on τ) must be invariant under modular transf. The modular transformation is generated by S and T .

$$\begin{aligned} \tau \longrightarrow \tau' = \frac{a\tau + b}{c\tau + d} \\ S: \tau \longrightarrow -\frac{1}{\tau} \\ \text{duality} \end{aligned} \qquad T: \tau \longrightarrow \tau + 1 \\ \text{Dicrete shift symmetry} \\ \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ -1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \\ \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 1 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \\ \hline \alpha_{1} & \alpha_{2} & T \\ \hline \alpha_{2} & \alpha_{1} \\ \hline \alpha_{1} & \alpha_{2} & T \\ \hline \alpha_{2} & \alpha_{1} \\ \hline \alpha_{1} & \alpha_{2} & T \\ \hline \alpha_{2} & \alpha_{1} \\ \hline \alpha_{1} & \alpha_{2} & \alpha_{1} \\ \hline \alpha_{1} & \alpha_{2} & \alpha_{1} \\ \hline \alpha_{1} & \alpha_{2} & \alpha_{1} \\ \hline \alpha_{2} & \alpha_{1} \\ \hline \alpha_{1} & \alpha_{2} & \alpha_{1} \\ \hline \alpha_{2} & \alpha_{1} \\ \hline \alpha_{1} & \alpha_{2} & \alpha_{1} \\ \hline \alpha_{2} & \alpha_{1} & \alpha_{2} & \alpha_{1} \\ \hline \alpha_{1} & \alpha_{2} & \alpha_{1} \\ \hline \alpha_{2} & \alpha_{1} & \alpha_{2} & \alpha_{1} \\ \hline \alpha_{2} & \alpha_{1} & \alpha_{2} & \alpha_{1} \\ \hline \alpha_{1} & \alpha_{2} & \alpha_{1} \\ \hline \alpha_{2} & \alpha_{1} & \alpha_{2} & \alpha_{1} \\ \hline \alpha_{1} & \alpha_{2} & \alpha_{1} \\ \hline \alpha_{2} & \alpha_{1} & \alpha_{2} & \alpha_{1} \\ \hline \alpha_{1} & \alpha_{2} & \alpha_{1} \\ \hline \alpha_{2} & \alpha_{1} & \alpha_{2} & \alpha_{1} \\ \hline \alpha_{2} & \alpha_{1} & \alpha_{2} & \alpha_{2} \\ \hline \alpha_{1} & \alpha_{2} & \alpha_{1} \\ \hline \alpha_{2} & \alpha_{1} & \alpha_{2} & \alpha_{2} \\ \hline \alpha_{1} & \alpha_{2} & \alpha_{1} \\ \hline \alpha_{2} & \alpha_{1} & \alpha_{2} & \alpha_{2} \\ \hline \alpha_{1} & \alpha_{2} & \alpha_{2} & \alpha_{1} \\ \hline \alpha_{2} & \alpha_{1} & \alpha_{2} & \alpha_{2} & \alpha_{2} \\ \hline \alpha_{1} & \alpha_{2} & \alpha_{2} & \alpha_{1} \\ \hline \alpha_{2} & \alpha_{2} & \alpha_{2} & \alpha_{2} & \alpha_{2} \\ \hline \alpha_{1} & \alpha_{2} & \alpha_{2} & \alpha_{2} & \alpha_{2} & \alpha_{2} \\ \hline \alpha_{2} & \alpha_{1} & \alpha_{2} &$$

$$\begin{split} S: \tau &\longrightarrow -\frac{1}{\tau}, \\ T: \tau &\longrightarrow \tau + 1. \end{split} \qquad S^2 = 1, \qquad (ST)^3 = 1. \end{split}$$

generate infinite discrete group

$$\Gamma \simeq \{S, T | S^2 = \mathbb{I}, (ST)^3 = \mathbb{I}\}$$

4D effective theory

- depends on a modulus au
- is independent under modular transformation

An example $\mathcal{L}_{1} = f(\tau)\phi_{1}\phi_{2}\cdots\phi_{n}$ $f(\tau): \text{ coupling constant}$ $\phi_{i}: \text{ scalar fields}$ $f(\tau) \rightarrow (c\tau + d)^{k}f(\tau) \qquad \text{Modular form with weight } k$ $\phi_{i} \rightarrow (c\tau + d)^{-k_{i}}\phi_{i}$ $\text{When } k = \sum_{i} k_{i}, \mathcal{L}_{1} \text{ is modular invariant.}$ $\eta(-1/\tau) = \sqrt{-i\tau}\eta(\tau)$

Another example

$$\mathcal{L}_1 = f(\tau)\phi_1\phi_2\cdots\phi_n$$

• $f(\tau)$ and ϕ_i can be non-trivial representations of modular group Γ

Modular transformation: $\gamma \in \Gamma$ $\tau \rightarrow \frac{a\tau + b}{c\tau + d}$, sL(2, Z) ad - bc = 1 $f(\tau) \rightarrow (c\tau + d)^{k} \rho(\gamma) f(\tau)$ vanishing total modular weight $\rho \times \rho^{I_{1}} \times ... \times \rho^{I_{n}}$ contains an invariant singlet $\phi'_{i} \rightarrow (c\tau + d)^{-k} \rho^{(i)}(\gamma) \phi_{i}$ Representation matrix of Γ L_{1} is modular invariant.

Kinetic term is given by

$$\frac{\left|\partial_{\mu}\phi^{(I)}\right|^{2}}{\langle -i\tau + i\bar{\tau}\rangle^{k_{I}}}$$

which is also invariant under modular transformation

 Superpotential should be invariant under modular transformation in global SUSY model.

