25<sup>th</sup> February 2010

# Primordial perturbations from cosmological inflation

David Wands Institute of Cosmology and Gravitation University of Portsmouth and Visiting Professor, YITP, Kyoto University

### outline:

#### Primordial structure

 evidence of density inhomogeneities in the very early universe (primordial = 1 sec after big bang)

#### Fluctuations from inflation

- Vacuum fluctuations during inflation
- Primordial density perturbations after inflation
- Distinguishing models with non-Gaussianity
  - Local non-Gaussianity
  - Bispectrum and f<sub>NL</sub>
  - Higher-order statistics and scale-dependence

### how far back can we look?



The cosmic microwave background Radiation's "surface of last scatter" is analogous to the light coming through the clouds to our eye on a cloudy day. We can only see the surface of the cloud where light was last scattered

### Cosmic microwave background radiation



- discovered by Penzias and Wilson 1965
- relic thermal radiation from the hot big bang





#### 2.7 K everywhere

+/- 3.3 mK redshift due local motion (at 1 million miles per hour)

+/- 18  $\mu\text{K}$  intrinsic anisotropies

#### an elegant, simple, well-motivated model for the origin of structure in the early universe

### an elegant, simple, well-motivated model for the origin of structure in the early universe

#### cosmic strings formed by spontaneous symmetry breaking at GUT scale







coherent oscillations in photon-baryon plasma due to existence of primordial density perturbations

passive not active seeds for structure

### Wave equation in FRW cosmology: $\delta\ddot{\rho} + 3H\delta\dot{\rho} + (ck/a)^2\delta\rho = 0$

Characteristic timescales for waves, comoving wavemode k

- small-scales , ck /a > H, under-damped oscillator
  - large-scales , ck/a < H, over-damped oscillator



inflation, has almost constant Hubble length

time

### **Duration of inflation:**

number of "e-folds" of expansion

$$N = \ln(a) = \int H \, dt$$

• slow-roll inflation driven by a scalar field,  $\phi$ , potential V( $\phi$ )

 $V(\phi)$ 

$$N = \int \frac{H}{\dot{\phi}} d\phi = \int \frac{1}{\sqrt{\varepsilon}} \frac{d\phi}{M_{Pl}}$$

where slow - roll parameter :  $\varepsilon \equiv -\frac{\dot{H}}{H^2} \approx \frac{1}{2M_{Pl}^2} \left(\frac{V'}{V}\right)^2$ 

• N > 40 to solve the horizon problem Lyth bound :  $\Delta \phi > (40\sqrt{\varepsilon})M_{Pl}$ 

### Vacuum fluctuations



- small-scale/underdamped zero-point fluctuations (k>aH)  $\left\langle \delta\phi_k \delta\dot{\phi}_{k'}^* \right\rangle = i\hbar\delta(k-k')$
- large-scale/overdamped perturbations in growing mode (k<aH) linear evolution  $\Rightarrow$  Gaussian random field  $\Rightarrow \mathcal{P}(\delta\phi)_{k=aH} \approx \frac{4\pi k^3 \left| \delta\phi_k^2 \right|}{(2\pi)^3} = \left(\frac{H}{2\pi}\right)^2$

fluctuations of any light scalar fields (m<3H/2) `frozen-in' on large scales

*interactions = non-linearity = non-Gaussianity* suppressed during slow-roll inflation  $\langle \delta \phi_k \delta \phi_{k'} \delta \phi_{k''} \rangle \approx \varepsilon \left| \delta \phi_k^4 \right| \delta(k+k'+k'')$ 

### defining the primordial density perturbation

**gauge-dependent** density perturbation,  $\delta\rho$ , and spatial expansion,  $\delta N$ 



gauge-invariant combination (Bardeen, 88)

$$\zeta = \delta N - \frac{H}{\dot{\rho}} \delta \rho$$

Provides initial conditions for adiabatic perturbations on large scales, early times, e.g., Newtonian potential in matter-dominated era:  $\Phi = (3/5)\zeta$ 

