TACKLING DARK ENERGY, DARK MATTER & GALAXY FORMATION WITH WEAK GRAVITATIONAL LENSING

ALEXIE LEAUTHAUD

Gravitational Lensing





Lensing Modifies Galaxy Shapes



Illustration of the lensing effect caused by a massive (invisible) object passing in front of galaxies in the Hubble Deep Field.

The Scientific Promise of Gravitational Lensing

- I. Weak lensing by large-scale structure ('Cosmic shear') → evolution of the non-linear power spectrum & constraints on cosmological parameters
 Ω_M, σ₈, w, w³...complementing and breaking degeneracies present in other methods (Super Novae, Cosmic Microwave Background).
- II. Direct mapping of the dark matter distribution
- III. Weak shear around galaxy clusters \rightarrow estimate of total cluster mass study of dark matter profiles
- IV. The average weak lensing shear of distant galaxies and groups ('galaxy-galaxy lensing') \rightarrow ensemble average properties of dark matter halos \rightarrow connecting mass and light.
- V. Measurements of the Hubble constant, H0.

Cosmic Acceleration Lensing Experiments

STAGE 2

CFHTLS : Canada / France / Hawaii, 170 deg² KIDS : Europe, 1000 deg², VLT.

STAGE 3 (now)

Pan Starrs : USA, Haleakala, 15000 deg² DES : USA, 5000 deg² HSC : Japan, 1500 deg², Subaru on Mauna Kea

STAGE 4 (~2020)

EUCLID : space mission, Europe, I 5000 deg² WFIRST : space mission, USA LSST : USA, 20000 deg²





Outline

What do we learn from gravitational lensing about :

I. Dark Energy



2. Dark Matter



3. Galaxy Formation







DARK ENERGY

The acceleration of the Universe is, along with dark matter, the observed phenomenon that most directly demonstrates that our theories of fundamental particles and gravity are either incorrect or incomplete.

Peacock et al. 2006, ESA-ESO Working Group on "Fundamental Cosmology"

DARK ENERGY

I. Does acceleration arise from a breakdown of GR on cosmological scales or from a new energy component that exerts repulsive gravity within GR?

2. If acceleration is caused by a new energy component, is its energy density constant in space and time?

Four Probes of Dark Energy

- I. SNe la distance measurements
- 2. Baryon Acoustic Oscillation (BAO)
- 3. Abundance of galaxy clusters
- 4. Weak gravitational lensing of large-scale structure



SN 1604, Chandra & Spitzer



Amas Abell 2218 J.P Kneib & E.Ellis



BAO, Eisenstein et al. 2004

My Efforts in this Field

TRADITIONAL METHODS

- Shear tomography (Leauthaud et al. 2007, Massey et al. 2007)
- ⇒ Shear ratio constraints on Ω_{λ} (Taylor et al. 2012)
- Clusters calibration of mass-observable relations (Leauthaud et al. 2010)
- → Clusters mis-centering effects (George et al. 2011, George et al. 2012)

LESS TRADITIONAL METHODS

Probe combinations - galaxy-galaxy lensing, clustering, redshift-space

distortions (Leauthaud et al. 2011, Leauthaud et al. in prep)

Cross-correlations - CMB lensing + shear (Das et al. in prep)

Lensing by a Diffuse Matter Distribution 'Cosmic shear' or 'Shear Tomography'



Shear field from dark matter simulation



Early Proof of Concept of Shear Tomography

Massey et al. 2007 Leauthaud et al. 2007, Rhodes et al. 2007



2d power spectrum of projected shear field :

$$C_{\ell}^{\gamma} = \frac{9}{16} \left(\frac{H_0}{c}\right)^4 \Omega_m^2 \int_0^{\chi_h} \left[\frac{g(\chi)}{D_A(\chi)}\right]^2 P(k,\chi) \, \mathrm{d}\chi,$$

2pt shear correlation function :

$$C_{1}(\theta) = \left\langle \gamma_{1}^{r}(\mathbf{r}) \gamma_{1}^{r}(\mathbf{r}+\theta) \right\rangle$$

$$C_{2}(\theta) = \left\langle \gamma_{2}^{r}(\mathbf{r}) \gamma_{2}^{r}(\mathbf{r}+\theta) \right\rangle,$$

$$\sigma_8(\Omega_m/0.3)^{0.44} = 0.866 + - 0.075$$

also see Schrabback et al. 2010

Improving Cluster Mass Estimates



~150 groups/clusters detected via extended XMM emission in the COSMOS survey. One of the largest samples of it's kind. M₂₀₀ ~ 5.10¹³ M_{sun}. (Finoguenov et al. 2007)



L_x-M_h relation down to M_h=10¹³ M_☉. Improved constraints on slope of this relation. (Leauthaud et al. 2010).

