HYDROGEN-Deficient Explosions and their Environments

Giorgos Leloudas, Oskar Klein Centre, Stockholm, Sweden





IPMU, 8 March 2012

Outline

• PART I

- Locations of WR stars, SNe lb/c and GRBs (Leloudas+ 2010)
- Environmental metallicities and stellar ages (Leloudas+ 2011)

• PART II

SN 2006oz: the rise of a super-luminous SN (Leloudas+ 2012)

Stripped SNE: the questions



- No progenitor detection
 - Should be WR stars
- How is the H envelope lost?
 - Strong winds
 - Binary interactions
- What gives a GRB?
 - Low metallicity? (or observational bias?)

SN - GRB connection



SN 1998bw Galama+ 1998



Hjorth+ 2003

Stripped SNe: the diagnostics

- Single progenitors: the envelope is stripped by stellar winds.
 - Wind strength depends on metallicity (Vink & De Koter 2005)
- *Prediction*: in the single progenitor scenario, SNe Ic expected to occur in more metal- rich environments than SNe Ib
- Massive stars are like Rock N' Roll stars: live fast and die young!
 - Proximity to recent star formation.

Explosion birthplace studies

 $V_{HEL} < 2000 \text{ km/s}$

3.48.7 9 9.39.69.9

+log(0/H)

8.7

8.4

Prieto et al. 2008

Global

Metallicity

Local Metallicity

9.3

9

12+log(0/H)



- Detailed studies of special events (e.g. Sollerman+ 2005, Modjaz+ 2008)
- Larger statistical studies of regular events but with:
 - global properties (Prantzos & Boissier 2003, Prieto+ 2008)
 - local proxies (Anderson 2009, Boissier & Prantzos 2009)

Location with respect to galaxy light

- GRBs tend to occur in the brightest locations of their hosts (Fruchter+ 2006)
- SNe Ic in brighter pixels than Ib, and Ib in brighter than II (Kelly+ 2008)
- This statistic also used in theoretical studies with predictive power (Larsson+ 2007, Raskin+ 2008)





The next obvious step

- If they have WR progenitors, their locations within their hosts should be similar
- Theoretically:
 - WN \rightarrow SN Ib
 - WC \rightarrow SN Ic
- Used work of Crowther & collaborators
- Completeness is crucial
 - M 83 (Hadfield+ 2005)
 - NGC 1313 (Hadfield & Crowther 2007)
- Removed foreground stars & resampled



Leloudas+ 2010

On the statistics

- 2 galaxies but > 1000 WR stars.
- N_{SN}=44, N_{GRB}=32
- Galaxies are not special, so results can be generalized.
- Metallicity is important
- Now data available for 2 more galaxies (Bibby+ 2010, 2012)



Results





- WC in brighter locations than WN
- Differences with metallicity exist. E.g. SNe II and WN stars compatible at low Z. Expected to some degree (e.g. Georgy+ 2009)

A poor man's contour plot



Discussion

- WR viable progenitors of SNe lb/c and GRBs
- WC distribution at brighter locations than WN
 - WC probabilistically more associated with SNe Ic and WN with SNe Ib
- SNe II compatible with WR (esp. WN) at low Z
- Monte Carlo simulations for:
 - Candidate WR stars
 - Number errors
 - Foreground stars
 - WN to WC transition



The SAMPLE is INCREASING

- NGC 7793
- $12 + \log(O/H) = 8.44$

- NGC 5068
- 12 + log(O/H) = 8.73 with steep gradient







Bibby & Crowther (2012)

Birthplaces of normal SNe lb/c

- NTT + EFOSC2
- 20 host galaxies of normal SNe lb/c
 - Some re-classified !
- Selected to have good SN data
- Both 'bright' CSP and SDSS galaxies
- Targeted and non-targeted surveys
- Slit through exact site and galaxy nucleus

Leloudas+ 2011



Metallicities

- SN lb location avg. metallicity: 8.52 ± 0.05.
- SNe Ic: 8.60 ± 0.08.
- So maybe a tentative trend that $Z_{lc} > Z_{lb}$ but low significance.
- KS test: no significant evidence for lb and lc locations being different. p-val. = 17%.



- Stellar continuum removal to obtain emission line spectrum
- BC03 stellar population synthesis models

More metallicities



- I. Anderson+ 2010: 27 hosts
 - $Z_{\rm lb} \sim Z_{\rm ic}$
- II. Modjaz+ 2011: 35 hosts
 - Report significant difference: 0.2 dex, p = 1%
- Our result is in the middle:
 - Different biases can play a role
 - Important to treat systematic errors properly
- Targeted: 8.67±0.06 (σ=0.06); Nontargeted: 8.55 ±0.06 (σ=0.19); p = 8% (see also Arcavi+ 2010)
- Inconclusive on binarity issue ?