Modular group has interesting subgroups Modular group $\Gamma \simeq \{S, T | S^2 = I, (ST)^3 = I\}$ Infinite discrete group

Impose congruence condition

$$\Gamma(N) = \left\{ \left(\begin{array}{cc} a & b \\ c & d \end{array} \right) \in SL(2, Z), \left(\begin{array}{cc} a & b \\ c & d \end{array} \right) = \left(\begin{array}{cc} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{array} \right) \pmod{N} \right\}$$

called principal congruence subgroups

$$\begin{split} \Gamma_{N} &\equiv \Gamma / \Gamma(N) \text{ quotient group finite group} \\ \Gamma(N) &\to \Gamma(N) / \{\pm 1\} \text{ for } N=1,2 \\ \Gamma_{N} &\simeq \{S,T | S^{2} = \mathbb{I}, (ST)^{3} = \mathbb{I}, T^{N} = \mathbb{I} \} \\ \Gamma_{2} &\simeq S_{3} \qquad \Gamma_{3} \simeq A_{4} \qquad \Gamma_{4} \simeq S_{4} \qquad \Gamma_{5} \simeq A_{5} \end{split}$$

We can consider effective theories with Γ_N symmetry. $\mathcal{L}_{eff} \in f(\tau) \phi^{(1)} \cdots \phi^{(n)} \qquad f(\tau), \phi^{(l)}: \text{ non-trivial rep. of } \Gamma_N$

In some cases, explicit form of function $f(\tau)$ have been obtained.



Modular transformation of chiral superfields in MSSM $\phi^{(I)} \to (c\tau + d) \overset{k_I}{\longrightarrow} \rho^{(I)}(\gamma) \phi^{(I)}$

Modular weight

Representation matrix

4 Modular A_4 invariance in flavor $\Gamma_N \simeq \{S, T | S^2 = \mathbb{I}, (ST)^3 = \mathbb{I}, T^N = \mathbb{I}\}$ Taking T³=1, we have $\Gamma_3 \simeq A_4$ group.

N	g	$d_{2k}(\Gamma(N))$	μ_N	Γ_N
2	0	k+1	6	S_3
3	0	2k+1	12	A_4
4	0	4k + 1	24	S_4
5	0	10k + 1	60	A_5
6	1	12k	72	
7	3	28k - 2	168	

2k is weight



There are 3 linealy independent modular forms for 2k=2 (weight 2) Dimension $d_{2k}(\Gamma(3))=2k+1$ Triplet !

24

How to find A_4 triplet modular functions.

Prepare 4 Dedekind eta-functions as Modular functions

$$\eta(-1/\tau) = \sqrt{-i\tau}\eta(\tau), \qquad \eta(\tau+1) = e^{i\pi/12}\eta(\tau)$$



$$\begin{array}{c} \checkmark \eta(3\tau) \rightarrow e^{i\pi/4} \eta(3\tau), \\ \eta(\tau/3) \rightarrow \eta((\tau+1)/3), \\ \eta((\tau+1)/3) \rightarrow \eta((\tau+2)/3), \\ \eta((\tau+2)/3) \rightarrow e^{i\pi/12} \eta(\tau/3), \end{array} \begin{array}{c} \textbf{T:} \quad \textbf{T} \rightarrow \textbf{T+1} \\ \end{array}$$

Modular function with weight 2 by using Dedekind eta-function

$$Y(\alpha, \beta, \gamma, \delta | \tau) = \frac{d}{d\tau} \left(\alpha \log \eta(\tau/3) + \beta \log \eta((\tau+1)/3) + \gamma \log \eta((\tau+2)/3) + \delta \log \eta(3\tau) \right)$$
$$\alpha + \beta + \gamma + \delta = 0$$

$$\begin{array}{ccc} S:\tau\longrightarrow -\frac{1}{\tau},\\ T:\tau\longrightarrow \tau+1. \end{array} & \begin{array}{ccc} S:& Y(\alpha,\beta,\gamma,\delta|\tau)\rightarrow \tau^2 Y(\delta,\gamma,\beta,\alpha|\tau),\\ T:& Y(\alpha,\beta,\gamma,\delta|\tau)\rightarrow Y(\gamma,\alpha,\beta,\delta|\tau). \end{array} \end{array}$$

In A_4 group, $T^3=1$

$$\rho(S) = \frac{1}{3} \begin{pmatrix} -1 & 2 & 2\\ 2 & -1 & 2\\ 2 & 2 & -1 \end{pmatrix}, \qquad \rho(T) = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0\\ 0 & \omega & 0\\ 0 & 0 & \omega^2 \end{pmatrix},$$

F. Feruglio, arXiv:1706.08749

 A_4 triplet of modular function with weight 2

$$\begin{pmatrix} Y_1(-1/\tau) \\ Y_2(-1/\tau) \\ Y_3(-1/\tau) \end{pmatrix} = \tau^2 \rho(S) \begin{pmatrix} Y_1(\tau) \\ Y_2(\tau) \\ Y_3(\tau) \end{pmatrix}, \qquad \begin{pmatrix} Y_1(\tau+1) \\ Y_2(\tau+1) \\ Y_3(\tau+1) \end{pmatrix} = \rho(T) \begin{pmatrix} Y_1(\tau) \\ Y_2(\tau) \\ Y_3(\tau) \end{pmatrix}$$

$$Y_{1}(\tau) = \frac{i}{2\pi} \left(\frac{\eta'(\tau/3)}{\eta(\tau/3)} + \frac{\eta'((\tau+1)/3)}{\eta((\tau+1)/3)} + \frac{\eta'((\tau+2)/3)}{\eta((\tau+2)/3)} - \frac{27\eta'(3\tau)}{\eta(3\tau)} \right),$$

$$Y_{2}(\tau) = \frac{-i}{\pi} \left(\frac{\eta'(\tau/3)}{\eta(\tau/3)} + \omega^{2} \frac{\eta'((\tau+1)/3)}{\eta((\tau+1)/3)} + \omega \frac{\eta'((\tau+2)/3)}{\eta((\tau+2)/3)} \right),$$

$$Y_{3}(\tau) = \frac{-i}{\pi} \left(\frac{\eta'(\tau/3)}{\eta(\tau/3)} + \omega \frac{\eta'((\tau+1)/3)}{\eta((\tau+1)/3)} + \omega^{2} \frac{\eta'((\tau+2)/3)}{\eta((\tau+2)/3)} \right),$$

$$\begin{array}{rcl} Y_1(\tau) &=& 1+12q+36q^2+12q^3+\cdots, & q=e^{2\pi i\tau} \\ Y_2(\tau) &=& -6q^{1/3}(1+7q+8q^2+\cdots), & |\mathbf{q}| \ll \mathbf{1} \\ Y_3(\tau) &=& -18q^{2/3}(1+2q+5q^2+\cdots). & Y_2^2+2Y_1Y_3=0 \end{array}$$