### the $\delta N$ formalism for primordial perturbations



on large scales, neglect spatial gradients, treat as "separate universes"

$$\zeta = N(\phi_{initial}) - \overline{N} \approx \sum_{I} \frac{\partial N}{\partial \phi_{I}} \delta \phi_{I}$$

Starobinsky `85; Sasaki & Stewart `96 Lyth & Rodriguez '05 – works to any order

### Separate universes

Salopek & Bond (1990) Wands, Malik, Lyth & Liddle (2001)

 local expansion on very large scales ( >> Hubble length, negligible spatial gradients) given by local Friedmann equation

$$N(x) = \int H(x) dt$$
$$H^{2}(x) = \frac{8\pi G_{N}}{3} \rho(x)$$



### density perturbations from inflaton field

• *quantum field fluctuations on unperturbed (flat) hypersurfaces during inflation leads to scalar metric perturbation* 

$$\zeta = \frac{dN}{d\phi} \,\delta\phi = \left(-\frac{H}{\dot{\phi}} \,\delta\phi\right)_{k=aH}$$

• produce primordial density perturbations in radiation-dominated era  $\left| ST^{2} \right\rangle = 1 = 1 \left( TT^{2} \right)^{2}$ 

$$\Rightarrow \left\langle \frac{\delta T^2}{T^2} \right\rangle_{SW} \approx \frac{1}{25} \left\langle \zeta^2 \right\rangle \approx \frac{1}{25} \left( \frac{H^2}{2\pi \dot{\phi}} \right)_{k=aH}$$

### WMAP 7 year data February 2010





scale-invariant *Harrison-Zel'dovich (n=1)* spectrum **excluded** by WMAP+BAO at 3sigma (Komatsu et al 2010)

### Spectral tilt = slow-roll dynamics

slow time-dependence during inflation  $\Rightarrow$  weak scale-dependence

tilt : 
$$n-1 \equiv \frac{d \ln \langle \zeta^2 \rangle}{d \ln k} \approx -6\varepsilon + 2\eta_{\sigma}$$

slow roll parameters  $\varepsilon = -\frac{\dot{H}}{H^2}$ ,  $\eta_{\sigma} = \frac{m_{\sigma}^2}{3H^2}$ 

 $\left\{ \varepsilon, |\eta| \right\} \ll 1$ 

### what next?



#### WMAP 7-year data (Komatsu et al 2010)

running spectral index -0.086 < d n / d ln k < 0.018 higher-order in slow-roll

gravitational waves tensor-scalar ratio r < 0.36

non-Gaussianity -10 <  $f_{NL}$  < 74

### ESA Planck satellite launched!



#### next all-sky survey

data 2011/12?

 $r \approx 0.1?$ 

 $f_{NL} < 8$ 

## theoretical non-Gaussianity

#### possibilities limited

- Local-type non-Gaussianity
  - super-Hubble evolution of Gaussian random field from multi-field inflation
- Equilateral-type non-Gaussianity
  - sub-Hubble interactions in k-inflation/DBI inflation
- Topological defects
  - cosmic strings or textures?

#### templates required to develop optimal estimators

- matched filtering to extract small non-Gaussian signal

### the $\delta N$ formalism for primordial perturbations



on large scales, neglect spatial gradients, treat as "separate universes"

$$\zeta = N(\phi_{initial}) - \overline{N} \approx \sum_{I} \frac{\partial N}{\partial \phi_{I}} \delta \phi_{I}$$

Starobinsky `85; Sasaki & Stewart `96 Lyth & Rodriguez '05 – works to any order

#### simplest local form of non-Gaussianity

applies to many models inflation including curvaton, modulated reheating, etc

$$\begin{aligned} \zeta &= \delta N(\phi) \text{ is local function of single Gaussian random field, } \phi \\ \zeta &= N' \delta \phi + \frac{1}{2} N'' \delta \phi^2 + \frac{1}{6} N''' \delta \phi^3 + \dots \\ \Rightarrow & \langle \zeta(x_1) \zeta(x_2) \rangle = N'^2 \langle \delta \phi(x_1) \delta \phi(x_2) \rangle + \dots \\ & \langle \zeta(x_1) \zeta(x_2) \zeta(x_3) \rangle = \frac{1}{2} N'^2 N'' \langle \delta \phi(x_1) \delta \phi(x_2) \delta \phi^2(x_3) \rangle + \dots \\ &= \frac{3}{5} f_{NL} \langle \zeta(x_2) \zeta(x_3) \rangle \langle \zeta(x_1) \zeta(x_3) \rangle + \dots \\ \end{aligned}$$
where
$$f_{NL} = \frac{5}{6} \frac{N''}{(N')^2}$$

