Evolution of the most massive galaxies: a statistical study of SDSS LRGs

Tomer Tal Yale University

Pieter van Dokkum, David Wake BOSS collaboration

> Astronomy Seminar IPMU 2012/02/16

Massive galaxies in the nearby universe

- Old stellar populations and low star formation rates
- The most massive galaxies are along the red sequence
- Spectra dominated by old stars



Massive galaxies at high-z

- The most massive galaxies are also the oldest out to z~2 (10 Gyr ago)
- Colors possibly imply low star formation since shortly after creation



Whitaker et al 2010

Massive galaxies at z=2

 Continuous (and slow) mass growth from z=2 to z=0



van Dokkum et al. 2010

How do massive galaxies grow?

• Star formation rates are low at 0<z<1

(e.g., Faber 73, Balogh+04, Worthey+92, Peletier 98, Jørgensen+99, Trager+00, , Kauffmann+03, Hogg+04, Thomas+05)

• Major mergers

(e.g., van Dokkum+99, Patton+02, Tran +05, van Dokkum 05, Bell+06, Boylan-Kolchin+06, Naab+06, Bundy+06, Masjedi+06, Wake+06, McIntosh+08, Wake+08, Masjedi+08, Bundy+09)

• At least some growth due to minor mergers

(e.g., Kormendy+89, Schweizer+92, van Dokkum 05, Naab+07,09, Bournaud+07, Stewart+08, Bezanson+09, Tal+09)

Minor mergers

 Consistent with simple analytic calculations



Observing (minor) mergers

- Direct observations of individual systems and their environments
 - Detailed information from photometry and spectra (accurate sizes, colors, neighbors, dynamical state)
 - Observationally expensive: high quality images and spectra are typically limited to small samples
 - HSC survey
- Alternative statistical analysis

Statistical study of massive galaxies

- · Well defined sample \rightarrow LRGs
 - Properties of individual systems close to average properties
- Large sample \rightarrow SDSS
 - Meaningful statistics
- Contamination \rightarrow Important

Luminous Red Galaxies (SDSS)

- The reddest, most massive galaxies in SDSS (10^{11} - 10^{12} M $_{\odot}$)
- 90% are group centrals
- Selected in a narrow redshift bin



Luminous Red Galaxies (SDSS)

- The reddest, most massive galaxies in SDSS (10^{11} - 10^{12} M $_{\odot}$)
- 90% are group centrals
- Selected in a narrow redshift bin



EVIDENCE FOR MERGERS IN THE STELLAR HALOS OF LRGS

Massive galaxies at extremely large radii

Mergers and stars

 Major mergers – stars from progenitors are well mixed in the resulting system



Mergers and stars

 Major mergers – stars from progenitors are well mixed in the resulting system



 Minor mergers – tidal stripping distributes accreted stars preferentially in outskirts

$$\bigcirc \div \bullet \quad \Longrightarrow \quad \bigcirc$$

Stellar accretion via tidal stripping

• Energy balance:

$$V_{circ}^{M} = V_{esc}^{m}$$

• "Typical" radius of accreted stars:

$$R_a = \frac{M}{m} \frac{r_m}{2}$$

Color profile of individual ellipticals

- Steep color gradient at small radii
- Only a few galaxies with a measurement at r > 15 kpc
- Kormandy+09



Alternative - stacking

- Averaging a large number of galaxy images
- Improve noise properties by a factor of \sqrt{n}
- Lose all information from any single objects
- → LRGs essentially a single parameter population of galaxies

Stacking

- 42,000 images
- 2.3 Msec integration time, equivalent to 40 hours on 10m class telescope
- Background removed using random stacks



Light Profiles

- PSF
- Reach r-band surface brightness of 31.5 mag arcsec⁻²
- Well fitted with single Sersic parameter set out to 100 kpc
- Sizes typically underestimated by 10% and flux by 20%



Color profile

- Profile in inner ~30 kpc matches nearby galaxies
- Flattens out at ~50 kpc out to 100 kpc
- Consistent with minor mergers



