What We Know (and may ever know) About Inflation

Brian Powell IPMU

September 18, 2008

Introduction

• We would like to know what drove inflation. What is \mathcal{L} ?

• Requires understanding of spectrum of primordial perturbations, P(k)

• Spectrum can be measured from galaxy surveys and from anisotropies in the cosmic microwave background (CMB).











- These results are consistent with the simplest models of *single field, slow roll inflation*.
- There is an assumption: spectrum is a power law,

$$P(k) = A_s k^{n_s - 1}$$

• Slow roll inflation generically gives rise to a power law spectrum.

- These results are *consistent* with the simplest models of *single field, slow roll inflation*.
- There is an assumption: spectrum is a power law,

$$P(k) = A_s k^{n_s - 1}$$

• Slow roll inflation generically gives rise to a power law spectrum.

- These results are *consistent* with the simplest models of *single field, slow roll inflation*.
- There is an assumption: spectrum is a power law,

$$P(k) = A_s k^{n_s - 1}$$

• Slow roll inflation generically gives rise to a power law spectrum.

What else might the data be consistent with??

Outline

- **Background:**
 - Inflation
 - **Primordial Perturbations**
- Methodology
 - Flow Formalism
 - Evolution of Fluctuations
 - Results
 - A possibility for the future...

The Expanding UniverseThe inflationary universe is homogeneous and isotropic.
$$ds^2 = dt^2 - a^2(t)d\vec{x}^2$$
• Equations of motion: $H^2 = \left(\frac{\dot{a}}{a}\right)^2 = \frac{8\pi}{3m_{Pl}^2}\rho$ $\frac{\ddot{a}}{a} = -\frac{4\pi}{3m_{Pl}^2}(\rho + 3p)$ • Cosmic Inventory:Matter: $\rho \propto a^{-3}(t)$ Radiation: $\rho \propto a^{-4}(t)$

The Expanding Universe• The inflationary universe is homogeneous and isotropic.
$$ds^2 = dt^2 - a^2(t)d\vec{x}^2$$
• Equations of motion: $H^2 = \left(\frac{\dot{a}}{a}\right)^2 = \frac{8\pi}{3m_{P1}^2}\rho$ $\ddot{a} = -\frac{4\pi}{3m_{P1}^2}(\rho + 3p)$ • Cosmic Inventory:Matter: $\rho \propto a^{-3}(t)$ Radiation: $\rho \propto a^{-4}(t)$





• Considered a homogeneous, minimally coupled scalar field:

$$\mathcal{L} = \frac{1}{2} g^{\mu\nu} \partial_{\mu} \phi \partial_{\nu} \phi + V(\phi)$$

$$w = \frac{\dot{\phi}^2 - 2V(\phi)}{\dot{\phi}^2 + 2V(\phi)}$$

• Considered a homogeneous, minimally coupled scalar field:

$$\mathcal{L} = \frac{1}{2} g^{\mu\nu} \partial_{\mu} \phi \partial_{\nu} \phi + V(\phi)$$

$$w = \frac{\dot{\phi}^2 - 2V(\phi)}{\dot{\phi}^2 + 2V(\phi)} = -1$$

• Considered a homogeneous, minimally coupled scalar field:

$$\mathcal{L} = \frac{1}{2} g^{\mu\nu} \partial_{\mu} \phi \partial_{\nu} \phi + V(\phi)$$

$$w = \frac{V(\phi) - 2V(\phi)}{V(\phi) + 2V(\phi)} = -\frac{1}{3}$$

• Considered a homogeneous, minimally coupled scalar field:

$$\mathcal{L} = \frac{1}{2} g^{\mu\nu} \partial_{\mu} \phi \partial_{\nu} \phi + V(\phi)$$

$$w = \frac{V(\phi) - 2V(\phi)}{V(\phi) + 2V(\phi)} = -\frac{1}{3}$$

• Considered a homogeneous, minimally coupled scalar field:

$$\mathcal{L} = \frac{1}{2} g^{\mu\nu} \partial_{\mu} \phi \partial_{\nu} \phi + V(\phi)$$

$$w = \frac{V(\phi) - 2V(\phi)}{V(\phi) + 2V(\phi)} = -\frac{1}{3}$$

$$\phi + 3H\phi + V' = 0$$

$$H^{2} = \frac{8\pi}{3m_{\rm Pl}^{2}} \left(\frac{1}{2}\dot{\phi}^{2} + V\right)$$