Comment : Two special sets of τ

T($\tau \rightarrow \tau$ **+1) preserved :** < τ >= i ∞ (q=0) (Y₁, Y₂, Y₃)=(1, 0, 0)

S($\tau \rightarrow -1/\tau$ **) preserved :** $< \tau >=i$ (q=e^{-2 π}) (Y₁,Y₂, Y₃)=(Y₁(i) (1, 1- $\sqrt{3}, -2+\sqrt{3}$)

Another eigenvector of **S**

Eigenvector of S: (1,1,1) cannot be realized

$$Y_1(\tau) = 1 + 12q + 36q^2 + 12q^3 + \cdots,$$

$$Y_2(\tau) = -6q^{1/3}(1 + 7q + 8q^2 + \cdots),$$

$$Y_3(\tau) = -18q^{2/3}(1 + 2q + 5q^2 + \cdots).$$

$$q = e^{2\pi i \tau}$$

 $Y_2^2 + 2Y_1Y_3 = 0$

Simplest Model

left-handed leptons L(3) (L_e, L_μ, L_τ) right-handed leptons e_R (1);μ_R (1");τ_R (1')

	- <i>K</i> _I	is we	eight
	$SU(2)_L \times U(1)_Y$	A_4	k_I
$e_{R_1}^c$	(1, +1)	1	k_{e1}
$e_{R_2}^c$	(1, +1)	$1^{\prime\prime}$	k_{e2}
$e_{R_3}^c$	(1, +1)	1'	k_{e3}
L	(2, -1/2)	3	k_L
H_u	(2, +1/2)	1	k_{H_u}
H_d	(2, -1/2)	1	k_{H_d}
ϕ	(1, 0)	3	k_{ϕ}

Sum of weights should vanish $-2k_L-2k_{Hu}+2=0$, $-k_L-k_{ei}-k_{Hd}+2=0$

 $\begin{aligned} f(\tau) &\to (c\tau+d)^k \rho(\gamma) f(\tau) & \mathbf{k_L} = \mathbf{1}, \, \mathbf{k_{ei}} = \mathbf{1} \\ \phi^{(I)} &\to (c\tau+d)^{-k_I} \rho^{(I)}(\gamma) \phi^{(I)} & \mathbf{k_{Hu}} = \mathbf{k_{Hd}} = \mathbf{0} \end{aligned}$

$$\mathbf{M}_{\mathsf{E}} = \operatorname{diag}[\alpha, \beta, \gamma] \begin{pmatrix} Y_1 & Y_3 & Y_2 \\ Y_2 & Y_1 & Y_3 \\ Y_3 & Y_2 & Y_1 \end{pmatrix}$$

 α , β , γ are fixed by the charged lepton masses

$$\mathbf{M}_{\nu} = \frac{v_{u}^{2}}{\Lambda} \begin{pmatrix} 2Y_{1} & -Y_{3} & -Y_{2} \\ -Y_{3} & 2Y_{2} & -Y_{1} \\ -Y_{2} & -Y_{1} & 2Y_{3} \end{pmatrix}$$

Only source of breaking of the modular symmetry is the VEV of \mathcal{T} . Unfortunately, the prediction is too large θ_{13} !

29

Seesaw model

Introduce right-handed neutrinos: A₄ Triplet

 $w_e = \alpha \ E_1^c H_d(L \ Y)_1 + \beta \ E_2^c H_d(L \ Y)_{1'} + \gamma \ E_3^c H_d(L \ Y)_{1''}$

 $w_{\nu} = g(N^c H_u L \ Y)_1 + \Lambda (N^c N^c Y)_1 \qquad \text{Sum of weights vanish.}$

$$Y = \begin{pmatrix} Y_1(\tau) \\ Y_2(\tau) \\ Y_3(\tau) \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 + 12q + 36q^2 + 12q^3 + \dots \\ -6q^{1/3}(1 + 7q + 8q^2 + \dots) \\ -18q^{2/3}(1 + 2q + 5q^2 + \dots) \end{pmatrix} \qquad q = e^{2\pi i \tau}$$

$$\begin{split} \mathbf{M}_{\mathsf{E}} &= \alpha e_R H_d(LY) + \beta \mu_R H_d(LY) + \gamma \tau_R H_d(LY) \\ \mathbf{A}_{\mathsf{4}} & \mathsf{1} \ \mathsf{1} \ \mathsf{3} \ \mathsf{3} & \mathsf{1''} \ \mathsf{1} \ \mathsf{3} \ \mathsf{3} & \mathsf{1''} \ \mathsf{1} \ \mathsf{3} \ \mathsf{3} \end{split}$$

$$\begin{split} \mathbf{M}_{\mathrm{D}} &= g(\nu_{R}H_{u}LY)_{1} & \mathbf{M}_{\mathrm{N}} &= \Lambda(\nu_{R}\nu_{R}Y)_{1} \\ \mathbf{A}_{4} & \mathbf{3} & \mathbf{1} & \mathbf{3} & \mathbf{3} & \mathbf{A}_{4} & \mathbf{3} & \mathbf{3} & \mathbf{3} \\ & & \mathbf{Seesaw} & M_{\nu} &= -M_{D}^{\mathrm{T}}M_{N}^{-1}M_{D} \end{split}$$

$$\begin{array}{cccc}
\boldsymbol{\nu}_{L} & \boldsymbol{\nu}_{R} \\
\begin{pmatrix} a_{1} \\ a_{2} \\ a_{3} \end{pmatrix}_{3} \otimes \begin{pmatrix} b_{1} \\ b_{2} \\ b_{3} \end{pmatrix}_{3} = (a_{1}b_{1} + a_{2}b_{3} + a_{3}b_{2})_{1} \oplus (a_{3}b_{3} + a_{1}b_{2} + a_{2}b_{1})_{1'} \\
\oplus (a_{2}b_{2} + a_{1}b_{3} + a_{3}b_{1})_{1''} \\
\oplus \underbrace{1}_{3} \begin{pmatrix} 2a_{1}b_{1} - a_{2}b_{3} - a_{3}b_{2} \\ 2a_{3}b_{3} - a_{1}b_{2} - a_{2}b_{1} \\ 2a_{2}b_{2} - a_{1}b_{3} - a_{3}b_{1} \end{pmatrix}_{3} \oplus \underbrace{1}_{2} \begin{pmatrix} a_{2}b_{3} - a_{3}b_{2} \\ a_{1}b_{2} - a_{2}b_{1} \\ a_{1}b_{3} - a_{3}b_{1} \end{pmatrix}_{3} \\
\end{array} \\$$
symmetric × 3_Y

