# Observations of extrasolar planets



Wednesday, November 9, 2011



#### radar image from Magellan (vertical scale exaggerated 10 X)















# Uranus and Neptune



we need to look out about 10 parsecs or 2 million astronomical units to examine 100 stars for planets

Wednesday, November 9, 2011

# why is finding planets hard?

- the Earth is visible in reflected light from the Sun
- the total light reflected by Earth is about 10<sup>-9</sup> of the light emitted by the Sun
- Jupiter is bigger but more distant so only about 4X brighter than Earth
- These would be easy to see with modern telescopes at 10 parsecs if the star were not right beside them

(imagine looking at a lighthouse 1000 km away and trying to detect a firefly flying 1 meter from the light)

With current technology direct imaging requires one or more of:

- planet with a large orbital radius (e.g. 100 AU vs. 30 AU for Neptune)
- observations in the infrared (for a black body in thermal equilibrium at 100 AU around the Sun, emission peaks at 100 microns)
- young planet (Jupiter's internal luminosity falls at 1/age)

#### Fomalhaut HST ACS/HRC

star is at 7.7 parsecs
planet has 110 AU orbital radius, 900 yr orbital period
100-300 Myr stellar age

Dust ring

Scattered starlight "noise"

Coronagraph mask

No data

Background Star

Fomalhaut

100 AU 13"

No data

Fomalhaut b planet

2004

006

#### HR 8799

star is at 39 parsecs
planets have masses of 7-10 Jupiter masses and projected separations of 14-68 AU

• 30-160 Myr age

Marois et al. (2008, 2010)



Wednesday, November 9, 2011

#### Observation of Stellar Motions Due to Presence of Extra-Solar Planet



- current accuracy: velocity of 1 meter/sec (3 parts in 10<sup>9</sup>)
  - cross-correlation uses all lines in spectrum
  - high S/N (bright stars, big telescopes)
  - iodine absorption cell
  - old stars (less rotation, less activity)
  - G stars
- Jupiter: orbital period of 12 yr, reflex velocity of Sun 13 meter/sec
- Earth: orbital period of 1 yr, reflex velocity of Sun 0.1 meter/ sec

$$\Delta v \propto rac{m \sin I}{M} \sqrt{rac{GM}{a}}$$

Given the star mass M (known from spectral type), radial-velocity observations yield:

- orbital period P
- semi-major axis a
- combination of planet mass m & inclination I, m sin I
- orbit eccentricity e



- the star is similar to the Sun and 11 parsecs away
- the planet orbits once every 15.8 days, has a mass 4 X that of Jupiter (if edge-on orbit), and is 0.11 AU from the star



Wednesday, November 9, 2011

# Timing

- works for pulsars, pulsating stars, eclipsing binaries
- observed period is  $P=P_0(1+v/c)$  so

$$\frac{dv}{dt} = c \frac{d\log P}{dt}$$

# Pulsar planets

- three planets discovered orbiting PSR
   B1257+12 by Wolszczan & Frail (1992)
- orbital parameters can be determined far more accurately than for radial-velocity measurements of nearby stars
- two planets near 3:2 resonance which enhances mutual perturbations, so these can be measured
- remarkably similar to the inner solar system



### Konacki & Wolszczan (2003)

#### TABLE 2

**Orbital and Physical Parameters of Planets**<sup>a</sup>

Parameter	Planet A	Planet B	Planet C
Projected semimajor axis, $x^0$ (ms)	0.0030 (1)	1.3106 (1)	1.4134 (2)
Eccentricity, $e^0$	0.0	0.0186 (2)	0.0252 (2)
Epoch of pericenter, $T_p^0$ (MJD)	49765.1 (2)	49768.1 (1)	49766.5 (1)
Orbital period, $P_b^0$ (day)	25.262 (3)	66.5419 (1)	98.2114 (2)
Longitude of pericenter, $\omega^0$ (deg)	0.0	250.4 (6)	108.3 (5)
Mass $(M_{\oplus})$	0.020 (2)	4.3 (2)	3.9 (2)
Inclination, solution 1, $i^0$ (deg)		53 (4)	47 (3)
Inclination, solution 2, $i^0$ (deg)		127 (4)	133 (3)
Planet semimajor axis, $a_p^0$ (AU)	0.19	0.36	0.46
Non-Keplerian dynamical parameters			
$\gamma_{\rm B} \; (\times 10^{-6}) \; \dots \; \dots$		9.2 (4)	
$\gamma_{\rm C} \ (\times 10^{-6}) \ \dots \ \dots$		8.3 (4)	
$\tau$ (deg)		2.1 (9)	