• Considered a homogeneous, minimally coupled scalar field:

$$\mathcal{L} = \frac{1}{2} g^{\mu\nu} \partial_{\mu} \phi \partial_{\nu} \phi + V(\phi)$$

$$w = \frac{V(\phi) - 2V(\phi)}{V(\phi) + 2V(\phi)} = -\frac{1}{3}$$

$$\phi + 3H\phi + V' = 0$$

$$H^2 = \frac{8\pi}{3m_{\rm Pl}^2} \left(\frac{1}{2}\dot{\phi}^2 + V\right)$$

• Considered a homogeneous, minimally coupled scalar field:

$$\mathcal{L} = \frac{1}{2} g^{\mu\nu} \partial_{\mu} \phi \partial_{\nu} \phi + V(\phi)$$

$$w = \frac{V(\phi) - 2V(\phi)}{V(\phi) + 2V(\phi)} = -\frac{1}{3}$$

• Considered a homogeneous, minimally coupled scalar field:

$$\mathcal{L} = \frac{1}{2} g^{\mu\nu} \partial_{\mu} \phi \partial_{\nu} \phi + V(\phi)$$

$$w = \frac{V(\phi) - 2V(\phi)}{V(\phi) + 2V(\phi)} = -\frac{1}{3}$$

• Considered a homogeneous, minimally coupled scalar field:

$$\mathcal{L} = \frac{1}{2} g^{\mu\nu} \partial_{\mu} \phi \partial_{\nu} \phi + V(\phi)$$

$$w = \frac{V(\phi) - 2V(\phi)}{V(\phi) + 2V(\phi)} = -\frac{1}{3}$$

Primordial Perturbations

quantum fluctuations






























- Typical parameterization, $P_{\mathcal{R}}(k) = A_s k^{n_s 1}$
- Amplitude of scalar (curvature) perturbations:

$$P_{\mathcal{R}} = \left. \frac{H^2}{\pi \epsilon} \right|_{k=aH}$$

- Typical parameterization, $P_{\mathcal{R}}(k) = A_s k^{n_s 1}$
- Amplitude of scalar (curvature) perturbations:

$$P_{\mathcal{R}} = \left. \frac{H^2}{\pi \epsilon} \right|_{k=aH}$$

• Scale dependence?

$$\frac{dP_{\mathcal{R}}(k)}{d\ln k} = n_s - 1$$

- Typical parameterization, $P_{\mathcal{R}}(k) = A_s k^{n_s 1}$
- Amplitude of scalar (curvature) perturbations:

$$P_{\mathcal{R}} = \left. \frac{H^2}{\pi \epsilon} \right|_{k=aH}$$

• Scale dependence?

$$\frac{dP_{\mathcal{R}}(k)}{d\ln k} = n_s - 1$$

$$\frac{dP_{\mathcal{R}}(k)}{d\ln k} \propto \frac{d}{d\phi} \frac{H^2}{\pi \epsilon} \longrightarrow \qquad n_s = 1 - 4\epsilon + 2\eta$$

- Typical parameterization, $P_{\mathcal{R}}(k) = A_s k^{n_s 1}$
- Amplitude of scalar (curvature) perturbations:

$$P_{\mathcal{R}} = \left. \frac{H^2}{\pi \epsilon} \right|_{k=aH}$$

• Scale dependence?

$$\frac{dP_{\mathcal{R}}(k)}{d\ln k} = n_s - 1$$

$$\eta = \frac{m_{\rm Pl}^2}{4\pi} \left(\frac{H''}{H}\right)$$

$$\frac{dP_{\mathcal{R}}(k)}{d\ln k} \propto \frac{d}{d\phi} \frac{H^2}{\pi \epsilon} \longrightarrow \qquad n_s = 1 - 4\epsilon + 2\eta$$