Redshift-Space Distortions



Two dimensional correlation function of BOSS galaxies, z=0.57 D_A, H(z), growth rate of structure *Reid et al.* 2012 cosmological constraints from RSD & AP demands precise modeling of :

- nonlinear evolution of matter density
- velocity field
- how galaxies trace these fields

BOSS: strongest statistical constraints from smaller scales where there are a large number of independent samples. f. σ_8 6% at 60 Mpc and 1% at 10 Mpc

Statistical precision ≤ theoretical errors

Satellites : Fingers of God, affect ξ_2 at 10% level at 25 Mpc

Lensing, Clustering, & Redshift-Space Distortions

AL, Beth Reid, Martin White, Jeremy Tinker

- Lensing & clustering = cosmological probe (e.g, Cacciato et al. 2012)
- & redshift-space distortions = modified gravity (e.g, Reyes et al. 2012)
- Tremendous S/N gain at small scales. Modeling is more complicated.
- \rightarrow WFIRST SDT report : lensing FOM **200** \Rightarrow **580** when using smaller scales

IN PREP:

 Lensing + Clustering + RSD at R<40 Mpc.
 Use N-body simulations directly for the theoretical model (by-pass analytical modeling). Galaxy-galaxy lensing of BOSS galaxies. From the CS82 survey of Stripe 82 . I/I0th HSC area (Leauthaud et al. in prep)

Lensing & Clustering of Boss Galaxies

AL, Beth Reid, Martin White, Jeremy Tinker

Preliminary



- Note: this is just a 'by eye' HOD fit
- $\frac{1}{2}$ Also plan to fit monopole ξ_0 and quadrupole ξ_2
- Divide by stellar mass

• Reid et al 2013: small-scale redshift space clustering of CMASS

• Leauthaud et al. 2013: lensing + redshift space clustering

Lensing & Clustering of Boss Galaxies

AL, Beth Reid, Martin White, Jeremy Tinker

Preliminary



- Note: this is just a 'by eye' HOD fit
- $\frac{1}{2}$ Also plan to fit monopole ξ_0 and quadrupole ξ_2
- Divide by stellar mass

• Reid et al 2013: small-scale redshift space clustering of CMASS

• Leauthaud et al. 2013: lensing + redshift space clustering

CMB-Lensing Galaxy Cross Correlations



DARK MATTER

λCDM Predictions for Dark Matter Halos

→ Universal profile for DM halos (Navarro et al. 1997, 2012)

- ⇒ Correlation between halo mass & concentration C ~ Mh^{α} (Bullock et al. 2001, Wechsler et al. 2002, Maccio et al. 2007)
- Triaxial shapes with b/a~0.7 (Jing & Suto 2002)

All three predictions can be tested using weak lensing.

Clusters at
$$M_h > 10^{14} \& z < 0.8$$

 HSC = 7000
 DES = 24,000
 EUCLID = 70,000

TREMENDOUS STATISTICS USING STACKED LENSING.

George, AL, et al. 2012



- I. Determine centers **A •** •
- 2. Measure shapes of background sources
- 3. Stack on centers

George, AL, et al. 2012





- I. Determine centers **A** = •
- 2. Measure shapes of background sources
- 3. Stack on centers

George, AL, et al. 2012



- I. Determine centers **A** = •
- 2. Measure shapes of background sources
- 3. Stack on centers



Demonstrating the power of stacked weak lensing to improve centroid algorithms for galaxy clusters (George, AL, et al. 2012).

Will lead to improved constraints on halo profiles & concentrations

A Sample of 800 Clusters in Stripe 82

LARGE SAMPLE TO Z=0.7. TEST-BED FOR HSC/DES/EUCLID.



Effects of mis-centering on weak lensing measurements of halo profiles.



- Improve centroid schemes via lensing
- Visual inspection of central galaxies
- Color bias in central galaxy selection?
- Richness-Mh relation to z=0.7



- Add clustering information for centering
- Use stacked weak lensing to improve the identification of mergers and fragmented systems (tests using N-body simulations)
- Constraints on halo concentration

AL, Takada M, Oguri M, Mineo S, Katayama N, Okabe N



Traditional method: azimuthal variation in tangential shear (Natarayan & Refregier 2000)

Relies on optical axis for stacking. Signal washed out with galaxy-halo mis-alignements.

Investigating new methods to probe halo ellipticity based on 3pt correlation functions. No assumption about alignments between baryons and dark matter.

Leauthaud et al. in prep, Mineo et al. in prep

AL, Takada M, Oguri M, Mineo S, Katayama N, Okabe N



Can we use the 3pt correction function $< \Upsilon_1 \times \Upsilon_2 >$ to detect :

- ➡ Halo triaxiality?
- ➡ Sub-structure?
- ➡ Filamentary structure?

A direct probe of λ CDM predictions for dark matter halos!