<sup>a</sup> Figures in parentheses are the formal 1  $\sigma$  uncertainties in the last digits quoted.

### Konacki & Wolszczan (2003)

### **Transit of Venus**

next June 6, 2012,
22:10 UTC at Tokyo

F 8

2



### Jupiter's satellite Io

Wednesday, November 9, 2011





### **Transit searches**

Why are these so hard?

- probability that a given planet will transit is small, ~  $r_{star}/a$  (only 0.5% at a = 1 AU)
- transit duration is short,  $\sim (r_{star}/a)P/\pi$
- transit depth is small, <1% \*</li>
- confusion from grazing eclipsing binary stars
- star spots, stellar pulsations, stellar flares
- incomplete sampling (daytime, weather, observing schedules, etc.)\*
- \* much easier in space





## Kepler (NASA)

- launch March 6 2009
- stare 24/7 for five years at a single patch of sky
- monitor 200,000 stars for transits
- ppm precision





Kepler Commissioning data (10 days)





Kepler Commissioning data (10 days)

Wednesday, November 9, 2011



van Kerkwijk et al. (2010)

- orbital period P = 5.2 days
- two curious features:
  - sinusoidal brightness variations at fundamental and first harmonic
  - transit (U shape) is <u>shallower</u> than occultation (square well)



van Kerkwijk et al. (2010)

- orbital period P = 5.2 days
- two curious features:
  - sinusoidal brightness variations at fundamental and first harmonic
  - transit (U shape) is shallower than occultation (square well)
- both can be explained if the companion is a white dwarf rather than a planet:
  - occultation is deeper because the white dwarf is hotter than the primary (T=13,000 K vs. 9,400 K)
  - first harmonic due to tidal distortion of the primary by the white dwarf
  - fundamental due to Doppler boosting
  - white dwarf has mass 0.22±0.03  $M_{\odot};$  radius 0.043±0.004  $R_{\odot}$

### **Struve (1952)**

But there seems to be no compelling reason why the hypothetical stellar planets should not, in some instances, be much closer to their parent stars than is the case in the solar system. It would be of interest to test whether there are any such objects.

We know that *stellar* companions can exist at very small distances. It is not unreasonable that a planet might exist at a distance of 1/50 astronomical unit, or about 3,000,000 km. Its period around a star of solar mass would then be about 1 day.

We can write Kepler's third law in the form  $\underline{V}^3 \sim \frac{1}{P}$ . Since the orbital velocity of the Earth is 30 km/sec, our hypothetical planet would have a velocity of roughly 200 km/sec. If the mass of this planet were equal to that of Jupiter, it would cause the observed radial velocity of the parent star to oscillate with a range of  $\pm$  0.2 km/sec—a quantity that might be just detectable with the most powerful Coudé spectrographs in existence. A planet ten times the mass of Jupiter would be very easy to detect, since it would cause the observed radial velocity of the star to oscillate with  $\pm$  2 km/sec. This is correct only for those orbits whose inclinations are 90°. But even for more moderate inclinations it should be possible, without much difficulty, to discover planets of IO times the mass of Jupiter by the Doppler effect.

There would, of course, also be eclipses. Assuming that the mean density of the planet is five times that of the star (which may be optimistic for such a large planet) the projected eclipsed area is about 1/50th of that of the star, and the loss of light in stellar magnitudes is about 0.02. This, too, should be ascertainable by modern photoelectric methods, though the spectrographic test would probably be more accurate. The advantage of the photometric procedure would be its fainter limiting magnitude compared to that of the high-dispersion spectrographic technique.