- Typical parameterization of tensor spectrum, $P_T(k) = A_T k^{n_T}$
- Amplitude of tensors:

$$P_T(k) = 16 \frac{H^2}{\pi} \bigg|_{k=aH}$$

• Define tensor/scalar ratio: $r \equiv \frac{P_T}{P_R} = 16\epsilon$

What We Learn From the Spectrum

• Observables:

$$P_{\mathcal{R}} = \left. \frac{H^2}{\pi \epsilon} \right|_{k=aH}$$

$$n_s = 1 - 4\epsilon + 2\eta$$

 $r = 16\epsilon$

What We Learn From the Spectrum

• Observables:

$$P_{\mathcal{R}} = \left. \frac{H^2}{\pi \epsilon} \right|_{k=aH}$$

$$n_s = 1 - 4\epsilon + 2\eta$$

 $r = 16\epsilon$

During slow roll:

$$\epsilon \approx \frac{m_{\rm Pl}^2}{16\pi} \left(\frac{V'}{V}\right)^2$$

$$\eta \approx \frac{m_{\rm Pl}^2}{8\pi} \left(\frac{V''}{V}\right)$$

What We Learn From the Spectrum

D

• Observables:

$$P_{\mathcal{R}} = \frac{H^2}{\pi\epsilon} \bigg|_{k=aH}$$
$$n_s = 1 - 4\epsilon + 2\eta$$

$$\epsilon \approx \frac{m_{\rm Pl}^2}{16\pi} \left(\frac{V'}{V}\right)^2$$
$$m_{\rm Pl}^2 \left(V''\right)$$

$$\eta \approx \frac{m_{\rm Pl}^2}{8\pi} \left(\frac{V''}{V}\right)$$

 $r = 16\epsilon$

Recap

- Slow roll inflation generates nearly scale invariant, power law spectra.
- There is a simple analytic relationship between spectrum observables and derivatives of the inflaton potential.
- However, we seek to broaden the scope by investigating more general inflation models.

Recap

- Slow roll inflation generates nearly scale invariant, power law spectra.
- There is a simple analytic relationship between spectrum observables and derivatives of the inflaton potential.
- However, we seek to broaden the scope by investigating more general inflation models.
- How does one systematically test "more general" inflation models?

Recap

- Slow roll inflation generates nearly scale invariant, power law spectra.
- There is a simple analytic relationship between spectrum observables and derivatives of the inflaton potential.
- However, we seek to broaden the scope by investigating more general inflation models.
- How does one systematically test "more general" inflation models?
- How does one determine the resulting power spectra?

- The flow formalism is a model-independent representation of inflationary dynamics.
- It employs Monte Carlo to generate large numbers of different inflationary trajectories, $H(\phi)$.

- The flow formalism is a model-independent representation of inflationary dynamics.
- It employs Monte Carlo to generate large numbers of different inflationary trajectories, $H(\phi)$.

 $\epsilon = \frac{1}{H} \frac{dH}{dN}$

- The flow formalism is a model-independent representation of inflationary dynamics.
- It employs Monte Carlo to generate large numbers of different inflationary trajectories, $H(\phi)$.

$$\epsilon = \frac{1}{H} \frac{dH}{dN} \qquad \longrightarrow \qquad H(N) = H_0 \left(1 + \epsilon \Delta N + \frac{1}{2} \epsilon^2 \Delta N^2 + \cdots \right)$$

power law inflation

- The flow formalism is a model-independent representation of inflationary dynamics.
- It employs Monte Carlo to generate large numbers of different inflationary trajectories, $H(\phi)$.

$$\epsilon = \frac{1}{H} \frac{dH}{dN}$$

$$\frac{d\epsilon}{dN} = 2\epsilon(\eta - \epsilon)$$

- The flow formalism is a model-independent representation of inflationary dynamics.
- It employs Monte Carlo to generate large numbers of different inflationary trajectories, $H(\phi)$.

$$\epsilon = \frac{1}{H} \frac{dH}{dN}$$

$$\frac{d\epsilon}{dN} = 2\epsilon(\eta - \epsilon)$$
$$\frac{d\eta}{dN} = \xi^2 - \epsilon\eta$$

- The flow formalism is a model-independent representation of inflationary dynamics.
- It employs Monte Carlo to generate large numbers of different inflationary trajectories, $H(\phi)$.

