### An Independent Measurement of H<sub>0</sub> from Lensed Quasars



### **Kenneth Wong**

#### on behalf of the H0LiCOW/TDCOSMO collaobration

#### Kavli IPMU Postdoc Colloquium Series October 9, 2020







## The Standard Model of Cosmology

Standard model is the "flat  $\Lambda$ CDM" cosmology, where  $\Omega_k = 1 - \Omega_m - \Omega_\Lambda = 0$ , w = -1

Very successful at explaining a variety of observations

Planck mission measures cosmological parameters by observing the cosmic microwave background (CMB)

Planck observations do not directly constrain the Hubble constant (H<sub>0</sub>) - must assume a cosmological model (e.g., flat ΛCDM)



### **Recent Tension in Ho Measurements**



## **Gravitational Lensing**



Animation credit: M. Mori

- Background object (source) magnified by foreground object (lens)
- Multiple images  $\rightarrow$  create lens model
- Lensing effect depends on:
  - mass distribution of lens
  - line of sight structure
  - cosmology



Animation credit: Y. Hezaveh

### **Time-Delay Cosmography**

- There is a "time delay" between the multiple variable lensed images
  - due to different path length, gravitational potential at different images
- Can determine "time-delay distance"  $D_{\Delta t}$ , inversely proportional to  $H_0$
- One-step method to infer  $H_0$ , independent of CMB and distance ladder



### **Cosmology with Lensed Quasars**

Lensed quasars

 $\bullet$ 

- variable on short timescales (~days)
- bright and easy to detect
- Measure time delay by monitoring lensed quasars over time
  - identical features in light curve correspond to same source event, but shifted in time



### **Cosmology with Lensed Quasars**

- To constrain  $D_{\Delta t}$ , need:
  - Measured time delay ( $\Delta t$ )
  - Accurate lens model (to determine  $\Phi_{\text{lens}}$ )
  - Estimate of mass along line of sight ( $K_{ext}$ ; can bias  $D_{\Delta t}$ )
  - Lens galaxy velocity dispersion (complementary constraints on lens model and cosmological parameters; e.g., Jee+2015, 2016)



# HOLICOW / TDCOSMO



- H<sub>0</sub> Lenses in COSMOGRAIL's Wellspring (H0LiCOW; Suyu+2017) project has performed detailed analysis of several time-delay lenses
  - long term monitoring from COSMOGRAIL (Courbin+2005), VLA (Fassnacht+2002) for accurate time delays
  - high-resolution imaging for detailed lens modeling
  - wide-field imaging/spectroscopy to characterize mass along LOS
  - spectroscopy to measure lens velocity dispersion
- New umbrella collaboration: TDCOSMO
  - H0LiCOW + COSMOGRAIL + STRIDES + SHARP + other collaborators
- Seven lenses analyzed to date (see Wong+2020, Millon+2020a for latest results)



# **Time Delay Measurements**



- COSMOGRAIL: long-term monitoring of time-delay lenses using small (1-m and 2-m) telescopes (Courbin+2011; Bonvin+2017)
- Well-tested algorithms for time-delay measurements (Tewes+2013)
- Long time baselines needed to minimize effects of microlensing (but high-cadence monitoring possible; Courbin+2018, Millon+2020b)



# Lens Modeling



Kenneth Wong H<sub>0</sub> from lensed quasars

•

•

•

# Mass Along the Line of Sight







Animation credit: M. Mori

- Lenses lie in overdense LOS due to local lens environment (e.g., Fassnacht+2011; Wong+2018)
- Some strong perturbers need to be included explicitly in lens model (e.g., Wilson+2016; McCully+2017; Sluse+2017)
- Estimate effect of weaker perturbers using weighted galaxy number counts calibrated by simulations (e.g., Greene+2013; Rusu+2017,2020)
- Independent weak lensing analysis agrees with weighted number counts method (Tihhonova+2018,2020)