Leauthaud et al. in prep, Mineo et al. in prep



From N-body simulations :





From N-body simulations :





From N-body simulations :



GALAXY FORMATION

Connecting Galaxies to Dark Matter



Combining Lensing + Clustering

Leauthaud et al. 2011



The galaxy stellar mass function :

1

3

- Number of galaxies per unit volume
- "easy" to calculate
- Typically modeled through "abundance matching"

Galaxy auto correlation function :

- Excess probability above random of finding two galaxies with a given separation
- Typically modeled through HOD models





2

Galaxy-galaxy lensing :

- Measures the galaxy-matter correlation function
- Weak signal that is difficult to measure
- Tells us directly about the galaxy-dark matter connection

The Galaxy-Galaxy Lensing Signal

 $\Delta \Sigma$

galaxy-galaxy lensing:

stacked weak lensing around a large number of foreground galaxies.

The quantity that we seek to measure is *Delta Sigma*

Sigma: surface mass density of the central lens.

Delta Sigma: surface mass density contrast.

$$\Delta \Sigma(r) \equiv \overline{\Sigma}(< r) - \overline{\Sigma}(r) = \Sigma_{crit} \times \gamma_t(r)$$



Ten Parameter Model

Parametric form for the stellar-to-halo mass relation $(M_1, M_{*0}, \beta, \delta, \gamma)$

$$\log_{10}(\mathbf{f}_{\text{SHMR}}^{-1}(\mathbf{M}_{*})) = \log_{10}(\mathbf{M}_{\mathbf{h}}) = \log_{10}(\mathbf{M}_{\mathbf{h}}) = \log_{10}(\mathbf{M}_{1}) + \beta \log_{10}\left(\frac{\mathbf{M}_{*}}{\mathbf{M}_{*,0}}\right) + \frac{\left(\frac{\mathbf{M}_{*}}{\mathbf{M}_{*,0}}\right)^{\delta}}{1 + \left(\frac{\mathbf{M}_{*}}{\mathbf{M}_{*,0}}\right)^{-\gamma}} - \frac{1}{2}$$

Central occupation function (σ_{log(M*)})

$$egin{aligned} \mathbf{N}_{ ext{cen}}(\mathbf{M}_{\mathbf{h}}|\mathbf{M}^{\mathbf{t_1}}_*) & > \ & rac{1}{2} \left[\mathbf{1} - ext{erf}\left(rac{\log_{\mathbf{10}}(\mathbf{M}^{\mathbf{t_1}}_*) - \log_{\mathbf{10}}(\mathbf{f}_{ ext{SHMR}}(\mathbf{M}_{\mathbf{h}}))}{\sqrt{2}\sigma_{ ext{logM}_*}}
ight)
ight] \end{aligned}$$

Satellite occupation function (B_{cut} , B_{sat} , β_{cut} , β_{sat})

$$\begin{split} \langle N_{sat}(M_h|M_*^{t_1})\rangle &= \langle N_{cen}(M_h|M_*^{t_1})\rangle \left(\frac{M_h}{M_{sat}}\right)^{\alpha_{sat}} \exp\left(\frac{-M_{cut}}{M_h}\right) \\ \frac{M_{sat}}{10^{12}M_{\odot}} &= B_{sat} \left(\frac{f_{sHMR}^{-1}(M_*^{t_1})}{10^{12}M_{\odot}}\right)^{\beta_{sat}} \\ \frac{M_{cut}}{10^{12}M_{\odot}} &= B_{cut} \left(\frac{f_{sHMR}^{-1}(M_*^{t_1})}{10^{12}M_{\odot}}\right)^{\beta_{cut}} \\ \end{split}$$



Stellar mass function

Stellar mass vs Halo mass



The Global Stellar Content of DM Halos

Leauthaud et al. 2012b



The Global Stellar Content of DM Halos

Leauthaud et al. 2012b



Halo Occupation of X-ray AGN





log₁₀ (M_{halo})

Galaxy-galaxy lensing can be used to study specific galaxy types.

Occupation function of x-ray AGN. Halo mass distributions. Satellite fraction ~ 15%.



CONCLUSIONS

Conclusions & Future Directions

Harness the power of BOSS, HSC, & Euclid

Gravitational lensing is a powerful tool to probe cosmic acceleration, dark matter, & galaxy formation.

BUT: measurement precision ~ theoretical understanding

- Improve 2d clustering & lensing as a cosmological tool
- Theory: use N-body simulations directly? Reduce systematic uncertainties due to analytical models.
- ➡ Combine BOSS RSD with HSC lensing. GR tests?
- → 3pt statistics
- Clusters to z=1 from HSC. Calibrate mass-observable relations, study dark matter profiles, properties of central galaxies, etc ...
- Connection between galaxies and dark matter with HSC to z=1. Probe mechanisms responsible for the quenching of star formation.