$$\epsilon = \frac{1}{H} \frac{dH}{dN}$$
$$\frac{d\epsilon}{dN} = 2\epsilon(\eta - \epsilon)$$
$$\frac{d\eta}{dN} = \xi^2 - \epsilon\eta$$

$$\xi^{2} = \frac{m_{\rm Pl}^{4}}{16\pi^{2}} \left(\frac{H'H'''}{H^{2}}\right)$$

 $\xi^{2} = \frac{m_{\rm Pl}^{4}}{16\pi^{2}} \left(\frac{H'H'''}{H^{2}}\right)$

- The flow formalism is a model-independent representation of inflationary dynamics.
- It employs Monte Carlo to generate large numbers of different inflationary trajectories, $H(\phi)$.

$$\epsilon = \frac{1}{H} \frac{dH}{dN}$$
$$\frac{d\epsilon}{dN} = 2\epsilon(\eta - \epsilon)$$
$$\frac{d\eta}{dN} = \xi^2 - \epsilon\eta$$

$$\frac{d(\ell \lambda_H)}{dN} = \left[(\ell - 1)\eta - \ell\epsilon\right]^{\ell} \lambda_H + \ell^{\ell+1} \lambda_H$$

- The flow formalism is a model-independent representation of inflationary dynamics.
- It employs Monte Carlo to generate large numbers of different inflationary trajectories, $H(\phi)$.

- The flow formalism is a model-independent representation of inflationary dynamics.
- It employs Monte Carlo to generate large numbers of different inflationary trajectories, $H(\phi)$.

$$\begin{aligned} \epsilon &= \frac{1}{H} \frac{dH}{dN} \\ \frac{d\epsilon}{dN} &= 2\epsilon(\eta - \epsilon) \\ \frac{d\eta}{dN} &= \xi^2 - \epsilon\eta \\ \frac{\ell(\ell \lambda_H)}{dN} &= \left[(\ell - 1)\eta - \ell \epsilon \right]^{\ell} \lambda_H + {}^{\ell + 1} \lambda_H \end{aligned}$$

Flow Equations

In practice, the flow system is truncated at some order M, so that

$$\ell^{\ell+1}\lambda_H = 0 \qquad \forall \ \ell \ge M$$

• The resulting function, H(N), represents an *exact* solution of the inflationary equations of motion.

• Solutions are polynomials:

$$H(\phi) = A_1\phi + A_2\phi^2 + \dots + A_M\phi^M$$

• Keep only those models for which $\Delta N \in [46, 60]$.



• Given any solution, the potential can be recovered via the Hamilton-Jacobi equation:

$$H(\phi)^2 \left[1 - \frac{1}{3}\epsilon(\phi)\right] = \frac{8\pi}{3m_{\rm Pl}^2} V(\phi)$$

 Given any solution, the potential can be recovered via the Hamilton-Jacobi equation:





 $n_s(\epsilon,\eta,\xi^2,\,^3\lambda_H)$



 $n_s(\epsilon,\eta,\xi^2,\,^3\lambda_H)$

$$\ln P(k) = (n_s - 1)\ln k + \frac{1}{2}\frac{dn_s}{d\ln k}\ln k^2$$

• Inflaton fluctuations couple to gravity. Therefore, we must perturb both the gravity side and matter side of Einstein's Equations:

 $\delta G_{\mu\nu} = \frac{8\pi}{m_{\rm Pl}^2} \delta T_{\mu\nu}$

• Inflaton fluctuations couple to gravity. Therefore, we must perturb both the gravity side and matter side of Einstein's Equations:

 $\delta G_{\mu\nu} = \frac{8\pi}{m_{\rm Pl}^2} \delta T_{\mu\nu}$ $\delta\phi$

• Inflaton fluctuations couple to gravity. Therefore, we must perturb both the gravity side and matter side of Einstein's Equations:

 $\bullet \quad \delta G_{\mu\nu} = \frac{8\pi}{m_{\rm Pl}^2} \delta T_{\mu\nu}$ $\delta\phi$ \mathcal{R}

• Inflaton fluctuations couple to gravity. Therefore, we must perturb both the gravity side and matter side of Einstein's Equations:

 $\delta G_{\mu\nu} = \frac{8\pi}{m_{\rm Pl}^2} \delta T_{\mu\nu}$

 $\delta \phi$

• Next introduce the gauge invariant perturbation $u = a\delta\phi + z\mathcal{R}$,