Consider the case of Normal neutrino mass hierarchy

 $m_1 < m_2 < m_3$

A₄ triplet 3 (Le, Lµ, LT) 3 (v_{eR} , $v_{µR}$, v_{TR}) A₄ singlets e_R 1 ; μ_R 1"; τ_R 1'

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{Y}_{e} &= \begin{pmatrix} \alpha Y_{1} & \alpha Y_{3} & \alpha Y_{2} \\ \beta Y_{2} & \beta Y_{1} & \beta Y_{3} \\ \gamma Y_{3} & \gamma Y_{2} & \gamma Y_{1} \end{pmatrix} \\ \mathcal{Y}_{\nu} &= \begin{pmatrix} 2g_{1}Y_{1} & (-g_{1} + g_{2})Y_{3} & (-g_{1} - g_{2})Y_{2} \\ (-g_{1} - g_{2})Y_{3} & 2g_{1}Y_{2} & (-g_{1} + g_{2})Y_{1} \\ (-g_{1} + g_{2})Y_{2} & (-g_{1} - g_{2})Y_{1} & 2g_{1}Y_{3} \end{pmatrix} \\ \mathcal{M}_{R} &= \begin{pmatrix} 2Y_{1} & -Y_{3} & -Y_{2} \\ -Y_{3} & 2Y_{2} & -Y_{1} \\ -Y_{2} & -Y_{1} & 2Y_{3} \end{pmatrix} \Lambda \begin{bmatrix} \mathsf{Parameters:} \\ \alpha, \beta, \gamma, \ \mathsf{g}_{2}/\mathsf{g}_{1} = \mathsf{g}, \ \mathsf{T} \end{bmatrix} \end{aligned}$$

 $\begin{array}{ll} m_{e}, & m_{\mu}, & m_{\tau} \text{ fix } \alpha, \, \beta, \, \gamma \ . \\ \Delta m^{2}_{sol} \, / \Delta m^{2}_{atm} \text{ and } \theta_{23}, \, \theta_{12}, \, \theta_{13} \text{ fix } g_{2}/g_{1} \text{=} g \text{ and } \tau \ . \end{array}$

32



33 Planck 2018 results < 0.12 eV@ACDM model ?

Predicted Majorana Phases and <m_{ee}>



 $m_1 \simeq m_2 \simeq 40 \text{meV}$ and $m_3 \simeq 60 \text{meV}$

How is the quark mass matrix in modular A_4 symmetry?



Simple model: left-handed doublet 3, right-handed singlet I, I", I'

$$\operatorname{diag}[\alpha,\beta,\gamma] \begin{pmatrix} Y_1 & Y_3 & Y_2 \\ Y_2 & Y_1 & Y_3 \\ Y_3 & Y_2 & Y_1 \end{pmatrix}_{RL} \quad \text{for both up- and down-quarks}$$

Coefficients α , β , γ are different for up- and down-quarks.

After fixing α , β , γ by inputting quark masses, one can examine CKM matrix elements by scanning modulus parameter T.

 A_4 model (triplet)? but S_3 model (doublet+singlet) may be OK

35

4 Modular S_3 and S_4 Symmetries

 $\Gamma_2 \simeq S_3$ group Irreducible representations: 1, 1', 2

T. Kobayashi, K. Tanaka, T.H. Tatsuishi, arXiv:1803.10391

There are 2 linealy independent modular forms for weight 2because of Dimension 2.Doublet !

Prepare 3 Dedekind eta-functions as Modular functions

$$\begin{aligned} Y(\alpha, \beta, \gamma | \tau) &= \frac{d}{d\tau} \left(\alpha \log \eta(\tau/2) + \beta \log \eta((\tau+1)/2) + \gamma \log \eta(2\tau) \right). \\ S: \quad Y(\alpha, \beta, \gamma | \tau) \to \tau^2 Y(\gamma, \beta, \alpha | \tau), \qquad \alpha + \beta + \gamma = 0 \\ T: \quad Y(\alpha, \beta, \gamma | \tau) \to Y(\gamma, \alpha, \beta | \tau). \end{aligned} \\ \rho(S) &= \frac{1}{2} \begin{pmatrix} -1 & -\sqrt{3} \\ -\sqrt{3} & 1 \end{pmatrix}, \qquad \rho(T) = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 \end{pmatrix}. \\ \begin{pmatrix} Y_1(-1/\tau) \\ Y_2(-1/\tau) \end{pmatrix} &= \tau^2 \rho(S) \begin{pmatrix} Y_1(\tau) \\ Y_2(\tau) \end{pmatrix}, \qquad \begin{pmatrix} Y_1(\tau+1) \\ Y_2(\tau+1) \end{pmatrix} = \rho(T) \begin{pmatrix} Y_1(\tau) \\ Y_2(\tau) \end{pmatrix}. \\ Y_1(\tau) &= \frac{i}{4\pi} \begin{pmatrix} \eta'(\tau/2) \\ \eta(\tau/2) \end{pmatrix} + \frac{\eta'((\tau+1)/2)}{\eta((\tau+1)/2)} - \frac{8\eta'(2\tau)}{\eta(2\tau)} \end{pmatrix}, \\ Y_2(\tau) &= \frac{\sqrt{3}i}{4\pi} \begin{pmatrix} \eta'(\tau/2) \\ \eta(\tau/2) \end{pmatrix} - \frac{\eta'((\tau+1)/2)}{\eta((\tau+1)/2)} \end{pmatrix}, \\ Y_1(\tau) &= \frac{1}{8} + 3q + 3q^2 + 12q^3 + 3q^4 \cdots, \\ Y_2(\tau) &= \sqrt{3}q^{1/2}(1 + 4q + 6q^2 + 8q^3 \cdots). \end{aligned}$$

Phenomenological analyses are not enough .