• odd factors of 3/5 because (Komatsu & Spergel, 2001, used)  $\varPhi_{l}=(3/5)\zeta_{l}$ 

## evidence for local non-Gaussianity?

- $\Delta T/T \approx -\Phi/3$ , so positive  $f_{NL} \Rightarrow$  more cold spots in CMB
- various groups have attempted to measure this with the WMAP CMB data using estimators based on matched filtering (all 95% CL) :

•	27 < f <sub>NL</sub> < 147	Yadav & Wandelt	WMAP3 data
•	$-9 < f_{NL} < 111$	Komatsu et al	WMAP5
•	-4 < f <sub>NL</sub> < 80	Smith et al.	Optimal WMAP5

Komatsu et al

• Large scale structure observations have recently given independent indications due to non-local bias on large scales (Dalal et al 2007):

WMAP7

- -29 <  $f_{NL}$  < 70 (95% CL) Slosar et al 2008

 $-10 < f_{NI} < 74$ 



Liguori, Matarrese and Moscardini (2003



Liguori, Matarrese and Moscardini (2003



Liguori, Matarrese and Moscardini (2003



remember:  $f_{NL} < 100$  implies Gaussian to better than 0.1%

#### non-Gaussianity from inflation?

- slow-roll single inflaton field
  - adiabatic perturbations => zeta constant on large scales
  - can evaluate non-Gaussianity immediately after Hubble exit

$$f_{NL} = \frac{5}{6} \frac{N''}{(N')^2} = \frac{5}{6} (\eta - 2\epsilon)$$

- undetectable with WMAP or Planck data

#### • requires non-canonical or multi-field models

- self-interaction on sub-Hubble scales during inflation
  - e.g., DBI inflation in string theory models
  - this is of equilateral type, not the local form
- local evolution on super-Hubble scales from non-inflaton fields
  - e.g., curvaton or inhomogeneous (p)reheating
  - or fast-roll during ekpyrotic/pre-big-bang collapse?

### curvaton scenario:

Linde & Mukhanov 1997; Enqvist & Sloth, Lyth & Wands, Moroi & Takahashi 2001

#### curvaton $\chi$ = a weakly-coupled, late-decaying scalar field

 $V(\chi)$ 

χ

- light during inflation (m<<H) hence acquires an almost scaleinvariant, *Gaussian distribution of field fluctuations* on large scales
- energy density for massive field,  $ho_{\chi} = m^2 \chi^2/2$
- spectrum of initially isocurvature density perturbations

$$\zeta_{\chi} \approx \frac{1}{3} \frac{\delta \rho_{\chi}}{\rho_{\chi}} \approx \frac{1}{3} \left( \frac{2\chi \delta \chi + \delta \chi^2}{\chi^2} \right)$$

- transferred to radiation when curvaton decays with some efficiency  $\approx \Omega_{\chi,decay}$ 

$$\xi = \Omega_{\chi,decay} \zeta_{\chi}$$
$$= \zeta_G + \frac{3}{4\Omega_{\chi,decay}} \zeta_G^2 \implies f_{NL} = \frac{5}{4\Omega_{\chi,decay}}$$

### new ekpyrotic scenario:

Lehners et al; Buchbinder et al; Creminelli and Senatore 2007

Contracting universe driven by multiple scalar fields with steep strongly interacting potentials, V<0

- rapidly growing (diverging) Hubble rate during collapse
- tachyonic instability leads to rapidly growing isocurvature perturbations with scale invariant spectrum



# ekpyrotic non-Gaussianity

Koyama, Mizuno, Vernizzi & Wands 2007

(but see also Creminelli & Senatore, Buchbinder et al, Lehners & Steinhardt 2007)