 \mathcal{R}

$$u'' - \nabla^2 u - \frac{z''}{z}^2 u = 0$$

$$z = \frac{a\phi}{H}$$

Evolution of Perturbations Decompose: $u = \int \frac{d^3k}{(2\pi)^{3/2}} \left(v_k(\tau)\hat{a}_k(t)e^{ikx} + v_k^*(\tau)\hat{a}_k^{\dagger}(\tau)e^{-ikx} \right)$

Evolution of Perturbations Decompose: $u = \int \frac{d^3k}{(2\pi)^{3/2}} \left(v_k(\tau) \hat{a}_k(t) e^{ikx} + v_k^*(\tau) \hat{a}_k^{\dagger}(\tau) e^{-ikx} \right)$ conformal time: d au=dt/a




- No general analytic solution.
- However, in the short wavelength limit, $k \gg aH$, we recover the quasi-Minkowski wavefunction,

$$v_k'' + k^2 \left(1 - 2 \left(\frac{aH}{k} \right)^2 F(\epsilon, \eta, \xi^2) \right) v_k = 0$$

- No general analytic solution.
- However, in the short wavelength limit, $k \gg aH$, we recover the quasi-Minkowski wavefunction,

$$v_k'' + k^2 \left(1 - 2 \left(\frac{aH}{k} \right)^2 F(\epsilon, \eta, \xi^2) \right) v_k = 0$$

 $v_k \propto e^{i\omega au}$

- No general analytic solution.
- However, in the short wavelength limit, $k \gg aH$, we recover the quasi-Minkowski wavefunction,

$$v_k'' + k^2 \left(1 - 2 \left(rac{aH}{k}
ight)^2 F(\epsilon, \eta, \xi^2)
ight) v_k = 0$$

 $v_k \propto e^{i\omega au}$

Initial Conditions

- No general analytic solution.
- However, in the short wavelength limit, $k \gg aH$, we recover the quasi-Minkowski wavefunction,

$$v_k'' + k^2 \left(1 - 2 \left(\frac{aH}{k} \right)^2 F(\epsilon, \eta, \xi^2) \right) v_k = 0$$

$$v_k \propto e^{i\omega au}$$
 Initial Conditions

• Example: slow roll inflation,

$$v_k \propto \sqrt{-k au} H_
u(-k au)$$



Having solved the mode equation, we compute the correlation function,

$$\langle \delta \phi(x) \delta \phi(y) \rangle = \frac{1}{2\pi^3} \int |v_k|^2 e^{-ik(x-y)} d^3k$$

• We also define the *power spectrum*

$$\langle \delta \phi(x) \delta \phi(y) \rangle = \int d\ln k P(k) e^{-ik(x-y)}$$

Having solved the mode equation, we compute the correlation function,

$$\langle \delta \phi(x) \delta \phi(y) \rangle = \frac{1}{2\pi^3} \int |v_k|^2 e^{-ik(x-y)} d^3k$$

• We also define the *power spectrum*

$$\langle \delta \phi(x) \delta \phi(y) \rangle = \int d \ln k P(k) e^{-ik(x-y)}$$

$$P_{\mathcal{R}}(k) = \frac{k^3}{2\pi^2} \left| \frac{v_k}{z} \right|^2$$

Strategy

- We will obtain an inflationary solution using the flow method, $H(\phi)$.
- Given this solution, we numerically evolve the mode equation,

$$v_k'' + k^2 \left(1 - 2 \left(\frac{aH}{k} \right)^2 F(\epsilon, \eta, \xi^2) \right) v_k = 0$$

• We do this for a couple thousand k's, and build the power spectrum,

$$P_{\mathcal{R}}(k) = \frac{k^3}{2\pi^2} \left| \frac{v_k}{z} \right|^2$$

• We then want to compare this power spectrum with current data.

• The Universe's baby picture.