$\Gamma_4 \simeq S_4$ group Irreducible representations: 1, 1', 2, 3, 3'

Modular S4

$$S^2 = (ST)^3 = T^4 = 1$$

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{1}: \quad \rho(S) &= 1, \quad \rho(T) = 1 \\ \mathbf{1}': \quad \rho(S) &= -1, \quad \rho(T) = -1 \\ \mathbf{2}: \quad \rho(S) &= \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \omega \\ \omega^2 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad \rho(T) = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \\ \mathbf{3}: \quad \rho(S) &= \frac{1}{3} \begin{pmatrix} -1 & 2\omega^2 & 2\omega \\ 2\omega & 2 & -\omega^2 \\ 2\omega^2 & -\omega & 2 \end{pmatrix}, \quad \rho(T) = \frac{1}{3} \begin{pmatrix} -1 & 2\omega & 2\omega^2 \\ 2\omega & 2\omega^2 & -1 \\ 2\omega^2 & -1 & 2\omega \end{pmatrix} \\ \mathbf{3}': \quad \rho(S) &= -\frac{1}{3} \begin{pmatrix} -1 & 2\omega^2 & 2\omega \\ 2\omega & 2 & -\omega^2 \\ 2\omega^2 & -\omega & 2 \end{pmatrix}, \quad \rho(T) = -\frac{1}{3} \begin{pmatrix} -1 & 2\omega & 2\omega^2 \\ 2\omega & 2\omega^2 & -1 \\ 2\omega^2 & -1 & 2\omega \end{pmatrix} \end{aligned}$$

we have adapted group theoretical results from Bazzocchi, Merlo, Morisi, 0901.2086 $\omega = e^{2\pi i/3}$

 S_4

J. Penedo

J. Penedo, S. Petcov arXiv:1806.11040

There are 5 linealy independent modular forms for weight 2 because of Dimension 5. Doublet + Triplet !

Prepare 6 Dedekind eta-functions as Modular functions

$$\begin{cases} \eta\left(\tau+\frac{1}{2}\right) \rightarrow \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\sqrt{-i\tau}\eta\left(\frac{\tau+2}{4}\right) \\ \eta\left(4\tau\right) \rightarrow \frac{1}{2}\sqrt{-i\tau}\eta\left(\frac{\tau}{4}\right) \\ \eta\left(\frac{\tau}{4}\right) \rightarrow 2\sqrt{-i\tau}\eta\left(4\tau\right) \\ \eta\left(\frac{\tau+1}{4}\right) \rightarrow e^{-i\pi/6}\sqrt{-i\tau}\eta\left(\frac{\tau+3}{4}\right) \\ \eta\left(\frac{\tau+2}{4}\right) \rightarrow \sqrt{2}\sqrt{-i\tau}\eta\left(\tau+\frac{1}{2}\right) \\ \eta\left(\frac{\tau+3}{4}\right) \rightarrow e^{i\pi/6}\sqrt{-i\tau}\eta\left(\frac{\tau+1}{4}\right) \\ \eta\left(\frac{\tau+3}{4}\right) \rightarrow e^{i\pi/6}\sqrt{-i\tau}\eta\left(\frac{\tau+1}{4}\right) \\ \eta\left(\frac{\tau+3}{4}\right) \rightarrow e^{i\pi/2}\eta\left(\frac{\tau}{4}\right) \\ \end{cases}$$

S:

$$\begin{split} Y(a_1, \dots, a_6 | \tau) &\equiv \frac{d}{d\tau} \left(\sum_{i=1}^6 a_i \log \eta_i(\tau) \right) \qquad \sum a_i = 0 \\ &= a_1 \frac{\eta'(\tau + 1/2)}{\eta(\tau + 1/2)} + 4 \, a_2 \frac{\eta'(4\tau)}{\eta(4\tau)} + \frac{1}{4} \bigg[a_3 \frac{\eta'(\tau/4)}{\eta(\tau/4)} \\ &+ a_4 \frac{\eta'((\tau + 1)/4)}{\eta((\tau + 1)/4)} + a_5 \frac{\eta'((\tau + 2)/4)}{\eta((\tau + 2)/4)} + a_6 \frac{\eta'((\tau + 3)/4)}{\eta((\tau + 3)/4)} \bigg] \\ S: \ Y(a_1, \dots, a_6 | \tau) \ \rightarrow \ Y(a_1, a_2, a_3, a_4, a_5, a_6 | -1/\tau) = \tau^2 Y(a_5, a_3, a_2, a_6, a_1, a_4 | \tau) \,, \\ T: \ Y(a_1, \dots, a_6 | \tau) \ \rightarrow \ Y(a_1, a_2, a_3, a_4, a_5, a_6 | \tau + 1) = Y(a_1, a_2, a_6, a_3, a_4, a_5 | \tau) \,. \end{split}$$

$$\begin{pmatrix} Y_1(-1/\tau) \\ Y_2(-1/\tau) \end{pmatrix} = \tau^2 \rho(S) \begin{pmatrix} Y_1(\tau) \\ Y_2(\tau) \end{pmatrix}, \qquad \begin{pmatrix} Y_1(\tau+1) \\ Y_2(\tau+1) \end{pmatrix} = \rho(T) \begin{pmatrix} Y_1(\tau) \\ Y_2(\tau) \end{pmatrix}.$$

$$\begin{pmatrix} Y_3(-1/\tau) \\ Y_4(-1/\tau) \\ Y_5(-1/\tau) \end{pmatrix} = \tau^2 \rho(S) \begin{pmatrix} Y_3(\tau) \\ Y_4(\tau) \\ Y_5(\tau) \end{pmatrix}, \qquad \begin{pmatrix} Y_3(\tau+1) \\ Y_4(\tau+1) \\ Y_5(\tau+1) \end{pmatrix} = \rho(T) \begin{pmatrix} Y_3(\tau) \\ Y_4(\tau) \\ Y_5(\tau) \end{pmatrix}.$$

