Non-standard electroweak phase transitions in extensions to the standard model: Monopoles¹² and Scale invariance³

Suntharan Arunasalam

University of Sydney

IPMU, February 2019

¹SA & A. Kobakhidze, 1702.04068 Eur. Phys. J. C (2017) 77: 444
 ²SA, D. Collison and A. Kobakhidze, [arXiv:1810.10696]
 ³SA, A. Kobakhidze, C.Lagger, S. Liang and A.Zhou 1709.10322 PLB 776 (2018)

Suntharan Arunasalam

IPMU, February 2019

1 / 54





2 Electroweak monopoles and the electroweak phase transition

3 The Standard Model with hidden scale invariance



Outline



2 Electroweak monopoles and the electroweak phase transition

- 3 The Standard Model with hidden scale invariance
- 4 Conclusion

Motivation

- There is a significant asymmetry between the matter and antimatter abundance in the universe.
- Sakharov conditions:
 - Baryon number violation
 - C and CP violation
 - Out of equilibrium processes
- One possible mechanism is electroweak baryogenesis
- Baryon asymmetry is generated via sphaleron mediated scattering processes which violate B + L.
- Requires a first order electroweak phase transition for departure from equilibrium.
- Needs to be strong enough to suppress sphaleron processes in the broken phase.

The Electroweak Phase transition

• SM high temperature effective potential:

$$V(\phi, T) = D(T^2 - T_0^2)\phi^2 - ET\phi^3 - \frac{1}{4}\lambda_T\phi^4$$

- curvature at the origin changes at $T = T_0$
- the nature of the transition depends on the values of the SM parameters.

First order phase transition

- The minima become degenerate before *T*₀
- Bubbles of the broken phase form
- collisions lead to gravitational waves, baryogenesis etc.



Figure: First order phase transition (Petropoulos, 2003)

Second order phase transition

- the universe rolls homogeneously into the broken phase
- predicted by SM parameters



Figure: Second order phase transition (Petropoulos,2003)

Outline

Motivation

2 Electroweak monopoles and the electroweak phase transition

3 The Standard Model with hidden scale invariance

4 Conclusion

• Consider a 1D potential:



• For
$$\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} V(\phi) dx < \infty, \phi(\pm \infty) \to \pm \eta$$

The nature of the monopoles

1-D topological defects



Decays to the constant solution



Decays to the constant solution

• Suppose $\phi(\infty) = -\phi(-\infty)$



- Heuristically requires an infinite amount of energy to transition to constant solution.
- Topological stability from disconnected vacuum manifold
- $\pi_0(M_{vac}) \neq 0.$

• Suppose
$$\phi(\infty) = -\phi(-\infty)$$



- Heuristically requires an infinite amount of energy to transition to constant solution.
- Topological stability from disconnected vacuum manifold
- $\pi_0(M_{vac}) \neq 0.$

Monopoles

- Monopoles are an extension of this idea to 3 spatial dimensions
- Spatial infinity is described by a 2-sphere
- Finite energy requires $\phi: S^2_{\infty} \to M_{vac}$.
- Topologically non-trivial solutions exist when $\pi_2(M_{vac}) \neq 0$
- For the standard model, $M_{vac} = (SU(2)_L \times U(1)_Y)/U(1)_{EM}$

•
$$\pi_2(M_{\rm vac}) = \pi_2(S^3) = 0$$

No electroweak monopoles?

The Ansatz

 Cho and Maison (1997) found electroweak monopoles through the ansatz:

$$\begin{split} \phi &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \rho \xi \\ \rho &= \rho(r) \\ \xi &= i \left(\frac{\sin(\theta/2) e^{-i\varphi}}{-\cos(\theta/2)} \right) \\ A_{\mu} &= \frac{1}{g} A(r) \partial_{\mu} t \hat{r} + \frac{1}{g} (f(r) - 1) \hat{r} \times \partial_{\mu} \hat{r} \\ B_{\mu} &= -\frac{1}{g'} B(r) \partial_{\mu} t - \frac{1}{g'} (1 - \cos \theta) \partial_{\mu} \varphi \end{split}$$

Why is this stable?

$$egin{aligned} \xi &= i \left(egin{aligned} \sin(heta/2) e^{-iarphi} \ -\cos(heta/2) \end{array}
ight) \ B_\mu &= -rac{1}{g'} (1-\cos heta) \partial_\mu arphi \end{aligned}$$

- Gauge invariance under U(1) implies that the vacuum manifold is defined up to a phase.
- String singularities in both fields at $\theta = \pi$
- Can be removed using a Wu-Yang construction
- Each hemisphere maps onto C¹
- By definition, this corresponds to the Riemann sphere, \mathbb{CP}^1

