

GRAVITATIONAL WAVES FROM COMPACT OBJECT BINARIES



Ashley J. Ruiter

New Mexico State University / Center for Astrophysics Graduate Student / Pre-doctoral Fellow

Dr. Chris Belczynski (PhD Advisor) Los Alamos / J. Kepler Institute of Astronomy, Univ. of Zielona Gora

Collaborators: Dr. Matthew Benacquista (CGWA, U of Texas, Brownsville) Dr. Shane Larson (Utah State University) Dr. Kelly Holley-Bockelmann (Vanderbilt)



INSTITUTE FOR PHYSICS AND MATHEMATICS OF THE UNIVERSE SEMINAR KASHIWA, JAPAN • FEB. 25, 2009

Outline

- BINARY EVOLUTION VERY BRIEF OVERVIEW
- STARTRACK POPULATION SYNTHESIS CODE
- WD + WD BINARIES (LISA)
- NS + NS, NS + BH, BH + BH (E.G., LIGO)

BINARY STAR EVOLUTION IMPORTANCE OF CLOSE BINARIES

- ~ 2/3 of stars are in binary systems (50% binary fraction)
- Observations: initial orbital parameters (<u>separations</u>, <u>eccentricities</u>, initial binary star <u>mass ratios</u>) somewhat constrained (observations very difficult)!
- Close binaries give rise to interesting and higly energetic astrophysical phenomena (SNIa explosions, novae bursts, Super Soft X-ray Sources, accretioninduced collapse, Gravitational Radiation (GR), Gamma Ray Bursts)
- Most uncertain phase of binary evolution which "brings stars close together": Common Envelope (CE)



Modeling the Stellar Population(s)

- Population Synthesis Monte Carlo method of evolving a stellar population from the ZAMS to uncover and assess the efficiency of particular formation channels of various stellar/binary types
- Use the StarTrack population synthesis code (Belczynski et al. 2002; 2008) to evolve the (Galactic) population of field single and binary stars incorporating modified analytical formulae for stellar evolution (Hurley et al. 2000)
- Binary evolution: Consider common envelope (CE) evolution, metallicity, IMF, binary fraction, mass accretion efficiencies, supernova kicks, gravitational radiation, magnetic braking, SFR
- Identify the formation channels and physical characteristics, (e.g. calculate LISA gravitational wave frequencies, CV X-ray luminosity function(s) or SN la delay times and rates)

Gravitational Radiation (GR)

- Predicted by General Relativity: massive objects undergoing acceleration will warp space-time in a detectable* way
- Many astrophysical objects are not observable in the electromagnetic spectrum, but can be bright in gravitational waves
- Close binary stars will lose orbital angular momentum due to emission of GWs: circular binaries $f_{GR} = 2/P_{orb}$ [Hz]; the space-time distortion propagates outward manifested as GWs
- Ground-based GW detectors are currently operational ($f_{GR} > 10$ Hz) no detections yet...



*GWs have not yet been directly observed, but have been inferred from observations (pulsar 1913+16, Hulse & Taylor)





LISA:

LASER INTERFEROMETER SPACE ÅNTENNA

- Future space-based Gravitational Wave detector and will be sensitive to astrophysical sources such as merging supermassive BHs, Extreme Mass Ratio Inspirals (EMRIs), & stellar-mass binaries in MW (also: DECIGO)
- At low frequencies, there will be 100s of MW white dwarf binaries per resolvable frequency bin, and so signal will be "confusion-limited" and binaries unresolvable (confusion foreground)
 - $\Delta f_{bin} = 30 \text{ nHz}$
- Not ONLY "noise"! Some 1000s of WD binaries will be resolved offering an unprecedented opportunity to study <u>post-Common Envelope</u> <u>binaries</u> and learn more about binary evolution, WD structure

Galactic Double WDs - important confusion noise for LISA

- Double WD confusion foreground will hinder detection of other GW sources below a few mHz (i.e., extreme mass ratio inspirals - probe of strong gravity regime)
- Understanding of physical characteristics of Galactic double WDs allows to correctly remove them from LISA data stream

Setting limits on double WD physical properties will aid in the development of improved data analysis techniques (Mock LISA Data Challenges); optimize GR source detection



LISA sensitivity to Galactic double WDs: Confusion Noise

Several studies (i.e., Hils et al. 1990; Nelemans et al. 2001, etc.) have calculated the LISA GR signal from Galactic WD binaries



StarTrack spatial distribution of sources (bulge, disc, halo)

1e+05



Galactic and Halo DWD LISA signals

(LISA signal simulator; Benacquista et al. 2004)

Liss

ARXIV:0705:3272 (APJ SUBMITTED); ARXIV:0712:0847 (APJ IN PRESS)