• The Universe's baby picture.



$$\frac{\delta T}{T}(\vec{n}) = \sum_{\ell,m} a_{\ell m} Y_{\ell m}(\vec{n})$$

$$C(\theta) = \left\langle \frac{\delta T}{T}(\vec{n_1}) \frac{\delta T}{T}(\vec{n_2}) \right\rangle$$











Results





Results



Results



CMB Spectra



Comparison with Previous Studies



Comparison with Previous Studies



Inflaton Potentials



Inflaton Potentials



Summary

- We have developed a method useful for generating a wide range of inflation models that are consistent with current cosmological data.
- Cosmic variance, existing at large scales, is the dominant source of error in CMB maps.
- This translates to an uncertainty in the form of the primordial power spectrum on large scales.
- Which translates to an uncertainty in the initial dynamics of the inflaton field.
- Our results suggest that fast rolling fields might be considered equally consistent with current data as the well studied slow roll models.

• Consider an action with a generalized kinetic term:

$$S = \frac{1}{2} \int d^4x \left[M_{\rm Pl}^2 R + 2\mathcal{L}\left(\frac{1}{2}\dot{\phi}^2, \phi\right) \right]$$

• Consider an action with a generalized kinetic term:

$$S = \frac{1}{2} \int d^4x \left[M_{\rm Pl}^2 R + 2\mathcal{L}\left(\frac{1}{2}\dot{\phi}^2, \phi\right) \right]$$



• Consider an action with a generalized kinetic term:

$$S = \frac{1}{2} \int d^4x \left[M_{\rm Pl}^2 R + 2\mathcal{L}\left(\frac{1}{2}\dot{\phi}^2, \phi\right) \right]$$



• Consider an action with a generalized kinetic term:

$$S = \frac{1}{2} \int d^4x \left[M_{\rm Pl}^2 R + 2\mathcal{L}\left(\frac{1}{2}\dot{\phi}^2, \phi\right) \right]$$



• Lagrangian:

$$\mathcal{L} = -f^{-1}(\phi)\sqrt{1 - f(\phi)\dot{\phi}^2} + f^{-1}(\phi) - V(\phi)$$

$$f(\phi) = \frac{1}{T_3 h^4(\phi)}$$

• Lagrangian:

• Lagrangian:

• Fluctuations propagate at $c_s < 1$, where $\ c_s = 1/\sqrt{1-f(\phi)\dot{\phi}^2}$

• Lagrangian:

- Fluctuations propagate at $c_s < 1$, where $\, c_s = 1/\sqrt{1-f(\phi)\dot{\phi}^2}$

These fluctuations are non-Gaussian!

Observables

• Non-gaussianities:

$$\Phi(x) = \Phi_g(x) + f_{NL}(\Phi_g^2(x) - \langle \Phi_g^2(x) \rangle)$$

Observables

• Non-gaussianities:

$$\Phi(x) = \Phi_g(x) + f_{NL}(\Phi_g^2(x) - \langle \Phi_g^2(x) \rangle)$$
$$f_{NL} = \frac{35}{108} \left(\frac{1}{c_s} - 1\right)$$

Observables

• Non-gaussianities:

$$\Phi(x) = \Phi_g(x) + f_{NL}(\Phi_g^2(x) - \langle \Phi_g^2(x) \rangle)$$
$$f_{NL} = \frac{35}{108} \left(\frac{1}{c_s} - 1\right)$$

• Power spectrum:

$$\begin{aligned} P_{\mathcal{R}} \propto \frac{H^2}{c_s \epsilon} \\ P_h \propto H^2 \\ n_s = 1 - 4\epsilon + 2\eta \end{aligned}$$
Observables

• Non-gaussianities:

$$\Phi(x) = \Phi_g(x) + f_{NL}(\Phi_g^2(x) - \langle \Phi_g^2(x) \rangle)$$
$$f_{NL} = \frac{35}{108} \left(\frac{1}{c_s} - 1\right)$$

• Power spectrum:

$$P_{\mathcal{R}} \propto \frac{H^2}{c_s \epsilon}$$
$$P_h \propto H^2$$
$$n_s = 1 - 4\epsilon + 2\eta$$

$$\epsilon = 2M_{\rm Pl}^2 c_s \left(\frac{H'}{H}\right)^2$$
$$\eta = 2M_{\rm Pl}^2 c_s \frac{H''}{H}$$

• In the best of all worlds, we will detect tensors and non-Gaussianties.

- In the best of all worlds, we will detect tensors and non-Gaussianties.
- But what if we don't detect non-Gaussianities?