•
$$\pi_2(M_{vac}) = \mathbb{Z}$$

The energy

$$E = E_0 + E_1$$
$$E_0 = 4\pi \int_0^\infty \frac{dr}{2r^2} \left\{ \frac{1}{g'^2} + \frac{1}{g^2} (f^2 - 1)^2 \right\}$$

$$\begin{split} E_1 &= 4\pi \int_0^\infty dr \left\{ \frac{1}{2} (r\dot{\rho})^2 + \frac{1}{g^2} \left(\dot{f}^2 + \frac{1}{2} (r\dot{A})^2 + f^2 A^2 \right) \right. \\ &+ \frac{1}{2g'^2} (r\dot{B})^2 + \frac{\lambda r^2}{8} (\rho^2 - \rho_0^2)^2 \\ &+ \frac{1}{4} f^2 \rho^2 + \frac{r^2}{8} (B - A)^2 \rho^2 \right\} \end{split}$$

• The first term of *E*₀ is divergent at the origin.

Regularisation

• Cho, Kim and Yoon(2015) proposed a regularisation of the form:

$$g' o rac{g'}{\sqrt{\epsilon}}$$
 $\epsilon = \left(rac{\phi}{\phi_0}
ight)^n$

- However, g' becomes non-peturbative as $\phi \rightarrow 0$.
- This is undesirable in an EFT framework.
- We instead propose a Born-Infeld modification for the $U(1)_Y$ kinetic term.

Born-Infeld modification

• We regularise the $U(1)_Y$ kinetic term by replacing it with:

$$\beta^2 \left[1 - \sqrt{-\det\left(\eta_{\mu\nu} + \frac{1}{\beta}B_{\mu\nu}\right)} \right]$$
$$= \beta^2 \left[1 - \sqrt{1 + \frac{1}{2\beta^2}B_{\mu\nu}B^{\mu\nu} - \frac{1}{16\beta^4}(B_{\mu\nu}\tilde{B}^{\mu\nu})^2} \right]$$

- As $\beta \to \infty$, the SM is recovered.
- The corresponding energy is

$$\int_0^\infty dr\beta^2 \left[\sqrt{(4\pi r^2)^2 + \left(\frac{4\pi}{g'\beta}\right)^2} - 4\pi r^2 \right]$$
$$= \frac{4\pi^{5/2}}{3\Gamma\left(\frac{3}{4}\right)^2} \sqrt{\frac{\beta}{g'^3}} \approx 72.8\sqrt{\beta}$$

• Hence, β acts as a mass parameter for the monopoles.

 Extend the SU(2) sector as well with an independent Born-Infeld term:

$$\beta_{1}^{2}\left[1-\sqrt{-\det\left(\eta_{\mu\nu}+\frac{1}{\beta_{1}}\textit{\textit{B}}_{\mu\nu}\right)}\right]+\beta_{2}^{2}\left[1-\sqrt{-\det\left(\eta_{\mu\nu}+\frac{1}{\beta_{2}}\textit{\textit{F}}_{\mu\nu}\right)}\right]$$

• Constrained by light by light scattering results (Ellis et al. 2017):

$$\sqrt{\beta_{EM}} = \frac{\sqrt{\beta_2}}{\sqrt[4]{\sin^4 \theta_W + \cos^4 \theta_W \left(\frac{\beta_2}{\beta_1}\right)^2}} \gtrsim 100 \text{GeV}$$

 For β₂ >> β₁ (perturbative unitarity) gives a lower bound for monopole mass of ~ 9 – 11TeV

The nature of the monopoles

Solution

• Simple solution: A = B = 0• $h = \frac{4\pi}{e}$



 A new analytical solution has been found with non-monotonic behaviour for f(x). (Mavromatos and Sarkar, 2018)

The Kibble Mechanism (Kibble, 1976)

- At $T = T_c$, domains of the broken phase will appear
- The higgs field in each domain takes independent directions on the vacuum manifold



The Kibble mechanism (Kibble, 1976)

- As the Higgs field is continuous, it must be interpolated at the intersections.
- Consider an intersection of four of these domains:



The Kibble mechanism (Kibble, 1976)

- In field space, these points form the vertices of a tetrahedron.
- This tetrahedron should be shrunk to a point at the intersection.
- If these cannot be shrunk to a point continuously, a topological defect in the form of a monopole which continuously joins the two minima.
- The tetrahedron is homotopically equivalent to S^2 .
- Therefore, $\pi_2(\mathbb{CP}^1) = \mathbb{Z}$ implies the existence of monopoles



Sphaleron Processes

- Sphaleron mediated scattering processes occur in the unbroken phase
- They violate B + L in units of $\Delta B = \Delta L = 3$
- If unsuppressed, they washout any pre-existing baryon number.
- Supression in the broken phase requires a 1st order EWPT with $\frac{\phi_c}{T_c}\gtrsim$ 1.