## **Gravitational lensing**

- a particle traveling at high speed v past a mass M with impact parameter b suffers angular deflection  $\alpha = 2GM/v^2b$
- in general relativity, deflection of a photon is
   obtained by replacing v by c and multiplying by 2:

$$\alpha = \frac{4GM}{c^2b}$$

 three effects: position shift, image splitting, image magnification

## Gravitational lensing

the gravitational field from the lensing star:

splits image into two
magnifies one image
and demagnifies the
other

- if source, lens and observer are exactly in line the image appears as an Einstein ring



## Gravitational microlensing

Consider a source star near the center of the Galaxy, lensed by an intervening star at half that distance. Then  $\theta_{E}=0.001$  arcsec ~ 4 AU.

- image splitting or shift is impossible to see
- image magnification is easy to see
- time required to transit Einstein ring ~D<sub>L</sub>θ<sub>E</sub>/v~0.2 yr, for v~100 km/s
- substantial magnification if and only if impact parameter less than Einstein radius
- chance that any given star is microlensed is only  $\sim 10^{-6}$



## Gravitational microlensing of planets

- Einstein radius scales as M<sup>1/2</sup> so cross-section and expected duration scale as M<sup>1/2</sup>~ 0.03 for Jupiter, i.e. duration ~ 1 day for Jupiter, ~1 hour for Earth
- image magnification is the same
- Einstein ring radius ~ typical planet orbital radius



Beaulieu et al. (2006): 5.5 (+5.5/-2.7) M<sub>Earth</sub>, 2.6 (+1.5/-0.6) AU orbit, 0.22(+0.21/-0.11) M<sub>Sun</sub>, D<sub>L</sub>=6.6±1.1 kpc





Wednesday, November 9, 2011



- two planets, b and c
- can detect orbital motion of Earth and planet c
- $m_b = 0.71 \pm 0.08 M_{Jupiter}$ ,  $m_c = 0.27 \pm 0.03 M_{Jupiter}$
- assuming coplanar, circular orbits  $a_b = 2.3 \pm 0.2$  AU,  $a_c = 4.6 \pm 0.5$  AU
- distance 1.49 ± 0.13 kpc
- M\*=0.50  $\pm$  0.05 M $_{\odot}$



Gaudi et al. (2008)

## Astrometry



### why this is hard:

- typical motions << 0.001</li>
   arcsec ~ 10<sup>-8</sup> radians
- not many nearby stars

confusion from outer
 planets: maximum radial
 velocity is (m/M)(GM/a)<sup>1/2</sup> but
 maximum wobble is (m/M)a

oper space missions:

- GAIA (ESA)
  - launch 2013

every Jupiter analog within
50 pc

• 10<sup>4</sup> - 5 × 10<sup>4</sup> planets

also transits via photometry

### the current track record:

- imaging: 26
- radial velocity: 644
- transits: 185
- gravitational lensing: 13
- timing: 12

0

astrometry:

#### see

http://www.exoplanet.eu, http://exoplanets.org/



figure from Seager (2011)

## Current record-holders (RV surveys)

- smallest semi-major axis a =  $0.0143 \text{ AU} = 3.06 \text{ R}_{sun}$
- largest semi-major axis a=11.6 AU (Jupiter = 5.2 AU)
- biggest eccentricity e = 0.94
- smallest eccentricity e = 0
- smallest mass 0.0061  $M_{Jupiter} = 1.9 M_{Earth}$









Wednesday, November 9, 2011



Wednesday, November 9, 2011







Semi-Major Axis [Astronomical Units (AU)]



eccentricity distribution of massive planets is similar to that of binary stars

Ribas & Miralda-Escudé (2007)



high-mass stars are more likely to host planets

Johnson (2007)

# The Rossiter-McLaughlin Effect



Wednesday, November 9, 2011

# The Rossiter-McLaughlin Effect



Wednesday, November 9, 2011