Stellar accretion via tidal stripping

• "Typical" radius of accreted stars:

$$R_{a} = \frac{M}{m} \frac{r_{m}}{2}$$

$$I$$

$$R_{a} \approx 60 \left(\frac{M/m}{10}\right) \left(\frac{r}{6 \, kpc}\right) kpc$$

Stellar accretion via tidal stripping

• "Typical" radius of accreted stars:

$$R_{a} = \frac{M}{m} \frac{r_{m}}{2}$$

$$I$$

$$R_{a} \approx 60 \left(\frac{M/m}{10} \left(\frac{r}{6 \, kpc}\right) kpc\right)$$

THE ENVIRONMENTS OF LUMINOUS RED GALAXIES

Observations of satellite galaxies around SDSS LRGs

Environment

- Important for understanding mergers which galaxies do massive galaxies merge with?
- Estimates of a typical mass ratio
- Describes the mass that surrounds (and affects) the studied galaxy
- Difficult and expensive
- \rightarrow Statistical analysis of many (LRG) environments

SDSS and BOSS LRGs

- Two redshift bins: z~0.34 and z~0.65
- Number-density matched



Photometry

- Detect all objects in 500 kpc apertures around each LRG
- Low detection threshold
- Repeat in randomly selected positions within the same SDSS imaging fields



 Measure luminosity distribution in LRG fields



- Measure luminosity distribution in LRG fields
- Also in random fields



- Measure luminosity distribution in LRG fields
- Also in random fields
- Subtract one from the other



- Measure luminosity distribution in LRG fields
- Also in random fields
- Subtract one from the other
- Poor fit by just a
 Schechter function –
 use two-parameter fits



Deep stripe 82 images

Using deep
 Stripe 82 data
 we constrained
 Schechter slope,
 detection
 threshold



Gap properties

• Width measurement:

$$\int_{L_2}^{\infty} \Phi(L) d \log L = 1$$

$$\Delta M = 2.5 \log(L_2 / L_{cen}) \approx 1.3 mag$$

at both redshifts

 LRG peak consistent with passive luminosity evolution



Selection and the gap

- Gap can be reproduced by randomly sampling a Schechter distribution
- Underlying luminosity distribution may not be unique



The mass growth of LRGs through mergers

- The gap width implies a typical mass ratio of 1:4 between the central galaxy and its most massive satellite
- Mergers of higher mass ratio within the environment unlikely



DARK AND LUMINOUS MATTER: WHAT SATELLITE GALAXIES TELL US

The radial distribution of satellite galaxies around LRGs

Where do LRGs live

- Luminous matter is only part of the story
- Really need total mass mostly dark matter

- Cluster/group observations of dark matter are difficult
 - X-ray, lensing, clustering
- Alternative satellite galaxies as tracers of mass – Already have that!

Method



Method



Completeness

- Radial, 4th order
 B-spline model
 fitting (Bolton+06)
- Improved source detection well inside of 10 kpc



Radial binning

- Combine measurements from 28,000 fields
- Radial distribution of sources in LRG and random apertures



Radial profile of satellite galaxies

- Foreground and background subtracted profile -> satellite galaxies
- Profile confidently traced in the range 7<r/kpc<700
- Power-law model fit



NFW model fit

• Overall well fitted by NFW



NFW model fit

- Overall well fitted by NFW
- Very good fit at large radii
- Significant excess at very small radii



Stellar light profile





Taken from a stack of >40k LRG images at same redshift

(Tal & van Dokkum 2011)

Luminous and dark mass profile



Small radii excess

- Fit to NFW+Sersic is excellent on all scales
- Consistent with Dark/baryonic mass ratio of ~80 – consistent with weak lensing measurements



Summary

- Detailed analyses using statistical tools
 - Deep stacks
 - Satellite properties and distribution
- Evolution overall consistent with growth through minor mergers
- LRGs are unique super massive for their halos
- Next (HSC survey?)
 - Satellite galaxy properties
 - Range of central galaxy masses, colors etc.