The electroweak phase transition

• The Gibbs free energy:

$$egin{aligned} G_u &= V(0) \ G_b &= V(\phi_c(T)) + E_{ ext{monopoles}} \end{aligned}$$

• At the critical temperature:

$$V(0) = V(\phi_c(T_c)) + E_{monopoles}$$

• Assuming *T* << *M*,the monopoles are decoupled and *E*_{monopoles} = *M* × *n*_M

The initial density

- $n_M \approx \frac{1}{d^3}$ where *d* is the separation of two uncorrelated monopoles.
- This is chosen to be the Coulomb capture distance.
- Hence, $n_M \approx \left(\frac{4\pi}{h^2}\right)^3 T^3$

Monopoles and cosmology

Results



• Sphaleron processes are suppressed for $M > 0.9 \cdot 10^4$ TeV.

The constraint

• The monopole density should not dominate the universe at the time of helium synthesis. This implies:

•
$$\frac{n}{T^3}\Big|_{T=1\text{MeV}} < \frac{1\text{MeV}}{M}$$

• Hence, the evolution of the number density over time must be considered.

The number density at lower temperatures

- Consider monopoles drifting towards anti monopoles in a plasma of charged fermions.
- Scattering cross-section: $\sigma_{q_iM} = (hq_i/4\pi)^2 T^{-2}$
- After ~ M/T collisions, the monopole is scattered at a large angle and drifts towards the antimonopole.
- This yields a mean free path of:

$$\lambda \approx \frac{\mathbf{v}_{\text{drift}}}{\sum_{i} \mathbf{n}_{i} \sigma_{i}} \frac{\mathbf{M}}{\mathbf{T}}$$
$$\approx \frac{1}{B} \left(\frac{\mathbf{M}}{\mathbf{T}^{3}}\right)^{1/2}$$

•
$$B = \frac{3}{4\pi^2} \zeta(3) \sum_i (hq_i/4\pi)^2$$

$$\frac{dn_M}{dt} = -Dn_M^2 - 3Hn_M$$

- Annihilation ends when $\lambda \approx \frac{\hbar^2}{4\pi T}$, the Coulomb capture radius.
- This occurs at $T_f \approx \left(\frac{4\pi}{h^2}\right)^2 \frac{M}{B^2}$
- For $T < T_f$, the monopole density simply dilutes as $n \propto T^3$.

Nucleosynthesis constraint

Solving the Boltzmann equation, one obtains (Preskill, 1979)

$$rac{n}{T^3}=rac{1}{Bh^2}\left(rac{4\pi}{h^2}
ight)^2rac{M}{CM_{
m pl}},\,\,(T>T_f)$$

•
$$C = (45/4\pi^3 N)^{1/2}$$

• This constrains the mass of the monopole to $M \lesssim 2.3 \cdot 10^4$ TeV.

Sakharov conditions

- In 1967, Andrei Sakharov proposed three conditions for baryogenesis to occur:
 - Baryon number violation
 - C and CP violation
 - Operator of the second seco

C and CP-violation

• Consider the θ - terms:

$$\mathcal{L}_{ heta} = heta_2 F^a_{\mu
u} ilde{F}^{a\mu
u} + heta_1 B_{\mu
u} ilde{B}^{\mu
u}$$

In the ususal case:

- hypercharge sector is topologically trivial, and hence, θ₁ is unphysical
- θ_2 can be rotated away by a B + L-rotation of quarks and leptons.
- no CP-violation

C and CP-violation

• Consider the θ - terms:

$$\mathcal{L}_{ heta} = heta_2 F^a_{\mu
u} ilde{F}^{a\mu
u} + heta_1 B_{\mu
u} ilde{B}^{\mu
u}$$

In the ususal case:

- hypercharge sector is topologically trivial, and hence, θ₁ is unphysical
- θ_2 can be rotated away by a B + L-rotation of quarks and leptons.
- no CP-violation

- With electroweak monopoles:
 - Monopoles gain an electric charge proportional to θ_{EM} through the WItten effect
 - Supports θ_1
 - Only one can be rotated away
 - a new source of CP violation