# **Blind Analysis**

- H<sub>0</sub> and related quantities blinded throughout analysis
  - avoid confirmation bias
  - discover unknown systematics
- Blindness can be implemented by subtracting median of posterior PDF during analysis
- Unblind only after analysis completed, agreement by all coauthors
- Unblinded results published without any further modification





# Latest TDCOSMO Results

- Combined result: ~2% precision on H<sub>0</sub> for flat ΛCDM, in >3σ tension with *Planck*
- Power-law and composite (stars+NFW) lens mass models give consistent results
- Spread of PDFs for individual lenses suggests no evidence for unaccounted systematics



#### Wong+2020



10/9/2020

### **Tension between Early and Late-Universe Probes**



 $3.1\sigma$  tension between H0LiCOW and Planck CMB results Combined with SH0ES, 5.3\sigma tension between early and late-Universe probes

# **TDCOSMO Hierarchical Analysis**

- H0LiCOW/TDCOSMO uses physical and well-motivated lens mass profiles
- Potential systematic: mass sheet transform (i.e., deviation from power law or stars+DM)
- New analysis relaxes assumptions on mass profile, use kinematics to constrain mass (Birrer+2020)
- Joint Bayesian hierarchical analysis of TDCOSMO lenses combined with mass profile constraints from 33 SLACS (Bolton+2006) lenses
- Maximally conservative, but assumes similarity between TDCOSMO and SLACS lenses: ~5% constrain on H<sub>0</sub>



## Future of Time-Delay Cosmography

- Goal: 1% precision on H<sub>0</sub>
- More data on time-delay lenses
  - spatially-resolved stellar kinematics (e.g., VLT/MUSE, Keck/KCWI, JWST/NIRSpec)
  - improving kinematics measurement and modeling (e.g., Yidirim+ in prep.)
  - increasing sample size of time-delay lenses (discovery, monitoring, follow-up)
- Adding external data sets
  - external lensing sample precisely matching TDCOSMO (redshift, morphology, etc.)
  - increase sample size of lenses in general (e.g., Rubin Observatory, Euclid, Roman Observatory)
  - add kinematic information from local elliptical galaxies (e.g., SAURON, ATLAS3D)
- Challenge ourselves
  - improve simulations for better validation
  - blind analysis challenges
  - keep analysis blind
  - open source







# Summary

- Time-delay cosmography measures H<sub>0</sub> completely independent of CMB and distance ladder/SNe
- Latest TDCOSMO results attain ~2% precision on  $H_0$  in flat  $\Lambda CDM$ 
  - consistent with SH0ES SNe Ia + distance ladder
  - in >3 $\sigma$  tension with *Planck* CMB value
- Hierarchical analysis using external datasets, relaxed assumptions on mass profile gives ~5% precision on H<sub>0</sub> in flat ΛCDM
- Future developments will push toward a ~1% constraint
  - larger lens samples
  - resolved kinematics
  - more external datasets
  - improved simulations

### **TDCOSMO** Collaboration

Adriano Agnello Timo Anguita Matt Auger Simon Birrer **Roger Blandford** Vivien Bonvin Liz Buckley-Geer James Chan Geoff Chih-Fan Chen Tom Collett Frederic Courbin Xuheng Ding Sebastian Ertl Chris Fassnacht Josh Frieman

Aymeric Galan Daniel Gilman Stefan Hilbert Eiichiro Komatsu Leon Koopmans Cameron Lemon Huan Lin Phil Marshall Martin Millon Georges Meylan Anupreeta More Veronica Motta Sampath Mukherjee Anna Nierenberg Ji Won Park

**Cristian Rusu Thomas Schmidt** Stefan Schuldt **Anowar Shajib Dominique Sluse** Alessandro Sonnenfeld Chiara Spiniello Sherry Suyu Stefan Taubenberger Olga Tihhonova **Tommaso Treu** Georgios Vernardos Lyne Van de Vyvere Kenneth Wong Akın Yıldırım



http://www.h0licow.org http://www.tdcosmo.org