$$S^2 = (ST)^3 = T^4 = 1$$

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{2}: \quad \rho(S) &= \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \omega \\ \omega^2 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad \rho(T) = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \\ \mathbf{3}: \quad \rho(S) &= \frac{1}{3} \begin{pmatrix} -1 & 2\omega^2 & 2\omega \\ 2\omega & 2 & -\omega^2 \\ 2\omega^2 & -\omega & 2 \end{pmatrix}, \quad \rho(T) = \frac{1}{3} \begin{pmatrix} -1 & 2\omega & 2\omega^2 \\ 2\omega & 2\omega^2 & -1 \\ 2\omega^2 & -1 & 2\omega \end{pmatrix}, \\ \mathbf{3}': \quad \rho(S) &= -\frac{1}{3} \begin{pmatrix} -1 & 2\omega^2 & 2\omega \\ 2\omega & 2 & -\omega^2 \\ 2\omega^2 & -\omega & 2 \end{pmatrix}, \quad \rho(T) = -\frac{1}{3} \begin{pmatrix} -1 & 2\omega & 2\omega^2 \\ 2\omega & 2\omega^2 & -1 \\ 2\omega^2 & -1 & 2\omega \end{pmatrix}, \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} -\frac{8i}{3\pi}Y_1(\tau) &= 1 - 24y - 72y^2 + 288y^3 + 216y^4 + \dots, \\ -\frac{8i}{3\pi}Y_2(\tau) &= 1 + 24y - 72y^2 - 288y^3 + 216y^4 + \dots, \\ \frac{4i}{\pi}Y_3(\tau) &= 1 - 8z + 64z^3 + 32z^4 + 192z^5 - 512z^7 + 384z^8 + \dots, \\ \frac{2i}{\pi}\left[Y_4(\tau) + Y_5(\tau)\right] &= 1 + 4z - 32z^3 + 32z^4 - 96z^5 + 256z^7 + 384z^8 + \dots, \\ \frac{i}{\pi}\left[Y_4(\tau) - Y_5(\tau)\right] &= 2\sqrt{3}z\left(1 + 8z^2 - 24z^4 - 64z^6 + \dots\right), \\ y &\equiv i\sqrt{q/3}, z \equiv e^{i\pi/4}(q/4)^{1/4}, \text{ and as usual } q = e^{2\pi i\tau}. \end{aligned}$$



 $\sin^2 \theta_{22}$

5 Summary

- Footprint of the non-Abelian discrete symmetry is expected to be seen in the neutrino mixing matrix.
 It is an imprint of generators of finite groups. A₄ S₄.....
- A₄ is a subgroup of the modular group, which may come from superstring theory on certain compactifications.
- Mass matrices of A_4 model are determined essentially by the modular parameter τ .
- There is a consistent neutrino mass matrix with NH (no IH). Predictions are sharp and testable in the future.
- Is Modulus τ common in both quarks and leptons ?
- S_3 and S_4 are also subgroups of the modular group.

We need more phenomenological discussions.

Need additional flavons in A₄ model

 A_4 model realizes non-vanishing θ_{13} .

Y. Simizu, M. Tanimoto, A. Watanabe, PTP 126, 81(2011)

Add 1' or 1" flavon which couples to neutrinos.

 $\begin{array}{c}
\textbf{LL} & \textbf{3} \times \textbf{3} \Rightarrow \textbf{1} \\
\textbf{LL} & \textbf{3} \times \textbf{3} \Rightarrow \textbf{1}' \\
\textbf{a}_{1} \times \textbf{3} \Rightarrow \textbf{1}' \\
\textbf{a}_{1} \times \textbf{1} \Rightarrow \textbf{1} \\
\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \\
\begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}
\end{array}$

In 2012, θ₁₃ was measured by Daya Bay, RENO, Double Chooz, T2K, MINOS, Tri-bimaximal mixing was ruled out !

$$\theta_{13} \simeq 9^\circ \simeq \theta_c / \sqrt{2}$$

Rather large θ_{13} promoted to search for CP violation !

 $J_{CP} = s_{23}c_{23}s_{12}c_{12}s_{13}c_{13}^2\sin\delta_{CP} \simeq 0.0327 \sin\delta$

 J_{CP} (quark)~3×10⁻⁵

CP violating phase δ_{CP} is a key parameter to understand flavors as well as two large mixing angles θ_{12} and θ_{23} .

Neutrino mixing matrix			
$\boldsymbol{\nu}_{\alpha} = (\mathbf{U}_{\text{PMNS}})_{\alpha i} \boldsymbol{\nu}_{i}$			
	$\alpha = e, \mu, \tau$ i=1, 2, 3		
flavor eigenstates		mass eigenstates	
$U_{\rm PMNS} = \begin{pmatrix} -s \\ s_1 \end{pmatrix}$	$U_{\rm PMNS} = \begin{pmatrix} c_{12}c_{13} & s_{12}c_{13} & s_{13}e^{-i\delta_{\rm CP}} \\ -s_{12}c_{23} - c_{12}s_{23}s_{13}e^{i\delta_{\rm CP}} & c_{12}c_{23} - s_{12}s_{23}s_{13}e^{i\delta_{\rm CP}} & s_{23}c_{13} \\ s_{12}s_{23} - c_{12}c_{23}s_{13}e^{i\delta_{\rm CP}} & -c_{12}s_{23} - s_{12}c_{23}s_{13}e^{i\delta_{\rm CP}} & c_{23}c_{13} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & e^{i\frac{\alpha_{21}}{2}} & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & e^{i\frac{\alpha_{31}}{2}} \end{pmatrix}$		
c_{ij} and s_{ij} denote $\cos \theta_{ij}$ and $\sin \theta_{ij}$, respectively.			
	$m_1 < m_2 < m_3$	$m_3 < m_1 < m_2$	
observable	3σ range for NH	3σ range for IH	
$\Delta m^2_{ m atm}$	$(2.399\sim 2.593) imes 10^{-3}{ m eV}^2$	$(-2.562 \sim -2.369) \times 10^{-3} {\rm eV}^2$	
$\Delta m^2_{ m sol}$	$(6.80 \sim 8.02) imes 10^{-5} { m eV}^2$	$(6.80\sim 8.02) imes 10^{-5}{ m eV}^2$	
$\sin^2 heta_{23}$	$0.418\sim 0.613$	$0.435\sim 0.616$	
$\sin^2 heta_{12}$	$0.272 \sim 0.346$	$0.272 \sim 0.346$	
$\sin^2 heta_{13}$	$0.01981 \sim 0.02436$	$0.02006 \sim 0.02452$	
46 NuFIT3.2 (2018) $\Delta m_{atm}^2 = m_3^2 - m_1^2$, $\Delta m_{sol}^2 = m_2^2 - m_1^2$			