Stellar lifetimes comparison

- Take youngest stellar population by Hα EW as *lower age limit* of SN region.
 - Starburst99 and assumption of instantaneous SF episode.
- Compare to lifetimes of single stars: *upper limits*.
 - Geneva models (Meynet & Maeder 2003, 2005, Georgy+ 2009)
- These are conservative limits
- 20-35% of regions examined too old to give SNe lb/c from single progenitors.
 - But conclusion limited by assumption



Part II: Super-luminous SNe

- Discovered recently
- Found only in blind, unbiased searches (e.g. Texas SN Search)
- M < -21.5
- Famous example: SN 2006gy
 - SNe 2006tf, 2008es (II-L), ...
- H-rich: CSM interaction
 - See Moriya & Tominaga 2011 for diversity due to density structure



H-poor events

- SN 2005ap (Quimby+ 2007)
- Mysterious SCP06F6 (Barbary+ 2007)
- 4 objects by PTF (Quimby+ 2011)
- 2 more by PS1 at z ≈ 0.9 (Chomiuk+ 2011)





- Not radioactive decay
- Magnetar? CSM? PPI ?
- Some connection with SN Ic (Pastorello+ 2010)

SN 2006oz

- SDSS-II SN Survey
 - Important contribution from Texas group
- Spectrum obtained at the NOT
- Misclassified as possible lb. Hostless.
- z ≈ 0.376 by SN
 - No obvious Mg II (2.7 σ) or other galaxy emission lines



Light Curve

- No post-maximum ☺
- Caught early 🙂
- Multi-color 🙂
- M_u < -21.5 mag
- Unusual early evolution:
 - Dip in g, r bands
 - Possible fading in u



Black Body & SYNOW fits



- T ≈ 15000 K
- R increases from ≈ 1 to 2 x 10¹⁵ cm



- OII, Mg II
- C II ? Tentative Fe III ?
- Consistent with carbonburned atmosphere

Bolometric light curve

- Covers rest frame 2350 -7000 Å
- We see an initial "precursor" plateau !
- Is this evolution intrinsic to all similar events?
 - Observations of other events not blue or deep enough to probe it
- Uncertainty in t_{max}
- Can be an important hint for nature of explosion



Origin of plateau?

- Similar plateaus seen in NLTE simulations of SNe lb/c (Dessart+ 2010)
- Recombination wave after shock-breakout. In this case He.
 - H in Type II-P
- If physics of SN 2006oz similar, then larger radius shows CSM
- Composition? O- rich? Could fit with temperature and spectrum



Origin of rise to maximum

- Models by Chatzopoulos, Wheeler & Vinko (2011)
- Radioactive Decay?
 - Unlikely: M_{Ni} = 11 M_{\odot} , M_{ej} = 14 M_{\odot}
 - Also ruled out by others
- Magnetar
- CSM
 - But self-consistent?
 - Hybrid model?!
- M_{ej} = 14 M_{\odot} , M_{csm} = 6.5 M_{\odot}
- Very massive progenitor
 Mass loss ?



Alternatives



Quark Nova: Ouyed & Leahy 2012



Moriya & Maeda 2012

Today !!!

Host galaxy

- GTC/OSIRIS detection
- Not many hosts detected so far (and most are in 1 filter)
- Photo-z = 0.37 (-0.04 +3.72)





id	g	r	i	dist.
	(mag)	(mag)	(mag)	(arcsec)
Α	25.74 ± 0.19	24.43 ± 0.06	24.14 ± 0.12	0.47″
В	>26.47	25.62 ± 0.16	25.02 ± 0.25	2.97"
С	>26.47	25.81 ± 0.19	24.65 ± 0.18	6.26"
D	25.18 ± 0.11	24.70 ± 0.07	24.32 ± 0.12	4.56"
E	25.28 ± 0.15	23.71 ± 0.03	23.21 ± 0.06	6.56"

Host properties

- M_g = -16.9
- Significant 4000 Å break: contribution by an older population
- $\log(M/M_{\odot}) = 8.7 \pm 0.23$
- SFR = $0.17^{+0.18}_{-0.07}$ M_o/yr
- log(SFR/M_{*}) = 9.47 /yr
- Metal-poor? 0.45 Z_☉ (Tremonti+ 2004)
- Low mass, SF dwarf galaxy
- But not starburst!



What MORE CAN THE ENVIRONMENT TELL US?

- Are host galaxy properties consistent with the presence of a very massive star?
- Can the host colors be due to extinction? (no)
- But is there any extinction anyway?
 - Supposed 0 but even if moderate it will affect colors, temperature, bolometric LC, model fits, PHYSICS
- What is the true gas metallicity?
- Understanding environment is key for understanding stellar evolution & mass loss
- Accepted VLT proposal to observe 3 hosts in detail:
 - Deep imaging (FORS, HAWK-I)
 - Spectroscopy (X-shooter)



The End

Thank you!