

H. Tanimura, Oct, 2022, Postdoc Colloquium Series@Kavli IPMU

### **ACDM** model



## Motivation: S8 tension

S<sub>8</sub> ( $\equiv \sigma_8(\Omega_m/0.3)^{0.5}$ ) represents the amplitude of the structure formation;  $\sigma_8$ : the amplitude of matter density fluctuations and  $\Omega_m$ : matter density.



H. Tanimura, Oct, 2022, Postdoc Colloquium Series@Kavli IPMU

# Motivation: S8 tension

S<sub>8</sub> ( $\equiv \sigma_8(\Omega_m/0.3)^{0.5}$ ) represents the amplitude of structure formation;  $\sigma_8$ : the amplitude of matter density fluctuations and  $\Omega_m$ : matter density.





## Sunyaev-Zel'dovich(SZ) Effect

SZ effect is the distortion of the CMB spectrum

caused by high energy electrons in galaxy clusters (in the cosmic web).



## Why SZ effect?



#### SZ effect is

- proportional to gas pressure and can be used to probe gravitational potential.
- independent of redshift and keeps all the pressure between CMB and us.
- sensitive to  $\sigma_8$  and  $\Omega_m$  cosmological parameters as SZ  $\propto \sigma_8^8 \Omega_m^3$ .

## SZ measurement

Using the latest Planck PR4 data released in 2020, SZ signal is extracted by applying the MILCA algorithm (Hurier et al., 2013) for HFI 6 frequency maps.



## SZ map

New SZ map (10') compared with the old SZ map in 2015 (Planck 2015 results XXII).



The level of survey strip pattern is significantly reduced in our new y-map due to the de-striping procedure in the PR4's band maps.

H. Tanimura, Oct, 2022, Postdoc Colloquium Series@Kavli IPMU

### SZ power spectrum

The amplitude of power spectrum from our SZ map is lower.

It is due to 8% more data and minimal survey strips in the latest Planck maps, and our window function that suppresses residual foreground emissions.



H. Tanimura, Oct, 2022, Postdoc Colloquium Series@Kavli IPMU

#### Model of SZ power spectrum

The SZ power spectrum is modeled with a halo model prescription. (Only one-halo term is shown here.)

$$C_{\ell}^{SZ} = \int dz \frac{d^2 V}{dz d\Omega} \int dM \frac{dn(M,z)}{dM} |\tilde{y}_{\ell}(M,z)|^2$$

Halo mass function SZ signal in a halo

$$\tilde{y}_{\ell}(M,z) = \frac{\sigma_{\rm T}}{m_{\rm e}c^2} \frac{4\pi r_{\rm s}}{\ell_{\rm s}^2} \int \mathrm{d}x x^2 \frac{\sin(\ell x/\ell_{\rm s})}{\ell x/\ell_{\rm s}} P_{\rm e}(x,M,z)$$

pressure in a halo

$$\frac{P(r)}{P_{500}} = \mathbb{P}(x) \qquad P_{500} = 1.65 \times 10^{-3} \left[\frac{H(z)}{H_0}\right]^{8/3} \\ \times \left[\frac{(1-b)M_{500}}{3 \times 10^{14} (h/0.7)^{-1} M_{\odot}}\right]^{2/3+\alpha_p} \left(\frac{h}{0.7}\right)^2 \text{ keV cm}^{-3}$$
mass bias

H. Tanimura, Oct, 2022, Postdoc Colloquium Series@Kavli IPMU

#### Cosmological analysis with MCMC

Cosmological analysis under ACDM including contaminations in the SZ map;



SZ signals dominates our y-map at 60 < ell < 600.

#### Our best SZ model

Our best SZ model is consistent with a previous result from the Planck 2015 but has a slight tension with ACT (cyan, Dunkley et al. 2013) and SPT (orange, Reichardt et al. 2021).



H. Tanimura, Oct, 2022, Postdoc Colloquium Series@Kavli IPMU

#### Cosmological parameter estimate

SZ cosmological parameters

- consistent with KiDS-1000 3x2pt (Heymans et al 2021) and DES Y1 3x2pt results (DES 2018).
- slight tension with the Planck CMB's result,



#### **Systematics**

Systematics in the cosmological analysis with the SZ power spectrum analysis..



We obtained  $S_8 \equiv \sigma_8 (\Omega_m/0.3)^{0.5} = 0.764^{+0.015}_{-0.018} (stat)^{+0.031}_{-0.016} (sys)$ Our S8 value is consistent with the Planck CMB's S8 = 0.830 ± 0.013 within 2 $\sigma$ .

H. Tanimura, Oct, 2022, Postdoc Colloquium Series@Kavli IPMU

#### Improvement by ACTPol data



to better constrain the cosmological parameters and the pressure profile model



#### What causes the S<sub>8</sub> tension?

#### Key to solve the S<sup>8</sup> tension is the cosmic evolution.



Even for the same S<sup>8</sup> value, massive neutrino and decaying dark matter scenario predict different time evolution and different scale dependence.

H. Tanimura, Oct, 2022, Postdoc Colloquium Series@Kavli IPMU

## SZ power spectrum with massive neutrino

Comparison of the observed and predicted SZ angular power spectra from BAHAMAS hydrodynamic simulations with different neutrino mass



Planck2015+SPT+ACT prefers Mv ~ 0.24 eV, but the bad fitting due to the unknown inconsistency between Planck and ACT/SPT

Note: BAHAMAS includes baryonic effects, which do not explain the inconsistency.

H. Tanimura, Oct, 2022, Postdoc Colloquium Series@Kavli IPMU

#### SZ power spectrum in DDM scenario

Two DDM models can be considered in Abellan et al 2022.



The amplitude and shape of the SZ power spectrum depends on assumed DDM scenarios.

H. Tanimura, Oct, 2022, Postdoc Colloquium Series@Kavli IPMU

#### SZ power spectrum in DDM scenario

In DDM1, a lower S8 value is caused by lower  $\Omega m$ . In DDM2, a lower S8 value is caused by lower  $\sigma 8$ .



### Summary

- We constructed new SZ map (10') using the latest Planck PR4 data, which is improved in statistics (~7%) and systematics (survey strips).
- Best SZ model is consistent with ACT and SPT results within  $2\sigma$ .
- Obtained S8 value is consistent with the DES and KiDS results.
- Obtained S8 value is  $S_8 \equiv \sigma_8 (\Omega_m/0.3)^{0.5} = 0.764 ^{+0.015}_{-0.018} (stat) ^{+0.031}_{-0.016} (sys)$ and consistent with the Planck CMB's S8 = 0.830 ± 0.013 within 2 $\sigma$ .

#### (For future)

- New SZ data from Planck + ACTPol will help to improve statistics and systematics for cosmological analysis.
- The combination of CMB + SZ data will help to constrain the DDM models.

H. Tanimura, Oct, 2022, Postdoc Colloquium Series@Kavli IPMU