B + L-violation

$$\mathcal{L}_{\theta} = heta_{ew} F^a_{\mu
u} \tilde{F}^{a\mu
u} \; ,$$

• Topologically inequivalent vacuum configurations related by large gauge transformations $g \in SU(2)_L$ give rise to the θ_{ew} -vacuum structure.

$$|M, \theta_{ew}\rangle = \sum_{n=-\infty}^{n=+\infty} e^{i n \theta_{ew}} (U[g])^n |M, 0\rangle .$$

- monopole-antimopole pair that carries $\Delta n = 1$ topological charge, would annihilate into 9 quarks and 3 leptons, giving rise to $\Delta B = \Delta L = 3$.
- not suppressed even at zero temperature (Callan, 1982) (Rubakov, 1981)

Baryon asymmetry of the universe

$$\frac{d\bar{n}_B}{dt} = -\kappa\theta \frac{dn_M}{dt}$$

- *n*_B is the difference in the number densities of matter and antimatter
- κ describes the asymmetry generated in each collision
- for monopoles, $n_{M0} >> n_{Mf}$.
- Hence,

$$\bar{n}_B \approx \kappa \theta n_0 = \kappa \theta \alpha_{EM}^3 T_c^3$$

Baryon asymmetry of the universe

• The asymmetry parameter, η_B , can now be evaluated:

$$\eta_B = \frac{\bar{n}_B}{s} = \kappa \theta \frac{45 \alpha_{\mathsf{EM}}^3 T_c^3}{2\pi^2 g_\star T_f^3}$$

- $1.6 \times 10^{-8} \kappa \theta \leq \eta_B \leq 2.5 \times 10^{-7} \kappa \theta$.
- Empirical values for the asymmetry parameter $\eta_B \approx 10^{-10}$ can be accommodated for with $\kappa \theta_{ew} \sim 10^{-3} 10^{-2}$.

Summary

- Finite energy monopoles exist in the Standard model with a Born-Infeld extension.
- The mass is related to the Born-Infeld parameters
- Sphaleron mediated processes can be made ineffective in the broken phase while remaining under the nucleosynthesis constraints.
- This occurs for monopoles with a mass of $(0.9 2.3) \cdot 10^4$ TeV.
- Baryon asymmetry of the universe can be accounted for through this mechanism

Outline

Motivation

2 Electroweak monopoles and the electroweak phase transition

The Standard Model with hidden scale invariance

4 Conclusion

Motivation

• Scale invariance is an attractive framework for addressing the problem of the origin of mass and hierarchies of mass scales.

Motivation

- Quantum fluctuations result in a mass scale via dimensional transmutation
- Dimensionless couplings are responsible for generating mass hierarchies.
- Scale (conformal) invariance is an essential symmetry in string theory
- What is the nature of the EWPT in this framework?

The model

Consider the SM as a low energy Wilsonian effective theory with cutoff Λ:

$$\mathcal{V}(\Phi^{\dagger}\Phi)= \mathcal{V}_{0}(\Lambda)+\lambda(\Lambda)\left[\Phi^{\dagger}\Phi-oldsymbol{v}_{ew}^{2}(\Lambda)
ight]^{2}$$

- Assume the fundamental theory exhibits conformal invariance which is spontaneously broken down to Poincare invariance
- Promote dimensionful parameters to the dilaton field, the scalar Goldstone boson.

$$\Lambda \to \alpha \chi, \quad \mathbf{v}_{ew}^2(\Lambda) \to \frac{\xi(\alpha \chi)}{2} \chi^2, \quad \mathbf{V}_0(\Lambda) \to \frac{\rho(\alpha \chi)}{4} \chi^4 , \qquad (1)$$

The model

• Impose the following conditions:

•
$$\frac{dV}{d\phi}\Big|_{\Phi=v_{ew},\chi=v_{\chi}} = \frac{dV}{d\chi}\Big|_{\Phi=v_{ew},\chi=v_{\chi}} = 0$$
 (Existence of the electroweak vev)

•
$$V(v_{ew}, v_{\chi}) = 0$$
 (Cosmological constant)

Implications: •

•
$$\rho(\alpha \mathbf{v}_{\chi}) = \beta_{\rho}(\alpha \mathbf{v}_{\chi}) = \mathbf{0}$$

•
$$\xi(\alpha V_{\chi}) = \frac{V_{ew}}{V_{\chi}^2}$$

• $m_{e_{\chi}}^2 \simeq \frac{\beta'_{e}(\chi)}{T_{e}(\chi)} V_{e_{W}}^2 \simeq (10^{-8} \text{eV})^2 \text{ for } \alpha \chi$

•
$$m_\chi^2\simeq rac{eta_
ho(\Lambda)}{4\xi(\Lambda)} v_{ew}^2\simeq (10^{-8}{
m eV})^2$$
 for $lpha\chi\sim M_P$



Figure: Plot of the allowed range of parameters (shaded region) with $m_{\chi}^2(v_{ew}) > 0$, i.e., the electroweak vacuum being a minimum. The solid line displays the cut-off scale Λ as function of the top-quark mass m_t for which the conditions are satisfied.