Simplest model:

- tachyonic instability towards steepest descent (-> single field)
- converts isocurvature field perturbations to curvature/density perturbations (calculated via delta-N, but confirmed by Langlois & Vernizzi's second-order equations)
- Simple model => clear predictions:
  - small blue spectral tilt (for  $c^2 >> 1$ ):

 $- n - 1 = 4 / c^2 > 0$ 

- large and *negative* bispectrum:

-  $f_{NL} = -(5/12) c_i^2 < -(5/3)/(n-1)$ 

- Other authors consider corrections (e.g.,  $c_i(\varphi_i)$ ) and corrections to  $f_{NL}$ 
  - But generally, steep potentials and fast roll
     => large non-Gaussianity



### curvaton vs ekpyrotic non-Gaussianity?

Curvaton

- $f_{NL} > -5/4$
- energy density is quadratic
  - higher order statistics well described by fNL
  - even for multiple curvatons (Assadullahi, Valiviita & Wands 2008)
- unless self-interactions significant (e.g.,  $\lambda \phi^4$ ) (Enqvist et al 2009) Ekpyrotic
  - $f_{NL}$  negative or positive?
  - potentials are steep quasi-exponential
    - expect large non-linearities at all orders

# Beyond f<sub>NL</sub>?

#### Higher-order statistics

- **trispectrum**  $\Rightarrow$   $g_{NL}$  (Seery & Lidsey; Byrnes, Sasaki & Wands 2006...)
- delta-N gives full probability distribution function (Sasaki, Valiviita & Wands 2007)
- Multi-variate local non-Gaussianity
  - local function of more than one independent Gaussian field
  - adiabatic and entropy decomposition (Langlois, Vernizzi & Wands 2008)

$$\zeta = \frac{\partial N}{\partial \sigma} \delta \sigma + \frac{\partial N}{\partial s} \delta s + \frac{1}{2} \frac{\partial^2 N}{\partial s^2} \delta s^2 + \dots$$

• c.f. Boubekeur & Lyth; Chambers & Rajantie (2007)

- e.g., mixed inflaton-curvaton model (Bartolo & Liddle, 2002, Langlois & Vernizzi 2003, etc)
- scale-dependent fNL (Byrnes, Nurmi, Tasinato & Wands 2009)

#### Non-linear isocurvature perturbations

- extend  $\delta N$  to isocurvature modes (Kawasaki et al; Langlois, Vernizzi & Wands 2008)
- limits on isocurvature density perturbations (Hikage et al 2008)



### Adiabatic+entropy split



Gordon, Wands, Bassett & Maartens 2001 Langlois, Vernizzi & Wands 2008

adiabatic + entropy modes both contribute to power spectrum

 $P(k) = P_{\sigma}(k) + P_{s}(k)$ spectral tilts:  $n-1 = \frac{d \ln P}{d \ln k} - 3, \quad n_{s} - 1 = \frac{d \ln P_{s}}{d \ln k} - 3$ 

only entropy modes contribute significantly to the bispectrum

 $B(k_{1},k_{2},k_{3}) = B_{s}(k_{1},k_{2},k_{3}) = f_{NL}^{(s)} \left( P_{s}(k_{1}) P_{s}(k_{2}) + perms \right)$   $\Rightarrow f_{NL} = f_{NL}^{(s)} \frac{\left( P_{s}(k_{1}) P_{s}(k_{2}) + perms \right)}{\left( P(k_{1}) P(k_{2}) + perms \right)}$  $\Rightarrow n_{f_{NL}} = \frac{d \ln |f_{NL}|}{d \ln k} = 2(n_{s} - n)$ 

adiabatic mode bispectrum suppressed (Maldacena 2002)

#### summary:

- **Inflation** is simplest model for origin of structure compatible with current data
- **Spectral index** of density perturbations (n≠1) evidence for slow-roll dynamics during inflation
- Canonical single-field models (e.g. chaotic inflation) in good agreement with power spectra, but...
- Any non-Gaussianity and/or non-adiabaticity of primordial perturbations would rule out all canonical single-field models
- Still lots of models, but lots more data coming!