Neutrino 2018

DATA FIT with reactor constraint



• CP conserving values of δ_{CP} lie outside 2σ region.

NOvA Preliminary



If θ_{23} is rather less than 45° it could be related neutrino masses.

For example,

$$\sin^2 \theta_{23} \simeq \sqrt[4]{\frac{\Delta m_{
m sol}^2}{\Delta m_{
m atm}^2}} = 0.40 \sim 0.43$$
 FTY(2003), FSTY(2012)

Just like GST relation

GST 1968 Weinberg 1977

$$M_{\rm d} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & A \\ A & B \end{pmatrix} \quad \Rightarrow \quad \theta_{12} \simeq \sqrt{\frac{m_d}{m_s}}$$

However, the closer $\theta_{23} = 45^{\circ}$ or > 45° the more likely that some symmetry/structure behind it.

2 Towards Non-Abelian Flavor Symmetry

Footprint of the non-Abelian discrete symmetry is expected to be seen in the neutrino mixing matrix.

How to find an imprint of generators of finite groups

 $\phi^{
u}$



Consider the case of A_4 flavor symmetry: A_4 has subgroups: three Z_2 , four Z_3 , one $Z_2 \times Z_2$ (klein four-group)

Z₂: {1,S}, {1,T²ST}, {1,TST²} Z₃: {1,T,T²}, {1,ST,T²S}, {1,TS, ST²}, {1,STS,ST²S} K₄: {1,S,T²ST,TST²}

Suppose A_4 is spontaneously broken to one of subgroups: Neutrino sector preserves Z_2 : {1,5} Charged lepton sector preserves Z_3 : {1,T,T²}

$$\begin{split} S^T m_{LL}^{\nu} S &= m_{LL}^{\nu}, \quad T^{\dagger} Y_e Y_e^{\dagger} T = Y_e Y_e^{\dagger} \\ & [S, m_{LL}^{\nu}] = 0, \quad [T, Y_e Y_e^{\dagger}] = 0 \end{split}$$

Mixing matrices diagonalise $m_{LL}^{\nu},\ Y_eY_e^{\dagger}$ also diagonalize S and T, respectively !

For the triplet, the representations are given as

$$S = \frac{1}{3} \begin{pmatrix} -1 & 2 & 2\\ 2 & -1 & 2\\ 2 & 2 & -1 \end{pmatrix}, \quad T = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0\\ 0 & \omega^2 & 0\\ 0 & 0 & \omega \end{pmatrix}; \quad \omega = e^{2\pi i/3}$$

$$V_{\nu}^T S V_{\nu} = \operatorname{diag}(-1, 1, -1)$$

$$V_{\nu} = \begin{pmatrix} 2/\sqrt{6} & 1/\sqrt{3} & 0\\ -1/\sqrt{6} & 1/\sqrt{3} & -1/\sqrt{2}\\ -1/\sqrt{6} & 1/\sqrt{3} & 1/\sqrt{2} \end{pmatrix}$$

Tri-bimaximal Mixing

Independent of mass eigenvalues ! Freedom of the rotation between 1st and 3rd column because a column corresponds to a mass eigenvalue.

Finally, we obtain PMNS matrix.

$$V_{\nu} = \begin{pmatrix} 2c/\sqrt{6} & 1/\sqrt{3} & 2s/\sqrt{6} \\ -c/\sqrt{6} + s/\sqrt{2} & 1/\sqrt{3} & -s/\sqrt{6} - c/\sqrt{2} \\ -c/\sqrt{6} - s/\sqrt{2} & 1/\sqrt{3} & -s/\sqrt{6} + c/\sqrt{2} \end{pmatrix}$$

$$c = \cos\theta \quad s = \sin\theta e^{-i\sigma} \quad \text{CP violating phase appears accidentally.}$$
Tri-maximal mixing : so called TM₂

Θ and σ are not fixed.

Since two parameters appear, there are two relations among mixing angles and CP violating phase.

Mixing sum rules

$$\sin^2 \theta_{12} = \frac{1}{3} \frac{1}{\cos^2 \theta_{13}} \ge \frac{1}{3} , \qquad \cos \delta_{CP} \tan 2\theta_{23} \simeq \frac{1}{\sqrt{2} \sin \theta_{13}} \left(1 - \frac{5}{4} \sin^2 \theta_{13} \right)$$

Direct Approach

 \Rightarrow Flavor Structure of Yukawa Interactions is directly related with the Generators of Finite groups. Predictions are testable.

★ One cannot discuss the related phenomena without Lagrangian. Leptogenesis, Quark CP violation, Lepton flavor violation

Model building is required.

Conventional model building :
 Introduce flavons (gauge singlet scalars) to discuss dynamics of flavors. Write down an effective Lagrangian including flavons.
 Flavor symmetry is broken spontaneously by VEV of flavons.

The number of parameters of Yukawa interactions increases. Predictability of model is considerably reduced.