The thermal effective potential

• At high temperatures:

$$V_{T}(h,\chi) = \frac{\lambda(\Lambda)}{4} \left[h^{2} - \frac{v_{\theta W}^{2}}{v_{\chi}^{2}} \chi^{2} \right]^{2} + c(h)\pi^{2}T^{4} - \frac{\lambda(\Lambda)}{24} \frac{v_{\theta W}^{2}}{v_{\chi}^{2}} \chi^{2}T^{2} + \frac{1}{48} \left[6\lambda(\Lambda) + 6y_{t}^{2}(\Lambda) + \frac{9}{2}g^{2}(\Lambda) + \frac{3}{2}g'^{2}(\Lambda) \right] h^{2}T^{2}$$

• Minimising this potential w.r.t. χ :

$$\chi^2 \approx \frac{v_{\chi}^2}{v_{ew}^2} \left(h^2 + \frac{T^2}{12} \right)$$

The model

Thermal effective potential

The effective potential in this direction is given by:

$$V_{T}(h,\chi(h)) = \left[c(h)\pi^{2} - \frac{\lambda(\Lambda)}{576}\right]T^{4}$$
$$+ \frac{1}{48}\left[4\lambda(\Lambda) + 6y_{t}^{2}(\Lambda) + \frac{9}{2}g^{2}(\Lambda) + \frac{3}{2}g^{\prime 2}(\Lambda)\right]h^{2}T^{2}$$

Standard model

• SM high temperature effective potential:

$$V(\phi, T) = D(T^2 - T_0^2)\phi^2 - ET\phi^3 - \frac{1}{4}\lambda_T\phi^4$$

- curvature at the origin changes at $T = T_0$
- the nature of the transition depends on the values of the SM parameters.

The scale-invariant model

- Along the flat direction, $T_0 = 0$
- Furthermore, the minima are degenerate only at T = 0
- No phase transition???

Chiral phase transition

• Consider the Yukawa term:

$$y \langle \bar{q}q \rangle_T \phi$$

- At $T \sim$ 132MeV, chiral condensates form.
- This term is given by (Gasser & Leutwyler, 1987):

$$\langle \bar{q}q
angle_{T} = \langle \bar{q}q
angle \left[1 - (N^{2} - 1) rac{T^{2}}{12Nf_{\pi}^{2}} + \mathcal{O}\left(T^{4}
ight)
ight]$$

The electroweak phase transition



- The linear term shifts the minimum from the origin
- at $T \sim 127$ MeV, the minimum disappears and the EWPT is triggered
- The EWPT is 2nd order.

Implications

- 6 relativistic quarks at the critical temperature indicates a 1st order chiral PT. (Pisarski& Wilczek, 1983)
- Gravitational waves with peak frequency $\sim 10^{-8}$ Hz, potentially detectable by means of pulsar timing (EPTA, SKA...)

• Production of primordial black holes with mass $M_{BH} \sim M_{\odot}$



Limits on PBH DM Abundance

(Schutz & Liu, 2016)

Implications

- Scale invariant theories predict a light feebly coupled dilaton.
- Electroweak phase transition driven by the QCD chiral phase transition and occurs at $T \sim 130$ MeV.
- QCD phase transition could be strongly first order ⇒ gravitational waves, black holes, QCD baryogenesis.
- Detection of a light scalar particle + the above astrophysical signatures will provide strong evidence for the fundamental role of scale invariance in particle physics and cosmology.

Outline

Motivation

2 Electroweak monopoles and the electroweak phase transition

3 The Standard Model with hidden scale invariance

4 Conclusion

Conclusion

Electroweak monopoles

- Cho-Maison monopoles have infinite energy in the SM
- This can be be regularised using a Born-Infeld extension
- Production increases the energy of the broken phase at the EWPT
- Results in a strong electroweak phase transition while consistent with BBN results.
- Monopole Baryogenesis
- Scale Invariance
 - Chiral phase transition occurs before EWPT
 - 6 massless quarks and therefore it is first order
 - Potentially leads to GW, primordial black holes etc.)