Double Compact Objects: A Brief Overview

Chris Belczynski^{1,2,3}



¹Los Alamos National Laboratory

²Oppenheimer Fellow

³EXIST Team



 $\mathcal{O} \mathcal{Q} \mathcal{O}$

< □ > < 同 > < 亘 > < 亘 >

Ashley Ruiter on Behalf of Chris Belczynski, Japan, Feb 2009

Observations: known double compact objects

- BH-BH, BH-NS: no observations
- NS-NS: 9 Galactic systems. 6 are close:

Phone #	$M_{\rm ns,1}/M_{\odot}$	$M_{\rm ns,1}/M_{\odot}$	t _{mrg} /Gyr	Comment
1) B1913+16	1.44	1.39	0.33	field
2) B1534+12	1.33	1.35	2.7	filed
3) J0737-3039	1.34	1.25	0.09	field
4) J1756-2251	1.39	1.18	1.7	field
5) J1906+0746	1.25	1.37	0.30	field
6) B2127+11C	1.36	1.38	0.22	cluster

– short lived systems: \sim 0.3 Gyr (pulsar age) + \sim 0.1-3 Gyr (merger time)

- empirical Galactic merger rate: $3-190 \text{ Myr}^{-1}$ (Kim et al. 06)

<ロ > < 同 > < 三 > < 三 > <

王

Galactic Perspective Extragalactic Perspective

Predictions for LIGO: field populations

Galactic merger rates:

- high for NS-NS inspiral
- small for BH-NS and BH-BH (model dependent: CE phase)

LIGO detection rates:

- no chance for LIGO I
- many detections for LIGO II

Detection rates dominated by:

- NS-NS: $\mathcal{M}_{chirp} = 1.2 M_{\odot} \pmod{1}$
- if BH-BH (Mod2): field population: $\mathcal{M}_{chirp} \sim 7M_{\odot}$ (survival through CE: how?)

Table: Galactic Merger Rates [Myr⁻¹]

Model	NS-NS	BH-NS	BH-BH
Mod1	15	0.1	0.02
Mod2	80	4	10

Table: LIGO II Detection Rates [yr⁻¹]

Model	NS-NS	BH-NS	BH-BH
Mod1	20	1	2
Mod2	100	30	500

Mod1 (mergers) -> Mod2 (avoided): Mergers in Common Envelope with Hertzsprung Gap donors

<ロ > < 同 > < 同 > < 三 > < 三 > 、

王

Galactic Perspective Extragalactic Perspective

Observations: known BH masses

- 4 14M_☉: Galactic BHs (20 known)
 17 transients: low mass companion
 3 persistent: massive companion
 BH-NS? most probably SN disruption
- 16M_☉: M33 X-7 (Orosz et al. 07)
 massive 70M_☉ close companion
 BH-BH? most probably MT merger
- 24M_o: IC10 X-1 (Prestwich et al. 07) – massive 17M_o close WR companion BH-BH? most probably YES

Despite recent claims: Stellar models can explain BHs to $\sim 30 M_{\odot}$



< □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > <

Ξ

Double Compact Objects: Observations Detection of Gravitational Waves

Galactic Perspective Extragalactic Perspective

IC10 X-1: evolution and fate



Depending on the adopted WR winds:

- BH-NS is formed (Nugis&Lamers)
- BH-BH is formed (Hamann&Koesterke)



3

 $\mathcal{O} \mathcal{Q} \mathcal{O}$

Belczynski 2009

Gravitational radiation: LIGO/VIRGO

Galactic NS-NS merger rates:

- empirical NS-NS: 3-190 Myr⁻¹ (Kim, Kalogera & Lorimer 06)
- recent population synthesis: 10-100 Myr⁻¹ (Belczynski et al. 07) LIGO/VIRGO detection rates: $\mathcal{R}_{gr} \ll 1 yr^{-1}$ (~ 1 per 100 yr)

IC10 BH-BH-like merger rates:

•
$$\mathcal{M}_{chirp} \sim 20 M_{\odot}$$
: detectable to $d_{bhbh} \sim 200$ Mpc

•
$$\mathcal{R}_{gr} = 0.63 \left(\frac{4\pi}{\Omega_s}\right) \left(\frac{\mathcal{M}_{chirp}}{18M_{\odot}}\right)^{5/2} \left(\frac{d_{nsns}}{18M_{pc}}\right)^3 \left(\frac{2Mpc}{d_{chandra}}\right)^3 \left(\frac{10^6 \text{ yr}}{t_{xray}}\right) \text{ yr}^{-1}$$

LIGO/VIRGO: $\mathcal{R}_{gr} \sim 1 yr^{-1}$ (Bulik, Belczynski & Prestwich 08)

SUMMARY

• Gravitational wave detectors can uncover the formation history (evolution) of close binary stars

• Modeling: parameter space is quite large; need to test different model assumptions a priori (initial orbital parameters, prescriptions for CE efficiency, stellar winds, etc.)

• Important for understanding Gamma Ray Burst progenitors, potential progenitors of Type Ia supernovae, accretion-induced collapse neutron stars, R Cr B stars, X-ray binary star evolution, etc.