\mathcal{N} =1 SUSY modular invariant theories

focus on Yukawa interactions and \mathcal{N} =1 global SUSY [extension to N=1 SUGRA straightforward]

$$\mathcal{S} = \int d^4x d^2 heta d^2ar{ heta} \; K(\Phi,ar{\Phi}) + \int d^4x d^2 heta \; w(\Phi) + h.c. \quad \Phi = (au,arphi)$$

S invariant if

$$w(\Phi) \to w(\Phi)$$

 $K(\Phi, \overline{\Phi}) \to K(\Phi, \overline{\Phi}) + f(\Phi) + f(\overline{\Phi})$

$$K(\Phi, \bar{\Phi}) = -h \log(-i\tau + i\bar{\tau}) + \sum_{I} (-i\tau + i\bar{\tau})^{+k_{I}} |\varphi^{(I)}|^{2}$$

"minimal" Kahler potential

field-dependent

$$w(\Phi) = \sum_{n} Y_{I_1...I_n}(\tau) \underbrace{\varphi^{(I_1)}}_{\forall \varphi^{(I_n)}} \xrightarrow{\varphi^{(I_n)}}_{\forall ukawa \ couplings} field-dependent$$

invariance of $w(\Phi)$ guaranteed by an holomorphic Y such that

$$Y_{I_1...I_n}(\gamma au)=(c au+d)^{k_Y(n)}
ho(\gamma)\;Y_{I_1...I_n}(au)$$

modular forms of level N and weight ky

- the weights sum to zero: $k_Y(n) + k_{I_1} + \cdots + k_{I_n} = 0$ 1.
- 2. the product $\rho \times \rho^{l_1} \times \dots \times \rho^{l_n}$ contains an invariant singlet

Feruglio FLASY 2018

J. Penedo, S. Petcov arXiv:1806.11040

Normal hierarchy

$$M_{e}^{I} = v_{d} \begin{pmatrix} \alpha Y_{3} & \alpha Y_{5} & \alpha Y_{4} \\ \beta (Y_{1}Y_{4} - Y_{2}Y_{5}) & \beta (Y_{1}Y_{3} - Y_{2}Y_{4}) & \beta (Y_{1}Y_{5} - Y_{2}Y_{3}) \\ \gamma (Y_{1}Y_{4} + Y_{2}Y_{5}) & \gamma (Y_{1}Y_{3} + Y_{2}Y_{4}) & \gamma (Y_{1}Y_{5} + Y_{2}Y_{3}) \end{pmatrix}^{\dagger}$$

$$\begin{split} M_{\nu}^{\mathrm{II}} &= \frac{2g'v_{u}^{2}}{\Lambda} \left[\begin{pmatrix} (g/g')Y_{1}Y_{2} & Y_{2}^{2} & Y_{1}^{2} \\ Y_{2}^{2} & Y_{1}^{2} & (g/g')Y_{1}Y_{2} \\ Y_{1}^{2} & (g/g')Y_{1}Y_{2} & Y_{2}^{2} \end{pmatrix} \right. \\ &+ \frac{1}{2}\frac{g''}{g'} \begin{pmatrix} 2(Y_{1}Y_{4} - Y_{2}Y_{5}) & -(Y_{1}Y_{3} - Y_{2}Y_{4}) & -(Y_{1}Y_{5} - Y_{2}Y_{3}) \\ -(Y_{1}Y_{3} - Y_{2}Y_{4}) & 2(Y_{1}Y_{5} - Y_{2}Y_{3}) & -(Y_{1}Y_{4} - Y_{2}Y_{5}) \\ -(Y_{1}Y_{5} - Y_{2}Y_{3}) & -(Y_{1}Y_{4} - Y_{2}Y_{5}) & 2(Y_{1}Y_{3} - Y_{2}Y_{4}) \end{pmatrix} \right] \end{split}$$

Kinetic Term

Kinetic term of the modulus au

$$\frac{\left|\partial_{\mu}\tau\right|^{2}}{\langle-i\tau+i\bar{\tau}\rangle^{2}}$$

Modular transformation
$$\tau' = \frac{a\tau + b}{c\tau + d}$$
, $ad - bc = 1$

numerator
$$\partial_{\mu}\tau' = \frac{\left(a\partial_{\mu}\tau\right)(c\tau+d) - (a\tau+b)\left(c\partial_{\mu}\tau\right)}{(c\tau+d)^{2}} = \frac{(ad-bc)\partial_{\mu}\tau}{(c\tau+d)^{2}} = \frac{\partial_{\mu}\tau}{(c\tau+d)^{2}}$$
denominator
$$\tau' - \bar{\tau}' = \frac{(a\tau+b)(c\bar{\tau}+d) - (a\bar{\tau}+b)(c\tau+d)}{|c\tau+d|^{2}} = \frac{(ad-bc)(\tau-\bar{\tau})}{|c\tau+d|^{2}} = \frac{\tau-\bar{\tau}}{|c\tau+d|^{2}}$$

$$\frac{\left|\partial_{\mu}\tau'\right|^{2}}{\langle-i\tau'+i\bar{\tau}'\rangle^{2}} = \frac{\left|\partial_{\mu}\tau\right|^{2}}{\langle-i\tau+i\bar{\tau}\rangle^{2}}$$
Modular invariant

Modular Form

How to find the concrete form of modular form with weight 2 and non-trivial rep. of $\Gamma(N)$

- Suppose functions $f_i(\tau)$ to be modular forms with weight k_i
- Also suppose $\sum_i k_i = 0$

$$\Rightarrow \frac{d}{d\tau} \sum_i \log f_i(\tau)$$
 is a modular form with weight 2

Proof Modular transformation:
$$\tau' = \frac{a\tau + b}{c\tau + d}$$
, $ad - bc = 1$
 $\frac{d}{d\tau'} = \frac{d\tau}{d\tau'}\frac{d}{d\tau} = (c\tau + d)^2\frac{d}{d\tau}$, $f_i(\tau') = (c\tau + d)^{k_i}f_i(\tau)$
 $\frac{d}{d\tau'}\sum_i \log f_i(\tau') = (c\tau + d)^2\frac{d}{d\tau}\sum_i [\log f_i(\tau) + \frac{k_i(c\tau + d)}{= 0}]$
 $= (c\tau + d)^2\frac{d}{d\tau}\sum_i \log f_i(\tau)$

 \blacktriangleright When we find a set of $f_i(\tau)$,

we can construct modular form with weight 2