- In the best of all worlds, we will detect tensors and non-Gaussianties.
- But what if we don't detect non-Gaussianities?

$$V(\phi) = V_0 + V_0'(\phi - \phi_0) + \frac{1}{2}V_0''(\phi - \phi_0)^2 + \cdots$$

- In the best of all worlds, we will detect tensors and non-Gaussianties.
- But what if we don't detect non-Gaussianities?

$$V(\phi) = V_0 + V'_0(\phi - \phi_0) + \frac{1}{2}V''_0(\phi - \phi_0)^2 + \cdots$$
canonical $V_0(r(k_0))$ $V'_0(r(k_0))$ $V''_0(r(k_0))$ $V''_0(r(k_0), n_s(k_0))$

- In the best of all worlds, we will detect tensors and non-Gaussianties.
- But what if we don't detect non-Gaussianities?

$$V(\phi) = V_0 + V'_0(\phi - \phi_0) + \frac{1}{2}V''_0(\phi - \phi_0)^2 + \cdots$$

canonical $V_0(r(k_0))$ $V'_0(r(k_0))$ $V''_0(r(k_0), n_s(k_0))$
DBI $V_0(r(k_0), c_s)$ $V''_0(r(k_0), c_s)$ $V''_0(r(k_0), n_s(k_0), c_s)$

- In the best of all worlds, we will detect tensors and non-Gaussianties.
- But what if we don't detect non-Gaussianities?

$$V(\phi) = V_0 + V'_0(\phi - \phi_0) + \frac{1}{2}V''_0(\phi - \phi_0)^2 + \cdots$$

canonical $V_0(r(k_0))$ $V'_0(r(k_0))$ $V''_0(r(k_0), n_s(k_0))$
DBI $V_0(r(k_0), c_s)$ $V''_0(r(k_0), c_s)$ $V''_0(r(k_0), n_s(k_0), c_s)$

• Question: How big of an impact will an unresolved c_s have on reconstruction?

- We generate simulated Planck-precision data: T, E-, and B-mode polarization out to $\ell=2000$.
- We assume a failure to detect non-Gaussianities: $f_{NL} < |5|$
- We wish to perform Bayesian parameter estimation on the system:

 V_0, V_0', V_0''

• This is accomplished via Markov Chain Monte Carlo, where we vary

 V_0, V'_0, V''_0 directly in the chains.

Canonical Reconstruction



- A null detection of non-Gaussianities, $f_{NL} < |5|$, for DBI inflation means $c_s \in [0.25, 1]$.
- To reconstruct non-canonical inflation, we need to consider the larger system:

$$V_0, V_0', V_0'', c_s$$

where c_s is allowed to vary in the chains within the above prior range.

Non-canonical Reconstruction



Marginalized Errors

	$V_0 imes 10^9 M_{ m Pl}^4$	$V_0^\prime imes 10^{10} M_{ m Pl}^3$	$V_0^{\prime\prime} \times 10^{11} M_{\rm Pl}^2$
canonical	$3.7^{+1.9}_{-0.7}$	$4.3^{+3.7}_{-1.2}$	$2.5^{+7.2}_{-1.8}$
non-canonical	$3.6^{+1.9}_{-0.9}$	16^{+10}_{-12}	91^{+80}_{-90}

Marginalized Errors

	$V_0 imes 10^9 M_{ m Pl}^4$	$V_0^\prime imes 10^{10} M_{ m Pl}^3$	$V_0^{\prime\prime} \times 10^{11} M_{\rm Pl}^2$	$-2 { m ln} {\cal L}$
canonical	$3.7^{+1.9}_{-0.7}$	$4.3^{+3.7}_{-1.2}$	$2.5^{+7.2}_{-1.8}$	7931.29
non-canonical	$3.6^{+1.9}_{-0.9}$	16^{+10}_{-12}	91^{+80}_{-90}	7931.16

Best-fit Potentials



Conclusions

- Cosmic variance obscures the initial dynamics of the inflaton. Both rapidly rolling and slowly rolling fields fit the data equally well.
- The possibility that inflation might be non-canonical presents new challenges for reconstruction.
- Even with a tensor detection, non-Gaussianities *must* be resolved in order for us to have a successful reconstruction program.
- More guidance from theory will be necessary to make further progress in this case.